

FEDERAL REGISTER

VOLUME 32 • NUMBER 198


Thursday, October 12, 1967 • Washington, D.C.

Pages 14143-14187

Agencies in this issue—

Atomic Energy Commission
Civil Aeronautics Board
Commodity Credit Corporation
Consumer and Marketing Service
Federal Aviation Administration
Federal Communications Commission
Federal Crop Insurance Corporation
Federal Power Commission
Federal Reserve System
Fish and Wildlife Service
Food and Drug Administration
Interstate Commerce Commission
Land Management Bureau
Securities and Exchange Commission
Tariff Commission
Treasury Department
Wage and Hour Division

Detailed list of Contents appears inside.



WEEKLY COMPILATION OF PRESIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS

The *Weekly Compilation of Presidential Documents* began with the issue dated Monday, August 2, 1965. It contains transcripts of the President's news conferences, messages to Congress, public speeches, remarks and statements, and other Presidential material released by the White House up to 5 p.m. of each Friday. This weekly service includes an Index of Contents preceding the text and a Cumulative Index to Prior

Issues at the end. Cumulation of this index terminates at the end of each quarter and begins anew with the following issue. Semiannual and annual indexes are published separately.

The *Weekly Compilation of Presidential Documents* is sold to the public on a subscription basis. The price of individual copies varies.

Subscription Price: \$6.00 per year

Compiled by Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records
Service, General Services Administration

**Order from: Superintendent of Documents,
U.S. Government Printing Office,
Washington, D.C. 20402**



Area Code 202

Phone 962-8626

Published daily, Tuesday through Saturday (no publication on Sundays, Mondays, or on the day after an official Federal holiday), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration (mail address National Archives Building, Washington, D.C. 20408), pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The **FEDERAL REGISTER** will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies varies in proportion to the size of the issue (15 cents for the first 80 pages and 5 cents for each additional group of 40 pages, as actually bound). Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the **CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS**, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended. The **CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS** is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements are listed in the first **FEDERAL REGISTER** issue of each month.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the **FEDERAL REGISTER** or the **CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS**.

Contents

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

See Commodity Credit Corporation; Consumer and Marketing Service; Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

Notices

Damon Tract Site; trespassing on Commission property..... 14165
General Electric Technical Services Co., Inc.; issuance of facility export license..... 14165

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

Notices

Airborne Freight Corp. and 4A Air Freight Corp.; hearing, etc. 14165.

COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION

Rules and Regulations

Peanuts, farm-stored; 1967 crop loan and purchase program; correction..... 14151

Notices

Sales of certain commodities; October sales list; correction..... 14164

CONSUMER AND MARKETING SERVICE

Rules and Regulations

Grapes, Tokay, grown in San Joaquin, Calif.; expenses and rate of assessment..... 14150
Pears, Beurre D'Anjou, Beurre Bosc, etc., from Oregon, Washington, and California; regulation by grades, quality, and sizes..... 14151

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

Rules and Regulations

Airworthiness directive; McDonnell Douglas Model DC-8 Series airplanes..... 14151
Control zones and transition areas; alterations and designations (6 documents)..... 14152, 14153
Jet route; extension..... 14154
Restricted area and continental control area; designation and alteration..... 14154

Proposed Rule Making

Additional control area; designation..... 14158
Federal airways; alteration..... 14158

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Proposed Rule Making

Aviation, public safety, industrial and land transportation radio services; annual reports of microwave and other fixed stations..... 14161

Communication common carriers and certain affiliates; annual reports for 1968..... 14158

Television broadcast stations; table of assignments, Lynchburg, Va..... 14160

Notices

Canadian broadcast stations; changes, proposed changes, and corrections in assignments..... 14168

Hearings, etc.:

Big Basin Radio and Booneville Broadcasting Corp..... 14167
Radio San Juan, Inc. (WRSJ)..... 14168

FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE CORPORATION

Rules and Regulations

Crop insurance:

Apples:
North Carolina..... 14150
Oregon and Washington..... 14150
Citrus crops:
Arizona—Desert Valley..... 14150
Florida..... 14150
Oranges, California..... 14150
Peaches..... 14150
Raisins..... 14150
Rice..... 14147
Soybeans..... 14147
Sugar beets..... 14148
Tobacco..... 14149
Tomatoes..... 14149
Wheat..... 14149

Notices

Oranges in California; extension of closing date for applications for 1967 crop year..... 14165

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

Notices

Hearings, etc.:

Coastal States Gas Producing Co..... 14171
Columbia Gulf Transmission Co..... 14172
Humble Oil & Refining Co..... 14172
Manufacturers Light and Heat Co..... 14173
Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America..... 14173
Ohio Fuel Gas Co..... 14175
Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co..... 14175
Texaco, Inc..... 14171
United Fuel Gas Co..... 14175

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Notices

Applications for approval of acquisition of shares of banks:
Atlantic Trust Co..... 14166
Mid-Wis Bankshares, Inc..... 14166

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

Rules and Regulations

Hunting on wildlife refuges in certain States:
Alaska; Kodiak..... 14157
Washington; Columbia; correction..... 14157

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

Rules and Regulations

Food additives; chlortetracycline..... 14155

HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT

See Food and Drug Administration.

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT

See Fish and Wildlife Service; Land Management Bureau.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

Rules and Regulations

Car service order; Southern Pacific Co. authorized to operate over trackage of Missouri Pacific Railroad Co..... 14156

Notices

Fourth section applications for relief..... 14185
Motor carrier, broker, water carrier, and freight forwarder applications..... 14176
Motor carrier temporary authority applications..... 14185
Southern Pacific Co. and Missouri Pacific Railroad Co.; diversion or rerouting of traffic..... 14186

LABOR DEPARTMENT

See Wage and Hour Division.

LAND MANAGEMENT BUREAU

Rules and Regulations

Public land orders:

Idaho (2 documents)..... 14155, 14156
New Mexico..... 14156
Oregon..... 14155
Utah..... 14155

Notices

Classification and proposed classification of public lands for multiple-use management:
Arizona..... 14162
California (2 documents)..... 14163
Colorado (2 documents)..... 14163
Nevada..... 14163
Plats of survey, filing; Alaska (2 documents)..... 14162
Public sale; Nevada..... 14164

(Continued on next page)

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
COMMISSION****Notices***Hearings, etc.:*

Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Co. et al.....	14168
Mississippi Power Co. and Southern Co.....	14169
North American Research & De- velopment Corp.....	14169
Pan American Sulphur Co.....	14169
Roto American Corp.....	14170

TARIFF COMMISSION**Notices**

Eyeglass frames; report to the President	14167
Textile and apparel industries; in- vestigation and hearing.....	14167

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

*See Federal Aviation Administra-
tion.*

TREASURY DEPARTMENT**Notices**

Director, Bureau of Engraving and Printing; designation.....	14162
---	-------

WAGE AND HOUR DIVISION**Rules and Regulations**

Industries of seasonal nature and with marked seasonal peaks of operation; cottonseed process- ing industry; correction.....	14157
---	-------

List of CFR Parts Affected

(Codification Guide)

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, appears at the end of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

A cumulative guide is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since January 1, 1967, and specifies how they are affected.

7 CFR

401 (6 documents).....	14147-14149
402.....	14150
403.....	14150
404.....	14150
406.....	14150
408.....	14150
409.....	14150
410.....	14150
926.....	14150
927.....	14151
1421.....	14151

14 CFR

39.....	14151
71 (7 documents).....	14152-14154
73.....	14154
75.....	14154
PROPOSED RULES:	
71 (2 documents).....	14158

21 CFR

121.....	14155
----------	-------

29 CFR

526.....	14157
----------	-------

43 CFR**PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:**

4214 (corrected by PLO 4294).....	14156
4290.....	14155
4291.....	14155
4292.....	14155
4293.....	14156
4294.....	14156

47 CFR**PROPOSED RULES:**

43.....	14158
73.....	14160
87.....	14161
89.....	14161
91.....	14161
93.....	14161

49 CFR

195.....	14156
----------	-------

50 CFR

32 (2 documents).....	14157
-----------------------	-------

Rules and Regulations

Title 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter IV—Federal Crop Insurance Corporation, Department of Agriculture

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1961 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR RICE CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for rice crop insurance for the 1968 crop year.

ARKANSAS

Arkansas.	Jackson.
Ashley.	Jefferson.
Chicot.	Lonohe.
Clay.	Monroe.
Craighead.	Poinsett.
Crittenden.	Prairie.
Cross.	St. Francis.
Desha.	Woodruff.
Greene.	

LOUISIANA

Acadia.	Jefferson Davis.
Calcasieu.	St. Landry.
Evangeline.	

MISSISSIPPI

Bolivar.	Washington.
----------	-------------

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL]

JOHN N. LUFT,
Manager,

Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[F.R. Doc. 67-11972; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:45 a.m.]

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1961 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR SOYBEAN CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for soybean crop insurance for the 1968 crop year.

ALABAMA

Baldwin.	Jackson.
Escambia.	Madison.

ARKANSAS

Arkansas.	Greene.
Ashley.	Jackson.
Chicot.	Jefferson.
Clay.	Lawrence.
Craighead.	Lee.
Crittenden.	Lincoln.
Cross.	Lonohe.
Desha.	Mississippi.

ARKANSAS—Continued

Monroe.	Randolph.
Phillips.	St. Francis.
Poinsett.	Woodruff.
Prairie.	

DELAWARE

Kent.	Success.
New Castle.	

ILLINOIS

Adams.	Lee.
Bond.	Livingston.
Brown.	Legan.
Bureau.	Macon.
Cass.	Marshall.
Champaign.	McDonough.
Christian.	McLean.
Clark.	Macoupin.
Clinton.	Madison.
Coles.	Macon.
Crawford.	Menard.
Cumberland.	Mercer.
De Kalb.	Monroe.
De Witt.	Montgomery.
Douglas.	Morgan.
Edgar.	Moultrie.
Efingham.	Ogle.
Fayette.	Peoria.
Ford.	Platt.
Fulton.	Pike.
Greene.	St. Clair.
Grundy.	Sangamon.
Hancock.	Schuyler.
Henderson.	Scott.
Henry.	Shelby.
Iroquois.	Tazewell.
Jasper.	Vermilion.
Jefferson.	Warren.
Jersey.	Washington.
Kankakee.	Wayne.
Kendall.	Whiteside.
Knox.	Winnebago.
La Salle.	Woodford.

INDIANA

Adams.	Kosciusko.
Allen.	Lagrange.
Bartholomew.	Madison.
Benton.	Marion.
Blackford.	Marshall.
Boone.	Miami.
Carroll.	Montgomery.
Cass.	Morgan.
Clay.	Newton.
Clinton.	Noble.
Decatur.	Parke.
De Kalb.	Pulaski.
Delaware.	Putnam.
Elkhart.	Randolph.
Fountain.	Ripley.
Fulton.	Rush.
Gibson.	Shelby.
Grant.	Sullivan.
Hamilton.	Tippecanoe.
Hancock.	Tipton.
Hendricks.	Vermillion.
Henry.	Vigo.
Howard.	Wabash.
Huntington.	Warren.
Jackson.	Wayne.
Jasper.	Wells.
Jay.	White.
Johnson.	Whitley.
Knox.	

IOWA

Adair.	Benton.
Adams.	Black Hawk.
Allamakee.	Boone.
Audubon.	Bremer.

IOWA—Continued

Buchanan.	Keokuk.
Buena Vista.	Kossuth.
Butler.	Lee.
Calhoun.	Linn.
Carroll.	Louisa.
Cass.	Lyon.
Cedar.	Madison.
Cerro Gordo.	Mahaska.
Cherokee.	Marion.
Chickasaw.	Marshall.
Clarke.	Mills.
Clay.	Mitchell.
Clayton.	Monona.
Clinton.	Montgomery.
Crawford.	Muscatine.
Dallas.	O'Brien.
Delaware.	Osceola.
Des Moines.	Page.
Dickinson.	Palo Alto.
Dubuque.	Plymouth.
Emmet.	Pocahontas.
Fayette.	Polk.
Floyd.	Pottawattamie.
Franklin.	Poweshiek.
Fremont.	Sac.
Greene.	Scott.
Grundy.	Shelby.
Guthrie.	Sioux.
Hamilton.	Story.
Hancock.	Tama.
Hardin.	Taylor.
Harrison.	Union.
Henry.	Wapello.
Howard.	Warren.
Humboldt.	Washington.
Ida.	Webster.
Iowa.	Winnebago.
Jackson.	Winneshiek.
Jasper.	Woodbury.
Jefferson.	Worth.
Johnson.	Wright.
Jones.	

KANSAS

Allen.	Franklin.
Anderson.	Johnson.
Atchison.	Labette.
Bourbon.	Linn.
Brown.	Lyon.
Cherokee.	Miami.
Coffey.	Neosho.
Crawford.	Osage.
Doniphan.	Wilson.
Douglas.	Woodson.

KENTUCKY

Davies.	McLean.
Fulton.	Union.
Henderson.	

LOUISIANA

Acadia.	Jefferson Davis.
Ayoelles.	Madison.
Bossier.	Morehouse.
Caddo.	Natchitoches.
Calcasieu.	Rapides.
Caldwell.	Red River.
Concordia.	Richland.
Catahoula.	St. Landry.
East Carroll.	Tensas.
Evangeline.	West Carroll.
Franklin.	

MARYLAND

Caroline.	Queen Annes.
Kent.	Talbot.

MICHIGAN

Clinton.	Lenawee.
Gratiot.	Monroe.
Hilldale.	Saginaw.

MICHIGAN—Continued

St. Joseph. Washtenaw.
Shiawassee.

MINNESOTA

Becker. Nicollet.
Big Stone. Nobles.
Blue Earth. Norman.
Brown. Olmstead.
Carver. Otter tail.
Chippewa. Pipestone.
Clay. Pope.
Cottonwood. Redwood.
Dakota. Renville.
Dodge. Rice.
Douglas. Rock.
Faribault. Scott.
Fillmore. Sibley.
Freeborn. Stearns.
Goodhue. Steele.
Grant. Stevens.
Houston. Todd.
Jackson. Traverse.
Kandiyohi. Wabasha.
Lac Qui Parle. Waseca.
Le Sueur. Washington.
Lincoln. Watonwan.
Lyon. Wilkin.
McLeod. Winona.
Martin. Wright.
Meeker. Yellow Medicine.
Mower.
Murray.

MISSISSIPPI

Bolivar. Panola.
Calhoun. Prentiss.
Carroll. Quitman.
Coahoma. Sharkey.
De Soto. Sunflower.
Holmes. Tallahatchie.
Humphreys. Tippah.
Issaquena. Tunica.
Lee. Union.
Leflore. Washington.
Monroe. Yazoo.

MISSOURI

Adair. Knox.
Andrew. Lafayette.
Atchison. Lewis.
Audrain. Lincoln.
Barton. Linn.
Bates. Livingston.
Boone. Macon.
Buchanan. Marion.
Butler. Mississippi.
Caldwell. Monroe.
Callaway. Montgomery.
Cape Girardeau. New Madrid.
Carroll. Nodaway.
Cass. Pemiscot.
Chariton. Pettis.
Clark. Pike.
Clinton. Platte.
Cooper. Ralls.
Davies. Randolph.
De Kalb. Ray.
Dunklin. Scott.
Gentry. St. Charles.
Grundy. Saline.
Harrison. Scotland.
Henry. Shelby.
Holt. Stoddard.
Howard. Sullivan.
Jackson. Vernon.
Jasper. Worth.
Johnson.

NEBRASKA

Cass. Otoe.
Colfax. Richardson.
Cuming. Saunders.
Dodge. Washington.
Nemaha. Wayne.

NORTH CAROLINA

Beaufort. Jones.
Craven. Pamlico.
Hyde. Washington.
Johnston.

NORTH DAKOTA

Cass. Traill.
Richland.

OHIO

Allen. Lucas.
Ashland. Madison.
Auglaize. Marion.
Champaign. Medina.
Clark. Mercer.
Clinton. Miami.
Crawford. Montgomery.
Darke. Morrow.
Defiance. Ottawa.
Delaware. Paulding.
Erie. Pickaway.
Fairfield. Preble.
Fayette. Putnam.
Franklin. Richland.
Fulton. Sandusky.
Greene. Seneca.
Hancock. Shelby.
Hardin. Union.
Henry. Van Wert.
Highland. Wayne.
Huron. Williams.
Knox. Wood.
Licking. Wyandot.
Logan.

OKLAHOMA

Craig. Ottawa.

SOUTH CAROLINA

Aiken. Dillon.
Allendale. Florence.
Bamberg. Hampton.
Barnwell. Lee.
Calhoun. Marlboro.
Clarendon. Orangeburg.
Darlington. Sumter.

SOUTH DAKOTA

Bon Homme. Lake.
Brookings. Lincoln.
Charles Mix. McCook.
Clay. Minnehaha.
Deuel. Moody.
Grant. Roberts.
Hamlin. Turner.
Hutchinson. Union.
Kingsbury. Yankton.

TENNESSEE

Crockett. Lauderdale.
Dyer. Obion.
Fayette. Shelby.
Gibson. Tipton.
Haywood. Weakley.
Lake.

VIRGINIA

Nansemond. Southampton.

WISCONSIN

Buffalo. Pierce.
Dunn. Racine.
Jackson. Rock.
Jefferson. St. Croix.
Kenosha. Trempealeau.
Pepin. Walworth.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL]

JOHN N. LUFT,

Manager,

Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[F.R. Doc. 67-11973; Filed Oct. 11, 1967; 8:45 a.m.]

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1961 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR SUGAR BEET CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for sugar beet crop insurance for the 1968 crop year.

CALIFORNIA

Imperial.

COLORADO

Adams. Morgan.
Boulder. Sedgwick.
Larimer. Weld.
Logan.

IDAHO

Bingham. Minidoka.
Canyon. Owyhee.
Cassia. Power.
Jerome. Twin Falls.

MICHIGAN

Bay. Tuscola.
Saginaw.

MINNESOTA

Clay. Norman.
Marshall. Polk.

MONTANA

Big Horn. Richland.
Carbon. Rosebud.
Custer. Stillwater.
Dawson. Treasure.
Prairie. Yellowstone.

NORTH DAKOTA

Cass. Richland.
Grand Forks. Traill.
McKenzie. Walsh.
Pembina. Williams.

OHIO

Henry. Sandusky.
Ottawa. Wood.
Putnam.

OREGON

Malheur.

UTAH

Box Elder. Salt Lake.
Cache. Utah.

WASHINGTON

Adams. Grant.
Franklin. Yakima.

WYOMING

Big Horn. Park.
Goshen. Washakie.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL]

JOHN N. LUFT,

Manager,

Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[F.R. Doc. 67-11974; Filed Oct. 11, 1967; 8:46 a.m.]

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1961 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR TOBACCO CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for tobacco crop insurance for the 1968 crop year. The type(s) of tobacco on which insurance is offered in each county is shown opposite the county name.

FLORIDA			
Alachua	14	Madison	14
Columbia	14	Suwannee	14
Hamilton	14		
GEORGIA			
Appling	14	Jeff Davis	14
Atkinson	14	Lanier	14
Bacon	14	Lowndes	14
Ben Hill	14	Mitchell	14
Berrien	14	Pierce	14
Brooks	14	Tattnall	14
Bulloch	14	Thomas	14
Candler	14	Tift	14
Coffee	14	Toombs	14
Colquitt	14	Turner	14
Cook	14	Ware	14
Decatur	14	Wayne	14
Irwin	14	Worth	14
KENTUCKY			
Adair	31	Logan	22, 31, 35
Allen	31, 35	Madison	31
Anderson	31	Marion	31
Barren	31	Marshall	23, 31, 35
Bath	31	Mason	31
Boone	31	McLean	31, 36
Bourbon	31	Meade	31
Boyle	31	Mercer	31
Bracken	31	Metcalfe	31
Breckinridge	31	Montgomery	31
Caldwell	22, 31, 35	Muhlenberg	22, 31, 35
Calloway	23, 35	Nelson	31
Carroll	31	Nicholas	31
Casey	31	Ohio	31, 36
Christian	22, 31, 35	Owen	31
Clark	31	Pendleton	31
Daviess	31, 36	Pulaski	31
Fayette	31	Robertson	31
Fleming	31	Russell	31
Franklin	31	Scott	31
Garrard	31	Shelby	31
Grant	31	Simpson	22, 31, 35
Graves	23, 31, 35	Spencer	31
Green	31	Taylor	31
Harrison	31	Todd	22, 31, 35
Hart	31	Trigg	22, 31, 35
Henderson	31, 36	Union	31, 36
Henry	31	Warren	31, 35
Jessamine	31	Washington	31
Larue	31	Wayne	31
Lewis	31	Woodford	31
Lincoln	31		

MARYLAND

Anne Arundel	32	Prince	
Calvert	32	Georges	32
Charles	32	St. Marys	32

MISSOURI

Buchanan	31	Platte	31
----------	----	--------	----

NORTH CAROLINA

Alamance	11a	Bladen	13
Alexander	11a	Brunswick	13
Beaufort	12	Buncombe	31
Bertie	12	Carteret	12

NORTH CAROLINA—Continued

Caswell	11a	Mitchell	31
Chatham	11b	Montgomery	11b
Chowan	12	Moore	11b
Columbus	13	Nash	12
Craven	12	Northampton	12
Cumberland	13	Onslow	12
Davidson	11a	Orange	11b
Duplin	12	Pamlico	12
Durham	11b	Pender	12
Edgecombe	12	Person	11a
Forsyth	11a	Pitt	12
Franklin	11b	Randolph	11a
Gates	12	Richmond	11b
Granville	11b	Robeson	13
Greene	12	Rockingham	11a
Gulford	11a	Sampson	12
Halifax	12	Scotland	13
Harnett	11b	Stokes	11a
Haywood	31	Surry	11a
Hertford	12	Vance	11b
Hoke	13	Wake	11b
Iredell	11a	Warren	11b
Johnston	12	Washington	12
Jones	12	Wayne	12
Lee	11b	Wilcox	12
Lenoir	12	Yadkin	11a
Madison	31	Yancey	31
Martin	12	Wilkes	11a

OHIO

Adams	31	Highland	31
Brown	31		

PENNSYLVANIA

Lancaster	41	York	41
Lebanon	41		

SOUTH CAROLINA

Chesterfield	13	Lee	13
Clarendon	13	Marion	13
Darlington	13	Marlboro	13
Dillon	13	Orangeburg	13
Florence	13	Sumter	13
Georgetown	13	Williamsburg	13
Horry	13		

TENNESSEE

Blount	31	Marshall	31
Claborn	31	Maury	31
Carter	31	McMinn	31
Cocke	31	Monroe	31
De Kalb	31	Montgomery	22, 31
Dickson	22, 31	Oblon	23, 35
Franklin	31	Putnam	31
Giles	31	Robertson	22, 31, 35
Grainger	31	Sevier	31
Greene	31	Smith	31
Hamblen	31	Stewart	22, 31
Hancock	31	Sullivan	31
Hawkins	31	Sumner	22, 31, 35
Jackson	31	Trousdale	31
Jefferson	31	Unicoi	31
Johnson	31	Washington	31
Knox	31	Weakley	23, 35
Lawrence	31	White	31
Lincoln	31	Williamson	31
Loudon	31	Wilson	31
Macon	31, 35		

VIRGINIA

Amelia	11a, 21	Nansemond	11a
Appomattox	11a, 21	Nottoway	11a, 21
Brunswick	11a, 21	Pittsylvania	11a
Campbell	11a, 21	Prince	
Charlotte	11a, 21	Edward	11a, 21
Cumberland	11a, 21	Prince	
Dinwiddie	11a, 21	George	11a
Franklin	11a	Russell	31
Greensville	11a	Scott	31
Halifax	11a	Smyth	31
Lee	31	Southampton	11a
Lunenburg	11a	Sussex	11a
Mecklenburg	11a	Washington	31

WISCONSIN

Crawford	55	Richland	55
Dane	54	Trempealeau	55
La Crosse	55	Vernon	55

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] JOHN N. LUFT,
Manager,
Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[P.R. Doc. 67-11975; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:46 a.m.]

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1961 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR TOMATO CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for tomato crop insurance for the 1968 crop year.

OHIO

Fulton	Putnam
Henry	Sandusky
Lucas	Wood

UTAH

Box Elder	Utah
Davis	Weber
Salt Lake	

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] JOHN N. LUFT,
Manager,
Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[P.R. Doc. 67-11976; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:46 a.m.]

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1961 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTY DESIGNATED FOR WHEAT CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following county is hereby added to the list of counties published March 18, 1967 (32 F.R. 4275), March 31, 1967 (32 F.R. 5416), June 16, 1967 (32 F.R. 8665), and July 22, 1967 (32 F.R. 10781), which were designated for wheat crop insurance for the 1968 crop year.

MINNESOTA

Douglas.
(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] JOHN N. LUFT,
Manager,
Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[P.R. Doc. 67-11977; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:46 a.m.]

PART 402—RAISIN CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1966 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR RAISIN CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 402.1 of the above-identified regulations, the following counties have been designated for raisin crop insurance for the 1968 crop year.

CALIFORNIA

Fresno. Merced.
Kern. Stanislaus.
Kings. Tulare.
Madera.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] JOHN N. LUFT,
Manager,
Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.
[F.R. Doc. 67-11971; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:45 a.m.]

PART 403—PEACH CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1965 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR PEACH CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 403.40 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for peach crop insurance for the 1968 crop year.

ALABAMA

Chilton.

ARKANSAS

Cross. Lee.
Johnson. St. Francis.

GEORGIA

Peach. Upson.

NORTH CAROLINA

Cleveland. Richmond.
Montgomery. Rutherford.
Moore.

SOUTH CAROLINA

Aiken. Laurens.
Allendale. Lexington.
Barnwell. Saluda.
Chesterfield. Spartanburg.
Edgefield. York.
Greenville.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] JOHN N. LUFT,
Manager,
Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.
[F.R. Doc. 67-11968; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:45 a.m.]

PART 404—APPLE CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1967 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR APPLE CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 404.20 of the above-identified regula-

tions, the following counties have been designated for apple crop insurance for the 1968 crop year.

Umatilla.

OREGON

WASHINGTON

Chelan. Douglas.
Columbia. Okanogan.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73 as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] JOHN N. LUFT,
Manager,
Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.
[F.R. Doc. 67-11954; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:45 a.m.]

PART 406—CALIFORNIA ORANGE CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1963 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR ORANGE CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 406.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for orange crop insurance for the 1968 crop year.

CALIFORNIA

Fresno. Tulare.
Kern.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] JOHN N. LUFT,
Manager,
Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.
[F.R. Doc. 67-11964; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:45 a.m.]

PART 408—NORTH CAROLINA APPLE CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1965 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR APPLE CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 408.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for apple crop insurance for the 1968 crop year.

NORTH CAROLINA

Alexander. Wilkes.
Henderson.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] JOHN N. LUFT,
Manager,
Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.
[F.R. Doc. 67-11953; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:45 a.m.]

PART 409—ARIZONA-DESERT VALLEY CITRUS CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1967 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR CITRUS CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 409.20 of the above-identified regula-

tions, the following counties have been designated for citrus crop insurance for the 1968 crop year.

ARIZONA

Maricopa. Yuma.

CALIFORNIA

Riverside.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] JOHN N. LUFT,
Manager,
Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.
[F.R. Doc. 67-11956; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:45 a.m.]

PART 410—FLORIDA CITRUS CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1967 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR CITRUS CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 410.20 of the above-identified regulations, the following counties have been designated for citrus crop insurance for the 1968 crop year.

FLORIDA

Brevard. Marion.
De Soto. Martin.
Hardee. Orange.
Hernando. Osceola.
Highlands. Pasco.
Hillsborough. Polk.
Indian River. St. Lucie.
Lake. Seminole.
Manatee.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] JOHN N. LUFT,
Manager,
Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.
[F.R. Doc. 67-11957; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:45 a.m.]

Chapter IX—Consumer and Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders; Fruits, Vegetables, Nuts), Department of Agriculture

PART 926—TOKAY GRAPES GROWN IN SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

Expenses and Rate of Assessment

On September 21, 1967, notice of rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (32 F.R. 13331) regarding proposed expenses and the related rate of assessment for the period beginning April 1, 1967, and ending March 31, 1968, pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 926, as amended (7 CFR Part 926; 32 F.R. 13045), regulating the handling of Tokay grapes grown in San Joaquin County, Calif., effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674). After consideration of all relevant matters presented, including the proposals set forth in such notice which were submitted by the Industry Committee (established pursuant to said amended

marketing agreement and order), it is hereby found and determined that:

§ 926.207 Expenses and rate of assessment.

(a) *Expenses.* Expenses that are reasonable and likely to be incurred by the Industry Committee during the period April 1, 1967, through March 31, 1968, will amount to \$45,175.

(b) *Rate of assessment.* The rate of assessment for said period, payable by each handler in accordance with § 926.46, is fixed at \$0.015 per standard package or equivalent quantity of grapes.

It is hereby further found that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date hereof until 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) in that (1) shipments of Tokay grapes are now being made; (2) the relevant provisions of said marketing agreement and this part require that the rate of assessment fixed for a particular fiscal period shall be applicable to all assessable Tokay grapes from the beginning of such period; and (3) such period began on April 1, 1967, and the rate of assessment herein fixed will automatically apply to all assessable grapes beginning with such date.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: October 9, 1967.

F. L. SOUTHERLAND,
Acting Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12087; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:51 a.m.]

[Pear Reg. 6, Amdt. 1]

PART - 927—BEURRE D'ANJOU, BEURRE BOSC, WINTER NELIS, DOYENNE DU COMICE, BEURRE EASTER, AND BEURRE CLAIRGEAU VARIETIES OF PEARS GROWN IN OREGON, WASHINGTON, AND CALIFORNIA

Regulation by Grades, Quality, and Sizes

Findings. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 927, as amended (7 CFR Part 927), regulating the handling of the Beurre D'Anjou, Beurre Bosc, Winter Nelis, Doyenne du Comice, Beurre Easter, and Beurre Clairgeau varieties of pears grown in Oregon, Washington, and California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Control Committee, established under the aforesaid amended

marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of shipments of such pears, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this amendment until 30 days after publication thereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) in that, as hereinafter set forth, the time intervening between the date when information upon which this amendment is based became available and the time when this amendment must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient; and this amendment relieves restrictions on the handling of Beurre D'Anjou pears.

(a) *Order, as amended.* The provisions of paragraph (a) (1) of § 927.306 (Pear Reg. 6; 32 F.R. 11698) are hereby amended by deleting subdivisions (i) and (ii) therefrom.

(b) *Effective time.* The provisions of this amendment shall become effective October 9, 1967.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: October 9, 1967.

F. L. SOUTHERLAND,
Acting Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12088; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:51 a.m.]

Chapter XIV—Commodity Credit Corporation, Department of Agriculture SUBCHAPTER B—LOANS, PURCHASES, AND OTHER OPERATIONS

[CCC Grain Price Support Regs., 1967 Crop Peanut Farm-Stored Loan and Purchase Supp.]

PART 1421—GRAINS AND SIMILARLY HANDLED COMMODITIES

Subpart—1967 Crop Farm-Stored Peanut Loan and Purchase Program

AVAILABILITY; CORRECTION

F.R. Doc. 67-10362, published at page 12745 in the issue dated September 6, 1967, is corrected by changing the final phrase in § 1421.3627(b), "or their intent to sell," to read "of their intent to sell."

Signed at Washington, D.C., on October 6, 1967.

H. D. GODFREY,
Executive Vice President,
Commodity Credit Corporation.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12074; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:50 a.m.]

Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

Chapter I—Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation

[Airworthiness Docket No. 67-WE-20-AD; Amdt. 39-491]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

McDonnell Douglas Model DC-8 Series Airplanes

AD-63-27-1, Amendment 665 (29 F.R. 13), as amended by Amendment 720 (29 F.R. 5542), and Amendment 793 (29 F.R. 11590), requires, inter alia, lubrication of the bogie beam swivel joint at intervals not to exceed every 75 hours time in service on McDonnell Douglas Model DC-8 Series airplanes incorporating bogie beam assemblies P/Ns 5719124, 5760631, or 5760633. The present lubrication requirement applies to bogie beam assemblies irrespective of whether they have been reworked in accordance with AD 63-27-1. After issuing Amendment 793, the FAA has determined that on the basis of service experience the lubrication requirements for certain reworked bogie beam assemblies are unnecessary and that the repetitive lubrication interval applicable to other bogie beam assemblies can be extended without affecting the level of safety. Accordingly, AD 63-27-1 is being further amended to eliminate the requirement for repetitive lubrication of bogie beam assemblies reworked per Kit "A" or Kit "E" of Douglas DC-8 Service Bulletin No. 32-79 and to increase the repetitive lubrication interval from 75 to 100 hours time in service for all bogie beam assemblies that have not been reworked per Kit "A" or Kit "E".

It should be noted that bogie beam assemblies reworked per Kit "D" of the Service Bulletin referenced above are still subject to required repetitive lubrication at intervals specified herein. Furthermore, while not part of this Airworthiness Directive, bogie beam assemblies reworked per Kit "A" or Kit "E" should still be lubricated between the swivel pin and the lower swivel lug bushing in accordance with good maintenance practice.

Since this amendment relieves a restriction and imposes no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary, and the amendment may be made effective in less than thirty (30) days.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (31 F.R. 13697), § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations, AD 63-27-1, Amendment 665 (29 F.R. 13), as amended by Amendment 720 (29 F.R. 5442) and Amendment 793 (29 F.R. 11590), is further amended as follows:

Paragraph (d) is amended to read as follows:

(d) Bogle beam assemblies that have not been reworked per Kit "A" or Kit "E" of Douglas Service Bulletin No. 32-79 dated July 11, 1962, or later FAA-approved revision, or by a method approved by the Chief, Aircraft Engineering Division, FAA, Western Region, must be lubricated at the bogle beam swivel joint in accordance with lubrication instructions contained in Figure 2 of that Service Bulletin, or later FAA-approved revision, or by a lubrication procedure approved by an FAA Air Carrier Maintenance Inspector at intervals not to exceed 100 hours time in service from the last lubrication. Bogle beam assemblies which have been reworked per Kit "A" or Kit "E" or an equivalent as specified in this Paragraph are not subject to the lubrication requirements specified in this Paragraph.

This amendment becomes effective on October 13, 1967.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423)

Issued in Los Angeles, Calif., on October 3, 1967.

ARVIN O. BASNIGHT,
Regional Director, Western Region.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12061; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:49 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 67-SO-97]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zone

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to alter the Memphis, Tenn. (Metropolitan Airport), control zone.

The Memphis (Metropolitan Airport) control zone is described in § 71.171 (32 F.R. 2071 and 7493).

An extension to the control zone is described as " * * * within 2 miles each side of the Memphis VORTAC 353° radial, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 20 miles north of the VOR TAC; * * * "

Because of the cancellation of AL-253-VOR-RWY-17 standard instrument approach procedure, this control zone extension is no longer required and is omitted from the amended description.

Since this amendment is less restrictive in nature, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective immediately, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.171 (32 F.R. 2071), the Memphis, Tenn. (Metropolitan Airport), control zone (32 F.R. 7493) is amended as follows: " * * * within 2 miles each side of the Memphis VORTAC 353° radial, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 20 miles north of the VORTAC; * * * "

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1348(a))

Issued in East Point, Ga., on September 29, 1967.

JAMES G. ROGERS,
Director, Southern Region.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12063; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:49 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 67-CE-113]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zones and Transition Area

The purpose of these amendments to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to alter the Great Falls, Mont. (International Airport) and Great Falls, Mont. (Malmstrom Air Force Base) control zones and the Great Falls transition area.

As a result of three instrument approach procedure modifications at Malmstrom Air Force Base, Great Falls, Mont., it is necessary to make minor changes in the Great Falls, Mont. (International Airport), and Great Falls, Mont. (Malmstrom Air Force Base), control zones in order to protect aircraft executing these altered approach procedures. In addition, the Simms, Mont., holding pattern has been altered which requires a slight modification in the Great Falls, Mont., 1,200-foot floor transition area designation. Action is taken herein to effect these changes.

Since the aforementioned changes are minor in nature and impose no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended effective December 7, 1967, as hereinafter set forth:

(1) In § 71.171 (32 F.R. 2071), the following control zones are amended to read:

GREAT FALLS, MONTANA (INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT)

Within a 5-mile radius of Great Falls International Airport (latitude 47°29'00" N., longitude 111°22'00" W.); within 2 miles each side of the Great Falls ILS localizer south course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the OM; within 2 miles each side of the Great Falls VOR 222° radial, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles southwest of the VOR; and within 2 miles each side of the Malmstrom AFB TACAN 230° radial, extending from the arc of a 5-mile radius circle centered on Malmstrom AFB (latitude 47°30'25" N., longitude 111°11'05" W.), to 10 miles southwest of the Malmstrom AFB TACAN, excluding the portion which overlies the Great Falls (Malmstrom AFB), control zone.

GREAT FALLS, MONTANA (MALMSTROM AIR FORCE BASE)

Within a 5-mile radius of Malmstrom AFB (latitude 47°30'25" N., longitude 111°11'05" W.); within 2 miles each side of the Malmstrom AFB VOR 036° radial, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles northeast of the VOR; within 2 miles each side of the Malmstrom AFB TACAN 039° radial, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 10 miles northeast of the TACAN; and within 2 miles each side of the Malmstrom AFB ILS localizer northeast course, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the OM, excluding the portion southwest of a line between the INTs of the 5-mile radius zone and the 5-mile radius of Great Falls (International Airport), control zone.

(2) In § 71.181 (32 F.R. 2148), the following transition area is amended to read:

GREAT FALLS, MONTANA

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 20-mile radius of Malmstrom AFB (latitude 47°30'25" N., longitude 111°11'05" W.); and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within a 40-mile radius of Malmstrom AFB; within 12 miles north and 8 miles south of the Great Falls VOR 074° radial, extending from the 40-mile radius area to 61 miles east of the VOR; and within 12 miles south and 8 miles north of the Great Falls VOR 273° radial extending from the 40-mile radius area to 56 miles west of the VOR.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued at Kansas City, Mo., on September 22, 1967.

DANIEL E. BARROW,
Acting Director, Central Region.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12064; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:49 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 67-EA-13]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zone and Transition Area

On page 11643 of the FEDERAL REGISTER for August 11, 1967, the Federal Aviation Administration published proposed regulations which would alter the Baltimore, Md., control zone and 700-foot floor transition area.

Interested parties were given 30 days after publication in which to submit written data or views. No objections to the proposed regulations have been received.

In view of the foregoing, the proposed regulations are hereby adopted effective 0001 e.s.t., December 7, 1967.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on September 26, 1967.

WAYNE HENDERSHOT,
Acting Director, Eastern Region.

1. Amend § 71.171 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by deleting the description of the Baltimore, Md. control zone and insert in lieu thereof the following:

Within a 5-mile radius of the center, 39°10'25" N., 76°40'15" W., of Friendship International Airport, Baltimore, Md.; and within 2 miles each side of the Baltimore ILS west course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 10 miles west of the OM.

2. Amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by deleting the description of the 700-foot floor Baltimore, Md. transition area and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 9-mile radius of the center, 39°10'25" N., 76°40'15" W., of Friendship International Airport, Baltimore, Md.; within 2 miles each side of the runway 33 centerline extended from the 9-mile radius area to 9 miles northwest of the end of the runway; within 5 miles north and 8 miles south of the Baltimore ILS west

course extending from the 9-mile radius area to 12 miles west of the OM; within a 6-mile radius of the center, 39°19'35" N., 76°25'00" W., of Martin Airport, Baltimore, Md.; within 2 miles each side of the runway 14 centerline extended from the 6-mile radius area to 7 miles southeast of the end of the runway; within 2 miles each side of the runway 36 centerline extended from the 6-mile radius area to 9 miles north of the end of the runway; within 2 miles each side of a 132° bearing from the Martin RBN (39°18'15" N., 76°22'45" W.) extending from the 6-mile radius area to 8 miles southeast of the RBN; within 2 miles each side of the Martin TACAN (39°19'18" N., 76°24'42" W.) 317° radial extending from the 6-mile radius area to 15 miles northwest of the TACAN and within a 19-mile radius arc of the Baltimore VORTAC extending clockwise from the Baltimore VORTAC 004° radial to the 035° radial.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12065; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:49 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 67-WE-53]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zone and Transition Area

On August 23, 1967, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (32 F.R. 12119) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration was considering amendments to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations which would alter the controlled airspace in the Denver, Colo., terminal area. Interested persons were given 30 days in which to submit written comments, suggestions, or objections.

No objections have been received, and the proposed amendments are hereby adopted subject to the following changes to the description of the Denver, Colo., transition area in § 71.181:

1. Delete " * * *, excluding the airspace within Federal airways and the Greeley, Colo., transition area." at the termination of the description of the Denver, Colo., transition area.

2. Insert " * * *, excluding the airspace within Federal airways * * *" at the end of the 7,500-foot MSL portion of the Denver transition area.

3. One set of coordinates was inadvertently omitted from the 13,700-foot MSL portion of the Denver transition area; therefore, insert " * * * thence direct latitude 39°05'00" N., longitude 105°26'00" W., * * *" after " * * * thence direct latitude 39°05'00" N., longitude 105°23'00" W., * * *".

Since these changes are minor in nature, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary.

Effective date. These amendments shall be effective 0001 e.s.t., December 7, 1967.

Issued in Los Angeles, Calif., on October 2, 1967.

LEE E. WARREN,
Acting Director, Western Region.

In § 71.171 (32 F.R. 2088) the Denver, Colo., control zone is amended to read as follows:

DENVER, COLO.

Within a 9-mile radius of Stapleton Municipal Airport (latitude 39°46'30" N., longitude 104°52'40" W.), within a 9-mile radius of Buckley ANGB Airport (latitude 39°42'05" N., longitude 104°45'10" W.), and within 2 miles each side of the 151° bearing from Buckley ANGB, extending from the 9-mile radius zone to 15 miles southeast of Buckley ANGB, excluding the portion within a 1-mile radius of Skyline Airport (latitude 39°46'37" N., longitude 104°36'57" W.).

In § 71.165 (32 F.R. 2069) the Denver, Colo., control area extension is revoked.

In § 71.181 (32 F.R. 2177) the Denver, Colo., transition area is amended to read as follows:

DENVER, COLO.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface, within an arc of a 22-mile radius circle centered on Stapleton Airport (latitude 39°46'30" N., longitude 104°52'40" W.) extending clockwise between the 253° and 078° bearings from Stapleton Airport, within an arc of a 37-mile radius circle centered on Stapleton Airport extending clockwise between the 078° and 160° bearings from Stapleton Airport, within an arc of a 30-mile radius circle centered on Stapleton Airport extending clockwise between the 160° and 182° bearings from Stapleton Airport, and within an arc of a 24-mile radius circle centered on Stapleton Airport extending clockwise between the 182° and 253° bearings from the Stapleton Airport; that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface bounded on the north by latitude 40°30'00" N., on the east by longitude 104°00'00" W., on the south by latitude 39°05'00" N., and on the west by longitude 105°20'00" W.; that airspace northeast of Greeley, Colo., extending upward from 7,500 feet MSL bounded on the northeast by V-132, on the SE by V-160, on the south by latitude 40°30'00" N., and on the northwest by V-207, and that airspace east of Denver bounded on the northwest by V-160, on the northeast by V-132, on the east by V-169, on the south by latitude 39°05'00" N., and on the west by longitude 104°00'00" W. excluding the airspace within Federal airways; that airspace west of Denver extending upward from 11,500 feet MSL, bounded on the north by latitude 40°30'00" N., on the east by longitude 105°20'00" W., on the south by latitude 39°05'00" N., on the west by longitude 105°23'00" W.; that airspace extending upward from 12,700 feet MSL bounded on the north by latitude 40°30'00" N., on the east by longitude 105°23'00" W., on the south by latitude 39°20'00" N., thence direct latitude 39°30'00" N., longitude 105°30'00" W., and on the west by longitude 105°30'00" W.; and that airspace extending upward from 13,700 feet MSL bounded on the north by latitude 40°30'00" N., on the east by longitude 105°30'00" W. to latitude 39°30'00" N., thence direct to latitude 39°20'00" N., longitude 105°23'00" W., thence direct latitude 39°05'00" N., longitude 105°23'00" W., thence direct latitude 39°05'00" N., longitude 105°26'00" W., thence direct latitude 39°44'00" N., longitude 105°38'00" W., thence direct latitude 40°30'00" N., longitude 105°33'00" W.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348))

[F.R. Doc. 67-12066; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:49 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 67-SO-25]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Designation of Control Zone and Transition Area

On August 16, 1967, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (32 F.R. 11805) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration was considering amendments to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would designate a control zone and transition area at Ponce, P.R.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rule making through the submission of comments. All comments received were favorable.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended effective 0001 e.s.t., December 7, 1967, as hereinafter set forth.

1. In § 71.171 (32 F.R. 2071) the Ponce, P.R., control zone is designated to read:

PONCE, P.R.

Within a 5-mile radius of the Mercedita Airport, Ponce, P.R., (lat. 18°00'40" N., long. 65°33'50" W.); within 2 miles each side of the Ponce VOR 111° radial, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles southeast of the VOR. This control zone is effective during specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the FAA publication International Notams.

2. In § 71.181 (32 F.R. 2148) the Ponce, P.R., transition area is designated to read:

PONCE, P.R.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 15-mile radius of Mercedita Airport, Ponce, P.R., (lat. 18°00'40" N., long. 65°33'50" W.) north of lat. 18°00'00" N., and within an 8-mile radius of Mercedita Airport south of lat. 18°00'00" N.

(Secs. 307(a), 1110, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348, 1510); Executive Order 10354 (24 F.R. 9565))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on October 4, 1967.

T. McCORMACK,
Acting Chief, Airspace and
Air Traffic Rules Division.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12068; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:49 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 67-SW-55]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Designation of Transition Area

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to designate the Decatur, Ark., transition area.

On August 26, 1967, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (32 F.R. 12449) stating

the Federal Aviation Administration proposed to designate the Decatur, Ark., transition area.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the rule making through submission of comments. All comments received were favorable.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0001 e.s.t., December 7, 1967, as herein set forth.

In § 71.181 (32 F.R. 2148), the following transition area is added:

DECATUR, ARK.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6-mile radius of Crystal Lake Airport (lat. 36°20'30" N., long. 94°26'45" W.); within 2 miles each side of the Fayetteville VORTAC 292° radial extending from the 6-mile radius area to 31 miles northwest of the VORTAC; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within the area bounded by a line beginning at lat. 36°25'00" N., long. 94°20'45" W., to lat. 36°23'45" N., long. 94°21'30" W., thence counterclockwise along the arc of a 6-mile radius circle centered at lat. 36°20'30" N., long. 94°26'45" W., to lat. 36°24'45" N., long. 94°30'45" W., to lat. 36°30'00" N., long. 94°37'00" W., to point of beginning.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Fort Worth, Tex., on September 29, 1967.

HENRY L. NEWMAN,
Director, Southwest Region.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12069; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:49 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 67-WE-10]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

PART 73—SPECIAL USE AIRSPACE

Designation of Restricted Area and Alteration of Continental Control Area

On June 13, 1967, a notice of proposed rule making (NPRM) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (32 F.R. 8422) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration was considering amendments to Parts 71 and 73 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would designate a new joint use restricted area near Blythe, Calif., and include it in the continental control area.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rule making through the submission of comments. Due consideration was given to all relevant matter presented.

The Airports Director of Riverside County, Calif., supported by the California Aeronautics Division, objected to the proposal stating that designation of the restricted area would restrict the development of the Blythe Airport. He further stated that designation of the proposed restricted area would curtail the training programs of the fixed base operator at Blythe who has 20 flight students who practice southwest of the airport and Pacific Southwest Airlines (PSA) which

has recently installed an instrument landing system in preparation for jet training in Boeing 727 and Douglas DC-9 aircraft. PSA's usage of the ILS is estimated at 4,000 landings per month and their operational training plan is to utilize the area northeast and southwest of the airport. The Airports Director also suggested as an alternative that R-2507 be expanded southwesterly towards Brawley or the expansion of R-2521 southwesterly to 3 miles east of Ocotillo Dry Lake Airport, or both.

The FAA has thoroughly investigated the foregoing objections and possible alternatives. The uncontrolled airspace northeast and northwest of Blythe should provide sufficient airspace for the off-airway portions of the PSA training program and for flight instruction and practice of private student pilots. Also, it has been determined that the new practice approach to Runway 26 at the Blythe Airport, or any ILS approach that may ultimately be developed, will in no way be derogated by designation of the proposed restriction area. For these reasons we do not agree that the addition of the proposed restricted area would seriously interfere with or arrest the development of Blythe Airport. The relocation of the proposed restricted area southwest of R-2507 would block a major VFR flyway based on the Southern Pacific Railroad tracks which bisect R-2507 and R-2512. Further the activities within R-2512 are not conducive to shared use with other training programs. The southwestward extension of R-2521 is also impractical, due to underlying habitation, U.S. Highway 99 vehicular traffic, and another major VFR flyway between Imperial and Palm Springs.

The Air Transport Association of America objected to the proposal but stated that if the northeast sector within approximately 20 miles of Blythe could in some way be protected from high speed military training activities, the proposed new restricted area would be much more acceptable. A review of the August 17, 1967, DoD FLIP Low Altitude High Speed Training Route Chart shows four routes in the south and southwest sectors of Blythe as opposed to only two routes in the northwest and northeast sectors. In view of this it appears that the northwest and northeast sectors would be most desirable for PSA and the fixed-base operator to conduct their training operations.

The FAA recognizes that this restricted area will cause some inconvenience to certain civil users in this vicinity but in exercising its authority and responsibility, full consideration must be given to the airspace requirements involving national defense as well as to those of civil users. However, the FAA has decided to place a limit of 1 year on the designation of the restricted area. This will permit a review of the compatibility of the restricted area with the training operations conducted at Blythe Airport prior to any extension of this designation.

In consideration of the foregoing, Parts 71 and 73 of the Federal Aviation Regulations are amended, effective 0001 e.s.t.,

December 7, 1967, as hereinafter set forth.

1. In § 73.25 (32 F.R. 2297) the following is added:

R-2532 BLYTHE, CALIF.

Boundaries: Beginning at lat. 33°30'30" N., long. 115°00'00" W.; thence counterclockwise along the arc of an 18-mile radius circle centered on the Blythe, Calif., airport at lat. 33°37'15" N., long. 114°43'00" W.; to lat. 33°23'50" N., long. 114°53'00" W.; to lat. 33°08'45" N., long. 114°56'40" W.; to lat. 33°22'50" N., long. 115°09'58" W.; to lat. 33°21'40" N., long. 115°12'00" W.; to lat. 33°24'15" N., long. 115°17'00" W.; to lat. 33°25'50" N., long. 115°14'30" W.; thence to point of beginning.

Time of designation: Sunrise to sunset, December 7, 1967, through December 7, 1968.

Designated altitudes: 100 feet AGL to 17,000 feet MSL.

Controlling agency: FAA, Los Angeles ARTC Center.

Using agency: MCAS, Yuma, Ariz.

2. In § 71.151 (32 F.R. 2061) "R-2532 Blythe, Calif." is added.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on October 4, 1967.

WILLIAM E. MORGAN,
Acting Director,
Air Traffic Service.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12067; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:49 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 67-WE-30]

PART 75—ESTABLISHMENT OF JET ROUTES

Extension of Jet Route

On August 4, 1967, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (32 F.R. 11336) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration was considering the extension of Jet Route No. 11 from Phoenix, Ariz., to Tucson, Ariz.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rule making through the submission of comments. All comments received were favorable.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 75 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0001 e.s.t., December 7, 1967, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 75.100 (32 F.R. 2341) Jet Route No. 11 is amended to read:

JET ROUTE No. 11 (TUCSON, ARIZ., TO SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH)

From Tucson, Ariz., via INT Tucson 310° and Phoenix, Ariz., 161° radials; Phoenix; Prescott, Ariz.; Bryce Canyon, Utah; Provo, Utah; to Salt Lake City, Utah.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on October 4, 1967.

T. McCORMACK,
Acting Chief, Airspace and Air
Traffic Rules Division.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12062; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:49 a.m.]

Title 21—FOOD AND DRUGS

Chapter I—Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

SUBCHAPTER B—FOOD AND FOOD PRODUCTS

PART 121—FOOD ADDITIVES

Subpart C—Food Additives Permitted in the Feed and Drinking Water of Animals or for the Treatment of Food-Producing Animals

CHLORTETRACYCLINE

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs, having evaluated the data submitted in a petition (FAP 3C0975) filed by American Cynamid Co., Agricultural Division,

Post Office Box 400, Princeton, N.J. 08540, and other relevant material, has concluded that the food additive regulations should be amended to provide for the safe use of chlortetracycline in chicken feed as an aid in the reduction of mortality due to *E. coli* infections susceptible to such treatment. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(c) (1), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(c) (1)), and under the authority delegated to the Commissioner by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare (21 CFR 2.120), § 121.208(d) is amended by adding to table 1 a new item, as follows:

§ 121.208 Chlortetracycline.

(d)

TABLE 1—CHLORTETRACYCLINE IN COMPLETE CHICKEN AND TURKEY FEEDS

Principal Ingredient	Grams per ton	Combined with—	Grams per ton	Limitations	Indications for use
14. Chlortetracycline...	500			For chickens; not to be fed to laying chickens; as chlortetracycline hydrochloride; in feed containing 0.8 percent dietary calcium; not to be fed continuously for more than 5 days; withdraw 24 hours prior to slaughter.	Aid in the reduction of mortality due to <i>E. coli</i> infections susceptible to such treatment.

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time within 30 days from the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER file with the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 5440, 330 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20201, written objections thereto, preferably in quintuplicate. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof.

Effective date. This order shall become effective on the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 409(c) (1), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(c) (1))

Dated: October 5, 1967.

J. K. KIRK,
Associate Commissioner
for Compliance.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12090; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:51 a.m.]

Title 43—PUBLIC LANDS: INTERIOR

Chapter II—Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior

APPENDIX—PUBLIC LAND ORDERS

[Public Land Order 4230]

[Idaho 55]

IDAHO

Partial Revocation of Reclamation Project Withdrawal

By virtue of the authority contained in section 3 of the act of June 17, 1902 (32 Stat. 388; 43 U.S.C. 416), as amended and supplemented, it is ordered as follows:

1. The departmental order of October 9, 1928, withdrawing lands for the Boise Project, is hereby revoked so far as it affects the following described lands:

BOISE MERIDIAN

BOISE NATIONAL FOREST

T. 3 N., R. 7 E.,
Sec. 30, lot 1, NE¼, E½NW¼.

The areas described aggregate 272.91 acres in Elmore County.

2. At 10 a.m. on November 10, 1967, the lands shall be open to such forms of disposition as may by law be made of national forest lands.

HARRY R. ANDERSON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

OCTOBER 5, 1967.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12040; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:47 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 4231]

[Utah 2679]

UTAH

Withdrawal for National Forest Recreation Areas

By virtue of the authority vested in the President and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952 (17 F.R. 4831), it is ordered as follows:

1. Subject to valid existing rights, the following described national forest lands are hereby withdrawn from appropriation under the mining laws (30 U.S.C., Ch. 2), but not from leasing under the mineral leasing laws, in aid of programs of the Department of Agriculture:

SALT LAKE MERIDIAN

ASHLEY NATIONAL FOREST

Spirit Lake Recreation Area

T. 1 N., R. 17 E., unsurveyed.

When surveyed will probably be in the N¼, sec. 8, more particularly described as:

Beginning at point "A", which is a square concrete post approximately 2 feet long and 8 inches in diameter, set in the ground approximately 15 to 18 inches and which is located N. 31°45' E., 340 feet from the Northeast corner of the Spirit Lake Lodge Building; thence by metes and bounds, N. 70° W., 455 feet; S. 24° W., 650 feet; S. 60°30' E., 250 feet; S. 25° E., 170 feet; S. 84°30' E., 395 feet; S. 48°45' E., 320 feet; N. 64° E., 162 feet; N. 83° E., 212 feet; S. 54° E., 125 feet; S. 79° E., 114 feet; N. 58°30' E., 203 feet; N. 10° W., 355 feet; N. 31° E., 220 feet; N. 72°15' W., 1,075 feet to the point of beginning.

UTAH MERIDIAN

Splash Dam Recreation Area

T. 2 N., R. 8 W.,

Sec. 18, S½SE¼SW¼, and SW¼SW¼SE¼;

Sec. 19, N½NE¼NW¼.

Grandview Recreation Area

T. 2 N., R. 8 W.,

Sec. 17, W½SW¼SW¼;

Sec. 18, SE¼SE¼SE¼.

The areas described aggregate approximately 109 acres.

2. The withdrawal made by this order does not alter the applicability of those public land laws governing the use of the national forest lands under lease, license, or permit, or governing the disposal of their mineral or vegetative resources other than under the mining laws.

HARRY R. ANDERSON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

OCTOBER 5, 1967.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12041; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:47 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 4232]

[Oregon 834]

OREGON

Withdrawal for National Forest Recreation Area

By virtue of the authority vested in the President and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952 (17 F.R. 4831), it is ordered as follows:

1. Subject to valid existing rights, the following described national forest lands are hereby withdrawn from appropriation under the mining laws (30 U.S.C., Ch. 2), but not from leasing under the mineral leasing laws, in aid of programs of the Department of Agriculture:

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN
UMATILLA NATIONAL FOREST
Shaw Creek Campground

T. 4 S., R. 28 E.,
Sec. 23, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

Lodgepole Campground

T. 7 S., R. 33 E.,
Sec. 34, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 8 S., R. 33 E.,
Sec. 3, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of lot 2, and E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of lot 3.

The areas described aggregate 50.49 acres in Morrow and Grant Counties.

2. The withdrawal made by this order does not alter the applicability of those public land laws governing the use of the national forest lands under lease, license, or permit, or governing the disposal of their mineral or vegetative resources other than under the mining laws.

HARRY R. ANDERSON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

OCTOBER 5, 1967.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12042; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:47 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 4293]

[New Mexico 1004]

NEW MEXICO

Withdrawal for Proposed Reclamation Project

By virtue of the authority contained in section 3 of the act of June 17, 1902 (32 Stat. 388; 43 U.S.C. 416), as amended and supplemented, it is ordered as follows:

1. Subject to valid existing rights, the following described public lands, which are under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Interior, are hereby withdrawn from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining laws (30 U.S.C., Ch. 2), but not from leasing under the mineral leasing laws, and reserved for the proposed Three Buttes Dam and Reservoir, a feature of the Animas-La Plata Project:

NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

T. 32 N., R. 12 W.,
Sec. 7, lots 3, 4, 5, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 18, lot 1.

T. 32 N., R. 13 W.,
Sec. 12, lots 9 to 16, inclusive;
Sec. 13, lots 1, 2, 3, and 4.

The areas described aggregate 620.39 acres in San Juan County.

2. The use and administration of the lands affected by this order will become subject to the provisions of the reclamation laws (act of June 17, 1902, supra, as amended and supplemented), including the use of the lands under lease, license, or permit, at such time as the Three Buttes Dam and Reservoir is authorized by the Congress.

3. Pending authorization of the project, this withdrawal does not alter the applicability of the public land laws governing the use of the lands under lease, license, or permit, or the disposal of their mineral or vegetative resources other than under the mining laws, subject to the condition that such use or disposition will not be inconsistent with the reclamation laws and the purpose for which the lands are withdrawn.

HARRY R. ANDERSON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

OCTOBER 5, 1967.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12043; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:47 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 4294]

[Idaho 017112]

IDAHO

Correction of Public Land Order No. 4214

Public Land Order No. 4214 of April 24, 1967, appearing in 32 F.R. 6644, 6645, as F.R. Doc. 67-4777, is corrected in the following particulars:

a. So much of the land description for the Jerry's Creek Campground as reads "N. 10° W., 455 feet to Corner No. 8," to read "N. 10° E., 455 feet to Corner No. 8."

b. So much of the land description for the West Fork Campground which as published, begins with the words "Beginning at a reference point, * * * and ends with the words '* * * thence by metes and bounds'; to read:

A reference point was established which is an iron stake set in the ground with 4 feet exposed. The reference point being on the south bank of Sawmill Creek at its mouth, being on the west bank of the West Fork of Yankee Fork of the Salmon River at a point 45 feet above the high water mark.

Beginning at the reference point, being on the west bank of the West Fork of Yankee Fork of the Salmon River, from whence a pile of rock was raised 3 feet bears north, 59° W., a distance of 45 feet; thence N. 29° W., along West Fork, a distance of 4,750 feet to corner No. 1; thence by metes and bounds.

HARRY R. ANDERSON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

OCTOBER 5, 1967.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12044; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:47 a.m.]

Title 49—TRANSPORTATION

Chapter I—Interstate Commerce Commission and Department of Transportation

SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS

[S.O. 996]

PART 195—CAR SERVICE

Southern Pacific Co. Authorized To Operate Over Trackage of Missouri Pacific Railroad Co.

At a session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Railroad Service

Board, held in Washington, D.C., on the 4th day of October 1967.

It appearing, that because of track damage due to floods the Southern Pacific Co. is unable to operate over its tracks between Edinburg, Tex., and Brownsville, Tex.; that this Commission is of the opinion that there is need for service to shippers located in that area; and that operation by the Southern Pacific Co. over Missouri Pacific Railroad Co. trackage between McAllen and Brownsville, Tex., via Harlingen, will best provide the service required in the interest of the public and the commerce of the people; that notice and public procedure are impracticable and contrary to the public interest and that good cause exists for making this order effective upon less than 30 days' notice:

It is ordered, That:

§ 195.996 Service Order No. 996.

(a) *Southern Pacific Co. authorized to operate over trackage of Missouri Pacific Railroad Co.* The Southern Pacific Co. be, and it is hereby authorized to operate over trackage of the Missouri Pacific Railroad Co. between McAllen and Brownsville, Tex., via Harlingen.

(b) *Application.* The provisions of this order shall apply to intrastate and foreign traffic as well as to interstate traffic.

(c) *Rules and regulations suspended.* The operation of all rules and regulations insofar as they conflict with the provisions of this order is hereby suspended.

(d) *Effective date.* This order shall become effective at 12:01 a.m., October 7, 1967.

(e) *Expiration date.* The provisions of this order shall expire at 11:59 p.m., December 31, 1967, unless otherwise modified, changed, or suspended by order of this Commission.

(Secs. 1, 12, 15, and 17(2), 24 Stat. 379, 383, 384, as amended; 49 U.S.C. 1, 12, 15, and 17(2). Interprets or applies secs. 1(10-17), 15(4), and 17(2), 40 Stat. 101, as amended 54 Stat. 911; 49 U.S.C. 1(10-17), 15(4), and 17(2))

It is further ordered, That copies of this order and direction shall be served upon the Association of American Railroads, Car Service Division, as agent of the railroads subscribing to the car service and per diem agreement under the terms of that agreement; and that notice of this order shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing it with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

By the Commission, Railroad Service Board.

[SEAL]

H. NEIL GARSON,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12077; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:50 a.m.]

Title 50—WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES

Chapter I—Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior

PART 32—HUNTING

Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge, Alaska

The following special regulations are issued and are effective on date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

§ 32.32 Special regulations; big game; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

ALASKA

KODIAK NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Public hunting of big game on lands within the Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge, except the following, is permitted in accordance with all applicable State regulations governing big game hunting:

The areas described as follows will be closed from October 1 through December 31, 1967:

All portions of the Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge in Game Management Unit 8 draining into Shelikof Strait from the head of Weasel Cove in Spiridon Bay to the north boundary of the Karluk Indian Reservation between Wolcott Reef and Rocky Point including the drainages into Spiridon Bay, Zachar Bay, Uyak Bay, Larsen Bay, and Karluk River drainage outside of the Karluk Indian Reservation, the Connecticut Creek

drainage into Red Lake; and that area which drains into Fraser Lake and the Dog Salmon River above the confluence of the northeast fork of the Dog Salmon River.

Special Conditions:

(1) Species permitted to be taken: Brown bear.

(2) Except in the event of an emergency the landing of aircraft on the Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge is restricted to lakes, streams, and other bodies of water.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern hunting on wildlife refuge areas generally, which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 32, and are effective through June 30, 1968.

CLAY E. CRAWFORD,
Acting Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.

OCTOBER 2, 1967.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12038; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:47 a.m.]

PART 32—HUNTING

Columbia National Wildlife Refuge, Wash.; Correction

In F.R. Doc. 67-10991, appearing on page 13286 of the issue for Wednesday, September 20, 1967, the following special condition should be added:

(1) Field III-2 (near subheadquarters) and Farm Unit 227 (Royal Slope) will be open to waterfowl hunting only on Saturdays, Sundays, Wednesdays,

and holidays during the regular State season.

CLAY E. CRAWFORD,
Acting Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.

OCTOBER 5, 1967.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12039; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:47 a.m.]

Title 29—LABOR

Chapter V—Wage and Hour Division, Department of Labor

PART 526—INDUSTRIES OF A SEASONAL NATURE AND INDUSTRIES WITH MARKED SEASONAL PEAKS OF OPERATION

Cottonseed Processing Industry; Correction

F.R. Doc. 67-10287, appearing in the issue dated Friday, September 1, 1967, published at 32 F.R. 12675, is corrected by changing line six (6) of the first paragraph which reads "paring, or first processing of agricultural" to read as follows: "paring or first processing of perishable agricultural".

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 6th day of October 1967.

CLARENCE T. LUNDQUIST,
Administrator, Wage and Hour and Public Contracts Divisions, U.S. Department of Labor.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12091; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:51 a.m.]

Proposed Rule Making

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 67-CE-106]

FEDERAL AIRWAYS

Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amendments to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would realign V-4 from Hill City, Kans., to Salina, Kans., including a south alternate via Hays, Kans.; and realign V-244 from Lamar, Colo., to Salina via Hays. The above-mentioned airways are aligned via the Russell, Kans., VOR. This facility will be relocated to a site at lat. 38°50'52" N., long. 99°16'35" W., in the vicinity of Hays in June of 1968. The Hays VOR would be designated a low altitude reporting point.

If these actions are taken, V-4 would be redesignated from Hill City, 1,200 feet AGL INT Hill City 097° T (086° M) radials and Salina 284° T (275° M) radials; 1,200 feet AGL Salina, including a 1,200 feet AGL south alternate via Hays. V-244 would be redesignated from Lamar, 20 miles, 1,200 feet AGL, 56 miles, 6,500 feet MSL, 60 miles, 8,500 feet MSL, 1,200 feet AGL Hays; 1,200 feet AGL Salina.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Central Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106. All communications received within 45 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendments. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

An official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the General Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20590. An informal docket also will be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

These amendments are proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on October 4, 1967.

T. McCORMACK,
*Acting Chief, Airspace and
Air Traffic Rules Division.*

[F.R. Doc. 67-12071; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:50 a.m.]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 67-SW-49]

ADDITIONAL CONTROL AREA

Proposed Designation

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would designate that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet AGL and within 4 nautical miles each side of a line extending from the Gage VOR to the Woodring, Okla., VOR, as an additional control area at Gage, Okla.

This control area would provide a route with controlled airspace for scheduled air traffic operating between Gage and Enid, Okla.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Southwest Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Post Office Box 1689, Fort Worth, Tex. 76101. All communications received within 45 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

An official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the General Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket, 800 Independence Avenue S.W., Washington, D.C. 20590. An informal docket also will be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on October 3, 1967.

T. McCORMACK,
*Acting Chief, Airspace and
Air Traffic Rules Division.*

[F.R. Doc. 67-12072; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:50 a.m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[47 CFR Part 43]

[Docket No. 17702; FCC 67-1115]

COMMUNICATION COMMON CARRIERS AND CERTAIN AFFILIATES

Annual Reports for 1968

In the matter of amendment of Annual Reports Form M for Telephone Companies, Form O for Wire-Telegraph and Ocean-Cable Carriers, Form R for Radiotelegraph Carriers, and FCC Form L, Annual Report of Licensee in Domestic Public Land Mobile Radio Service, to add new schedules and amend certain existing schedules commencing with the annual reports for 1968, Docket No. 17792.

1. Notice is hereby given of proposed rule making in the above-entitled matter.

2. It is proposed to provide in Annual Report Forms M, Q, and R a new schedule which would analyze the activity in the reserve for uncollectible accounts, together with reference thereto in the column of the balance sheet provided for indicating supporting schedules. At present the report forms do not provide information as to the activity in this account and the Commission has no data available for judging the adequacy of the reserve and the accruals thereto. The amounts of uncollectibles written off, the amounts previously written off which are subsequently collected and the proposed ratios would be useful in evaluating the reserve and the accruals thereto.

3. It is proposed to provide in Annual Report Form M a new schedule entitled Schedule 36C, Prepaid Taxes and Tax Accruals (Accounts 130 and 166). This schedule would provide useful information with respect to transactions during the year relating to each type of tax with respect to which a prepayment or liability existed during the year. Currently, Schedules 36A and 36B provide data with respect to tax accruals charged to Account 305, "Operating taxes," and excise taxes collected from users, but no data are available with respect to taxes charged to construction, taxes paid, adjustments of prior years taxes and disposition of balances on final settlement of taxes. Such information would be useful to the Commission in performing its regulatory functions. A tax schedule similar to this proposed schedule for Form M is already included in Annual Report Forms O and R. If the proposed new schedule is adopted, references thereto will be inserted in the column of

the balance sheet provided for indicating supporting schedules.

4. The Commission believes that it should have additional information regarding delayed items. At the present time Annual Report Form M provides for filing in Schedule 33, Analysis of Entries in Surplus Accounts, information regarding delayed items which were considered large enough to distort the current accounts and have therefore been charged or credited to surplus. Annual Report Forms O and R provide in Schedule 374, Delayed Income Credits and Charges, for filing information regarding such delayed items accounted for as extraordinary credits and charges. However, no information is currently required to be reported in any of the report forms with respect to delayed items which separately were not considered large enough to distort the accounts for the current year and have therefore been charged or credited to the same operating revenue, operating expense, and income accounts that would have been charged or credited if the item had not been delayed. However, a number of such items collectively might have significance for ratemaking purposes. The Commission, therefore, proposes that an additional schedule be inserted in Annual Report Form M as Schedule 44, Delayed Items, for reporting each substantial delayed item that was considered to be nondistortive. It is also proposed that present Schedule 374 in Annual Report Forms O and R be expanded to include similar delayed items.

5. It is proposed to add an instruction in the General Instructions in Annual Report Forms M, O, and R providing for optional rounding off of amounts to even dollars.

6. It is proposed to amend Note 4 to Schedule 10, Balance Sheet, of Annual Report Form M by providing for showing the amount of pension and other benefit funds held by outside trustees instead of pension funds only in recognition of the fact that death benefit funds are also held by outside trustees.

7. In Schedule 12A, Analysis of Telephone Plant Accounts, of Annual Report Form M, it is proposed to insert xx on line 26 of column (d) since no entries to Account 100.4 can arise which would be properly reportable as Other Plant Added.

8. In Schedule 14B, Theoretical Depreciation Reserve Study, of Annual Report Form M it is proposed to amend the instruction to provide that amounts be rounded to the nearest thousand dollars to minimize the possible implication as to the preciseness of the amounts so reported.

9. It is proposed to amend the instructions for Schedule 17, Investments (Accounts 101.1, 101.2, 102, and 116) of Annual Report Form M to permit reporting on a single line data with respect to all securities in Account 116, "Temporary cash investments," classified as "other." The present instructions provide that data with respect to each different security reportable in the schedule shall be listed separately.

10. It is proposed to amend Schedule 43, Donations or Payments for Services Rendered by Persons Other Than Employees, of Annual Report Form M to provide in Instruction 1 that amounts reported for services may include the cost of materials furnished incidental to the services performed if the amounts included in billings are not practicable of separation between the billing for service exclusive of materials and the amount of materials incidentally used in rendering the service. However, it is proposed that amounts reported which include both materials and services shall be identified by an asterisk (*).

11. Since the use of "magneto manual" central offices is now negligible throughout the industry, it is proposed to delete this classification from Schedule 51, Statistics Relating to Central Offices, of Annual Report Form M and substitute therefor the classification "Electronic." It appears that electronic central offices will soon be a major classification and that the few magneto manual central offices can be shown under "Other (specified)."

12. It is proposed to amend Schedule 57A, Radiotelephone Service Between Fixed Stations, of Annual Report Form M to provide for reporting combined data for overseas radio and ocean cable service. At present the schedule provides for reporting data with respect to radiotelephone service only. In spite of this, respondents have been reporting on a combined basis which recognizes that much of the overseas service is now rendered by means of cable and satellite and, because of alternate routings, it is impracticable to separate radio and cable revenue and messages. Nevertheless, the Commission believes that this schedule should be brought into line with actual reporting practice. The proposed amendments provide additional lines for reporting separately data with respect to traffic to and from smaller areas of the world. They also provide for reporting separately voice, nonvoice and alternate voice and nonvoice private line revenues, and for reporting for the first time rentals received from other overseas communication carriers for voice and nonvoice circuits and thus eliminate the necessity for obtaining this data each year through correspondence. It is also proposed to clarify the instructions and to delete the requirement for reporting data for radiotelephone message service other than overseas as this information is not needed by the Commission. The lower part of the schedule is proposed to be broadened so as to relate to all direct circuits added or discontinued in lieu of radiotelephone terminals only.

13. It is proposed to delete from Schedule 57C, Domestic Public Land Mobile Radio Services, of Annual Report Form M the requirement for reporting in columns (g) through (n) data with respect to messages and revenues relating to mobile service. It is also proposed to amend FCC Form L, Annual Report of Licensee in Domestic Public Land Mobile Radio Service, to permit the

omission of similar data in section III of that report by companies required to file Form L that also furnish public landline message telephone service in addition to mobile service. Due to the change from manual to customer dialing and other changes in operating practices, it is no longer practicable for telephone companies to obtain mobile message and revenue data except at a considerable cost. Furthermore, in view of the maturity and acceptance of mobile service as a part of regular telephone service it is not believed that such data is as meaningful for regulatory purposes as it was when the mobile service was in its developmental state.

14. Since a number of telephone companies are now making accruals to trust funds for the payment of death benefits, it is proposed to amend Schedule 60A, Relief and Pensions (Account 672), of Annual Report Form M to provide a new column for reporting the amounts cleared from account 672, "Relief and pensions," that are applicable to accruals for death benefits.

15. In Schedule 60B, Pensions Paid, of Annual Report Form M it is proposed to insert a classification for survivors' pensions. There is an increasing number of pensions being paid to survivors and it is believed that a specific category should be provided rather than have them reported under "Other (specified)."

16. It is proposed to amend Schedule 60D, Investment of Pension and Benefit Funds, of Annual Report Form M and Schedule 338d, Investment of Pension and Benefit Funds, of Annual Report Forms O and R by adding in each report form a new line for reporting investments in mortgages.

17. The Commission's report and order in Docket No. 13459 released September 9, 1960, stated that, in the event the minimum wage laws were again amended, it was the intention of the Commission to amend Schedules 70C of Form M and 408A and 408B of Forms O and R with respect to wages and hours without further rule making proceedings to establish the first reporting interval as the number of employees being paid less than the prescribed minimum wage, to revise the other columns accordingly and to add as many additional 20-cent intervals at the end of the schedule as would be deleted at the beginning. This procedure has been followed each time the minimum wage has been changed. The Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1966 prescribed minimum wages of \$1.40 effective February 1, 1967, and \$1.60 effective February 1, 1968. Accordingly, the above-mentioned schedules have been again amended for the annual reports for 1967 by deleting the first three columns of wage intervals and substituting therefor two columns reading "Less than \$1.40" and "\$1.40 to \$1.49," by adding a new column reading "\$3.70 to \$3.89" and amending the last column to read "\$3.90 and Over." However, the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the Department of Labor, which uses Schedules 70C, 408A, and 408B in tabulating its statistics, has

recently informally advised the Commission that it desires that the wage intervals be widened in order to extend the analysis of employees to include employees receiving higher wages without increasing the number of intervals. More than 25 percent of the total communication employees reported in 1966 were in the classification "\$3.70 and Over." Due to the increase in wage rates between 1966 and 1968, it is believed that a comparable percentage would be includible in 1968 in the interval "\$4.10 and Over" which would be the last column if the procedure prescribed in Docket No. 13459 were followed. The Bureau of Labor Statistics has suggested, and the Commission is proposing, that in the report forms for 1968 the first three columns of wage intervals read "Less than \$1.60," "\$1.60 to \$1.79," and "\$1.80 to \$1.99," and that the remaining columns be prescribed in \$.25 intervals which would result in the last column reading "\$4.75 and Over." If this amendment is adopted, the Commission proposes that, in the event of further amendments of the Fair Labor Standards Act with respect to minimum wages, it will order appropriate changes in Schedules 70C, 408A, and 408B without issuing any notice of proposed rule making. It is also proposed to amend Schedule 408A for 1968 to provide that all employees in the 50 States and the District of Columbia be included in the study rather than only those in the continental United States. At the same time it is proposed to delete from Schedule 408B the provision for reporting employees outside of the continental United States since The Western Union Telegraph Co. has no employees outside the United States.

18. It is proposed to print Annual Report Form M on both sides of the pages. We adopted the one-side-only printing a few years ago because there was little choice if printing was to be on thin paper most suitable for making carbon copies. Now, most companies have abandoned the carbon copy method of preparation in favor of a multicopy process. The reports would be less bulky and more durable if both sides of the page are used.

19. It is proposed to amend Schedule 309, Other Nontransmission Revenue (Accounts 35.3499 and 3899), of Annual Report Forms O and R to provide for reporting data relating to each service the revenue for which was included in any of the nontransmission revenue accounts. The present schedule provides for reporting data only with respect to Accounts 35.3499, 34.3899, and 35.3899. It is also proposed that this schedule show separately amounts covered by tariffs and amounts not so covered.

20. It is proposed to provide in Annual Report Forms O and R a new schedule entitled Schedule 361, Income from Miscellaneous Physical Property and Income from Merchandising, Jobbing, and Contracting (Accounts 5110 and 5115), to obtain details with respect to the gross income, the expenses incurred in obtaining such income and the investment in facilities and materials devoted to each

type of service for which the income and expenses are includible in Accounts 5110, "Income from miscellaneous physical property," and 5115, "Income from merchandising, jobbing, and contracting." Currently the only information available from the report forms is the total net income included in each of these accounts. It is believed that the data that would be reportable in the proposed schedule should be available on a continuing basis in the annual reports in view of the growth in services for which the income is included in Accounts 5110 and 5115. If this proposed schedule is adopted, cross references to it will be inserted in Schedule 300, Income and Earned Surplus Statement, in the column for indicating supporting schedules.

21. If the foregoing proposals are adopted the Table of Contents and the Index for Annual Report Forms M, O, and R will be amended accordingly.

22. Since some of the amendments and new schedules proposed herein may require additional records to be maintained, it is proposed that any amendments made as a result of this proceeding will be made effective in the report forms for the year 1968.

23. In view of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend Annual Reports Form M for Telephone Companies, Form O for Wire-Telegraph and Ocean-Cable Carriers, Form R for Radiotelegraph Carriers and FCC Form L, Annual Report of Licensee in Domestic Public Land Mobile Radio Service, as set forth in the attached appendix.¹

24. This notice of proposed rule making is issued under authority of sections 4(i), 219(a), 303(j), 303(r), and 308(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

25. Pursuant to applicable procedures set forth in § 1.415 of the Commission's rules, interested persons may file comments on or before November 13, 1967, and reply comments on or before November 27, 1967. All relevant and timely comments and reply comments will be considered by the Commission before final action is taken in this proceeding. In reaching its decision in this proceeding, the Commission may also take into account other relevant information before it, in addition to the specific comments invited by this notice.

26. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.419 of the Commission's rules and regulations, an original and 14 copies of all statements or briefs shall be furnished to the Commission.

Adopted: October 4, 1967.

Released: October 6, 1967.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,²

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc: 67-12083; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:50 a.m.]

¹ Appendix filed as part of original document.

² Commissioner Bartley absent.

[47 CFR Part 73]

[Docket No. 17790; FCC 67-1107]

TELEVISION BROADCAST STATIONS

Table of Assignments; Lynchburg, Va.

In the matter of amendment of § 73.606(b) of the Commission's rules and regulations, Television Table of Assignments (Lynchburg, Va.), Docket No. 17790, RM-1186.

1. On July 19, 1967, Delta Television Corp. (Delta), permittee of Station WNTU-TV, Channel 33, Norfolk, Va., filed a petition for rule making (RM-1186) requesting the assignment of Channel *59 to Lynchburg, Va., in lieu of Channel *33, and the assignment of Channel 50 to Danville, Va., in lieu of Channel 44. Neither Channel *33 in Lynchburg nor Channel 44 in Danville is occupied.

2. Delta plans to move its transmitter to a site 10.5 miles southwest of the Norfolk standard reference point, where VHF stations WTAR-TV, Channel 3, Norfolk; WAVY-TV, Channel 10, Portsmouth; and WVEC-TV, Channel 13, Hampton, are now located. The VHF stations moved to this site in order to avoid air-space problems for 1,000-foot antennas. The relocation of WNTU-TV at this site would permit it to use a comparable antenna height and improve its competitive position with respect to coverage. Delta has filed an application for modification of CP proposing an antenna 1,029 feet above ground. However, Channel 33 cannot be used at the Norfolk "antenna farm" and comply with the geographic separation requirements of the Commission rules. It would be 1.3 miles short of the 155-mile required spacing to Channel 33 assigned to Lynchburg, Va. The channel changes proposed by Delta would eliminate this problem by removing Channel 33 from Lynchburg and substituting Channel 59 therefor. The assignment of Channel 59 to Lynchburg would require the additional change of channels at Danville.

3. The Commission's electronic computer has been used to examine the assignment possibilities at Lynchburg and it is found that Channel 54 may be substituted directly for Channel 33 without requiring any other changes in the Table of Assignments and will provide reasonable geographic flexibility for the choice of an antenna site at Lynchburg. The request of Delta appears to have sufficient merit to warrant the institution of rule making proposing the substitution of Channel 54 rather than Channel 59 as suggested by Delta, for Channel 33 at Lynchburg.

4. Accordingly, pursuant to the authority contained in section 4(i), 303, and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, it is proposed to amend the Table of Assignments in § 73.606(b) of the Commission's rules by substituting Channel *54 for Channel *33 at Lynchburg, Va.

5. Pursuant to applicable procedures set out in § 1.415 of the Commission's

rules, interested parties may file comments on or before November 13, 1967, and reply comments on or before November 24, 1967. All submissions by parties to this proceeding or by persons acting in behalf of such parties must be made in written comments, reply comments, or other appropriate pleadings.

6. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.419 of the Commission rules, an original and 14 copies of all written comments, replies, pleadings, briefs, or other documents shall be furnished the Commission.

Adopted: October 4, 1967.

Released: October 6, 1967.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,¹

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12081; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:50 a.m.]

[47 CFR Parts 87, 89, 91, 93]

[Docket No. 17791; FCC 67-1112]

AVIATION, PUBLIC SAFETY, INDUSTRIAL, AND LAND TRANSPORTATION RADIO SERVICES

Annual Reports of Microwave and Other Fixed Stations

In the matter of adoption of FCC Form 402-A on which to file annual reports by licensees of microwave and other fixed stations when such facilities are shared

on a cooperative, cost-sharing basis with others; amendment of §§ 87.467(h), 89.14(h), 91.9(h), and 93.4(h), of the Commission's rules to require the use of FCC Form 402-A in filing the annual report prescribed therein, Docket No. 17791.

1. Notice is hereby given in the above-entitled matter.

2. Sections 87.467(h), 89.14(h), 91.9(h), and 93.4(h) of the Commission's rules require licensees in the Aviation, Public Safety, Industrial, and Land Transportation Radio Services, respectively, who share microwave and other fixed facilities with others on a cost-sharing basis to file annual reports with the Commission concerning the sharing of their facilities. These rules also specify in general terms the information to be furnished in each report, but specific details as to what would constitute a sufficient report are not prescribed.

3. In order to give licensees guidelines as to the minimum information required, and to provide a vehicle for supplying that information in a consistent and uniform manner, we propose to adopt FCC Form 402-A. A copy of the form is attached.¹ At the same time we would amend the rules to require the filing of the annual reports on this form. It should be emphasized that this form is to be used only when fixed stations licensed in the Public Safety, Industrial, and Land Transportation services and operational stations in the Aviation Service are shared on a cost-sharing basis. When such facilities are shared without cost to

participants, or where they are shared solely by governmental entities, the annual report in question is not required. Also, this form would not be used when other types of stations, for example, land mobile base stations, are shared.

4. Authority for the proposed amendments is contained in sections 4(i) and 303 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

5. Pursuant to § 1.415 of the Commission's rules, interested persons may file comments on or before November 13, 1967. Comments in reply to original comments may be filed on or before November 29, 1967. All relevant and timely comments and reply comments will be considered by the Commission before final action is taken in this proceeding. In reaching its decision, the Commission may also take into account other relevant information before it, in addition to the specific comments invited by this notice.

6. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.419 of the Commission's rules and regulations, an original and 14 copies of all statements, briefs, and comments filed should be furnished the Commission.

Adopted: October 4, 1967.

Released: October 9, 1967.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,¹

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12032; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:50 a.m.]

¹ Commissioner Bartley absent.

¹ Form filed as part of the original document.

¹ Commissioner Bartley absent.

Notices

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of the Secretary
[Treasury Dept. Order 210]

DIRECTOR, BUREAU OF ENGRAVING AND PRINTING

Designation

By virtue of the authority vested in me as the Secretary of the Treasury, Mr. James A. Conlon is designated Director, Bureau of Engraving and Printing, effective 12:01 a.m., October 9, 1967. Mr. Conlon will serve with the authority to perform all functions, without limitation, now authorized to be performed by the Director, Bureau of Engraving and Printing.

[SEAL] HENRY H. FOWLER,
Secretary of the Treasury.

OCTOBER 6, 1967.

[F.R. Doc. 67-11908; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:46 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

ALASKA

Notice of Filing of Plat of Survey

OCTOBER 6, 1967.

1. Plat of survey of the land described below will be officially filed in the Fairbanks District and Land Office, Fairbanks, Alaska, effective 10 a.m., November 9, 1967.

FAIRBANKS MERIDIAN

T. 1 N., R. 3 W. (Group 110),
Tract "A";
Sec. 8, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 9, SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Secs. 13-14, All;
Secs. 22-26, All;
Sec. 27, NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Tract "B";
Sec. 36, All.

The areas described above aggregate 12,004.78 acres.

2. The area surveyed is located about 12 miles northwesterly of Fairbanks, Alaska. The land is chiefly mountainous with some level and gently sloping land ranging in elevation from 600 to 2,400 feet above sea level. The soil is mixed sand and clay in the lower areas. The land is well covered with spruce, birch, aspen, and alder brush. Goldstream Creek and its tributaries are the main water courses. There are some mineral claims and some evidence of placer mining.

3. This survey was initiated to accommodate Alaska State Selections in accordance with and subject to the limita-

tions and requirements of the Alaska Statehood Act of July 7, 1958 (72 Stat. 339) and the regulations in 43 CFR 2222.9-1(a) and 43 CFR Part 1840, and to accommodate numerous other private claims.

4. Inquiries concerning the lands should be addressed to the Manager, Fairbanks District and Land Office, Post Office Box 1150, Fairbanks, Alaska 99701.

ROBERT F. HILTON,
Acting Manager,
Fairbanks District and Land Office.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12045; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:47 a.m.]

ALASKA

Notice of Filing of Plat of Survey

OCTOBER 6, 1967.

1. Plat of survey of the land described below will be officially filed in the Fairbanks District and Land Office, Fairbanks, Alaska, effective 10 a.m., November 9, 1967.

FAIRBANKS MERIDIAN

T. 4, S., R. 4 E. (Group 113), Tract "A";
Secs. 23-26, All.

Containing an aggregate of 8,553.11 acres.

2. The area surveyed is located about 30 miles southwest of Fairbanks, Alaska. The survey consists of low, level bottom land with poor drainage and broad rolling ridge tops. The land in the bottom areas is covered with small spruce, willow, and alder undergrowth. The land in the higher elevations is covered with a heavy growth of medium size spruce, birch, and aspen. The soil in the lower areas is frozen clay and glacial silt, and in the higher elevations is rich alluvial silt.

3. The survey of secs. 23, 24, 25, and 26 was initiated to accommodate homestead claims. Tract "A" is to accommodate Alaska State Selection in accordance with and subject to the limitations and requirements of the Alaska Statehood Act of July 7, 1958 (72 Stat. 339) and the regulations in 43 CFR 2222.9-1(a) and 43 CFR Part 1840.

4. Inquiries concerning the lands should be addressed to the Manager, Fairbanks District and Land Office, Post Office Box 1150, Fairbanks Alaska 99701.

ROBERT HILTON,
Acting Manager,
Fairbanks District and Land Office.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12046; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:48 a.m.]

[A 1029]

ARIZONA

Notice of Classification of Public Lands for Multiple-Use Management

1. Pursuant to the Act of September 19, 1964 (43 U.S.C. 1411-18) and the regulations in 43 CFR Parts 2410 and 2411, the public lands described below are hereby classified for multiple-use management. These public lands will be managed primarily for their significant public outdoor recreational values. The land is located about 4 miles northeast of Apache Junction adjacent to the Tonto National Forest and in the lower foothills of the Superstition Mountains. They are receiving moderate recreation use by the public, and local planning, zoning, and recreation officials together with representatives of the Bureau of Land Management, National Forest Service and counties agree they should be devoted to and developed for public recreational purposes. Publication of this notice has the effect of segregating the land from appropriation under the agricultural land laws (43 U.S.C. Parts 7 and 9; 25 U.S.C. sec. 334); from sale under section 2455 of the Revised Statutes (43 U.S.C. 1171); from sale under the Act of September 19, 1964 (43 U.S.C. 1421-1427); from the Recreation and Public Purposes Act (43 U.S.C. 869); from private exchange (43 U.S.C. 315g(b)); from State exchange (43 U.S.C. 315g(c)); from State selection (43 U.S.C. 851, 852); from R.S. 2477 (43 U.S.C. 932); and from appropriation under the mining laws. As used herein, "public land" means any lands withdrawn or reserved by Executive Order No. 6910 of November 26, 1934, as amended, or within a grazing district established pursuant to the Act of June 28, 1934 (48 Stat. 1269), as amended, which are not otherwise withdrawn or reserved for a Federal use or purpose.

2. No adverse comments were received following publication of a notice of proposed classification (32 F.R. 124). The public lands affected by this classification are located within Pinal County and are shown on maps in the Phoenix District Office and at the Land Office of the Bureau of Land Management, Federal Building, Phoenix, Ariz.

GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN, ARIZONA

T. 1 N., R. 8 E.,
Sec. 1, lots 1 and 2, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

The public lands in the area described aggregate 320.26 acres.

3. For a period of 30 days from date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, this

classification shall be subject to the exercise of administrative review and modification by the Secretary of the Interior as provided in 43 CFR 2411.2(c).

FRED J. WEILER,
State Director.

OCTOBER 6, 1967.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12047; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:48 a.m.]

[R 236]

CALIFORNIA

Notice of Classification of Public Lands for Multiple-Use Management; Correction

OCTOBER 5, 1967.

In F.R. Doc. 67-6372; filed June 7, 1967 appearing at page 8252 of the issue for June 8, 1967, the following correction should be made: "T. 9 N., R. 20 E., SBM., Sec. 22, portion of NW $\frac{1}{4}$, north of U.S. Highway 66", should read:

T. 9 N., R. 20 E., SBM.,
Sec. 22, portion of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, north of U.S. Highway 66.

HALL H. McCLAIN,
Manager,
Riverside District and Land Office.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12048; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:48 a.m.]

[R 702]

CALIFORNIA

Notice of Proposed Classification of Public Lands for Multiple-Use Management; Correction

OCTOBER 5, 1967.

In F.R. Doc. 67-11370; filed September 28, 1967, appearing at page 13599 of the issue for Thursday, September 28, 1967, the following correction should be made:

Following the legal descriptions at the end of paragraph three insert "the public lands proposed to be classified aggregate approximately 1,571,627 acres."

HALL H. McCLAIN,
Manager,
Riverside District and Land Office.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12049; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:48 a.m.]

[C-2288]

COLORADO

Notice of Proposed Classification of Public Lands for Multiple-Use Management; Amendment

OCTOBER 6, 1967.

The notice of proposed classification appearing as F.R. Doc. 67-7777 on pages 9998-9991 of the issue for Friday, July 7, 1967, is hereby amended to include the following lands:

NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, COLORADO
DOLORES, SAN MIGUEL, AND MONTROSE COUNTIES

T. 44 N., R. 17 W.,
Sec. 6.
T. 44 N., R. 18 W.,
Sec. 1.
T. 45 N., R. 13 W.,
Sec. 24.
T. 45 N., R. 15 W.,
Sec. 16, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 45 N., R. 16 W.,
Sec. 16, N $\frac{1}{2}$.
T. 46 N., R. 16 W.,
Sec. 20.
T. 46 N., R. 20 W.,
Sec. 15.
T. 47 N., R. 15 W.,
Sec. 22.
T. 47 N., R. 18 W.,
Sec. 9, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 48 N., R. 18 W.,
Sec. 2, lots 17 to 23, inclusive;
Sec. 3, lots 42 to 54, inclusive;
Sec. 4, lots 4, 11, 13, 14, 22 to 25, inclusive;
Sec. 6, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 48 N., R. 19 W.,
Sec. 17, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 31.

The area described aggregates approximately 5,266 acres of public land.

The notice is further amended as follows:

1. Under T. 45 N., R. 20 W., secs. 1 to 20 is amended to read:

Secs. 1 and 2.

Under T. 48 N., R. 19 W., secs. 20 to 23 inclusive, is amended to read:

Sec. 21, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 22, N $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 23, N $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$.

E. I. ROWLAND,
State Director.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12050; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:48 a.m.]

[C-2288]

COLORADO

Notice of Termination of Proposed Classification of Lands

OCTOBER 6, 1967.

Notice of proposed classification of lands, Serial No. C-2288, published as F.R. Doc. No. 67-7777 on pages 9998-9991 of the issue for Friday, July 7, 1967, is hereby canceled so far as it affects the hereinafter described lands. The segregative effect thereof will terminate upon publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, as provided by the regulations in 43 CFR 2411.2e(2) (ii):

NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, COLORADO
DOLORES, SAN MIGUEL, AND MONTROSE COUNTIES

T. 41 N., R. 14 W.,
Sec. 16.
T. 42 N., R. 14 W.,
Secs. 4, 5, 8, 9, and 16;
Sec. 36, W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$.
T. 42 N., R. 16 W.,
Sec. 36.
T. 42 N., R. 17 W.,
Sec. 25, SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 43 N., R. 10 W.,
Sec. 23.
T. 43 N., R. 12 W.,
Sec. 7, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 44 N., R. 18 W.,
Sec. 35.
T. 45 N., R. 13 W.,
Sec. 10;
Sec. 14, N $\frac{1}{2}$.

T. 46 N., R. 15 W.,
Sec. 21, S $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 47 N., R. 16 W.,
Sec. 23, SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 47 N., R. 18 W.,
Sec. 9, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 19, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 20, N $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 21, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 48 N., R. 18 W.,
Sec. 2, lots 6, and 11 to 14 inclusive;
Sec. 3, lots 6 to 16 inclusive;
Sec. 4, lots 3, 9, 11, and 13 to 16 inclusive;
Sec. 6, lots 17 and 18.
T. 48 N., R. 19 W.,
Sec. 20;
Sec. 25, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Secs. 26 to 29 inclusive;
Sec. 32, N $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Secs. 33 to 36 inclusive.

The area described contains 16,041 acres of public land.

E. I. ROWLAND,
State Director.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12053; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:48 a.m.]

[Serial No. N-1280]

NEVADA

Notice of Proposed Classification of Public Lands for Multiple-Use Management

OCTOBER 6, 1967.

1. Pursuant to the Act of September 19, 1964 (43 U.S.C. 1411-18) and to the regulations in 43 CFR Parts 2410 and 2411, it is proposed to classify for multiple-use management, the public lands described in paragraph 3 below, together with any lands therein that may become public lands in the future.

2. Publication of this notice has the effect of segregating the described lands from appropriation only under the agricultural land laws (43 U.S.C. Chs. 7 and 9; 25 U.S.C. sec. 334) and from sales under section 2455 of the Revised Statutes (43 U.S.C. 1171) and the lands shall remain open to all other applicable forms of appropriation, including the mining and mineral leasing or material sale laws, with the exception contained in paragraph 4. As used in this order, the term "public lands" means any lands (1) withdrawn or reserved by Executive Order No. 6910 of November 26, 1934, as amended, or (2) within a grazing district established pursuant to the Act of June 28, 1934 (48 Stat. 1269), as amended, which are not otherwise withdrawn or reserved for a Federal use or purpose.

3. The public lands proposed to be classified are shown on Maps No. N-1280 on file in the Winnemucca District Office, Winnemucca, Nev.; Susanville District Office, Susanville, Calif.; Carson City District Office, Carson City, Nev.; and the Nevada Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Federal Building, Reno, Nev.

The public lands lie in Washoe County, Nev., and are within the area generally described as follows:

Commencing at the northeast corner of Washoe County at the Nevada-Oregon State line: Thence south along the eastern boundary of Washoe County to Fernley, Nevada; thence westerly along the Truckee River to Mustang; thence northerly to the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation, along the east boundary of North American Aviation Co.'s private lands; thence northwesterly about 7 miles along the boundary of the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation; thence southwesterly along the west boundary of the North American Aviation Co.'s private land to the southern boundary of the Peavine-Wedekind area; thence around the south and west boundary of the Peavine-Wedekind area; and west to the California State line: thence north along the California State line to the northwest corner of Washoe County; thence east to the point of beginning.

The areas described above aggregate approximately 2,648,400 acres of public land.

4. The public lands listed below are further segregated from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the general mining laws, but not the Recreation and Public Purposes Act (44 Stat. 741, 68 Stat. 173; 43 U.S.C. 869) or the mineral leasing and material sale laws:

MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN

- T. 20 N., R. 18 E.,
 Sec. 10, S $\frac{1}{2}$ except mineral patents;
 Sec. 13, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ except mineral patents;
 Sec. 14, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$;
 Sec. 22, E $\frac{1}{2}$;
 Sec. 24, All except mineral patents.
 T. 44 N., R. 18 E.,
 Sec. 2, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 11, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
 T. 20 N., R. 19 E.,
 Sec. 2, S $\frac{1}{2}$;
 Sec. 4, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 7, lots 1 and 2 (SW $\frac{1}{4}$);
 Sec. 10, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 12, All;
 Sec. 16, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 17, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$,
 W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$
 SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 18, All except mineral patents;
 Sec. 20, All;
 Sec. 21, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$,
 W $\frac{1}{2}$;
 Sec. 22, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$
 SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$
 SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 24, lots 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8, W $\frac{1}{2}$ E $\frac{1}{2}$,
 E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 25, lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 11, SW $\frac{1}{4}$
 NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 27, lot 3, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$,
 NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$,
 NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$
 NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$,
 S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 28, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 29, All;
 Sec. 30, All;
 Sec. 31, lots 3 and 20, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$
 SE $\frac{1}{4}$, except mineral patents, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 32, All;
 Sec. 33, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$
 SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$.
 T. 36 N., R. 19 E.,
 Sec. 2, lot 1.
 T. 37 N., R. 19 E.,
 Sec. 35, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

- T. 20 N., R. 20 E.,
 Sec. 7, lots 1, 2, 3, and 4, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$;
 Sec. 8, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 9, SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 16, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$,
 W $\frac{1}{2}$;
 Sec. 20, E $\frac{1}{2}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 21, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 28, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$
 SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 29, E $\frac{1}{2}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 30, lots 1, 2, and 3, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$,
 E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
 T. 34 N., R. 23 E.,
 Sec. 34, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.
 T. 38 N., R. 23 E.,
 Sec. 21, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 22, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 27, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 28, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.

The areas described above aggregate approximately 12,088 acres.

5. For a period of 60 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed classification, may present their views in writing to the Winnemucca District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, Winnemucca, Nev. 89445; Carson City District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, Carson City, Nev. 89701; or the Susanville District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, Susanville, Calif. 96130.

6. A public hearing on the proposed classification will be held at 7:30 p.m., Friday, November 3, 1967, at the Reno High School Auditorium, 395 Booth Street, Reno, Nev.

For the State Director.

A. JOHN HILLSAMER,
Acting Manager,
Nevada Land Office.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12051; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
 8:48 a.m.]

[Serial No. N-854]

NEVADA

Notice of Public Sale

OCTOBER 6, 1967.

Under the provisions of the Public Land Sale Act of September 19, 1964 (78 Stat. 988, 43 U.S.C. 1421-1427), 43 CFR Subpart 2243, a tract of land will be offered for sale to the highest bidder at a sale to be held at 1:30 p.m., local time, on Tuesday, November 14, 1967, at the Nevada Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Room 3104, Federal Building, 300 Booth Street, Reno, Nev. The land is described as follows:

MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN, NEVADA

- T. 20 N., R. 34 E.,
 Sec. 14, W $\frac{1}{2}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ E $\frac{1}{2}$;
 Sec. 15, E $\frac{1}{2}$ E $\frac{1}{2}$;
 Sec. 22, E $\frac{1}{2}$ E $\frac{1}{2}$;
 Sec. 23, W $\frac{1}{2}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ E $\frac{1}{2}$.

The area described contains 1,280 acres. The appraised value of the tract is \$17,728 and the publication costs to be assessed are \$10.

The land will be sold subject to all valid existing rights. Reservations will

be made to the United States for ditches and canals in accordance with the Act of August 30, 1890 (26 Stat. 391; 43 U.S.C. 945). All minerals are to be reserved to the United States and withdrawn from appropriation under the public land laws, including the general mining laws.

Bids may be made by the principal or his agent, either at the sale, or by mail. Bids must be for all the land in the parcel. A bid for less than the appraised value of the land is unacceptable. Bids sent by mail will be considered only if received at the Nevada Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Room 3008, Federal Building, Reno, Nev. 89502, prior to 1:30 p.m. on Tuesday, November 14, 1967. Bids made prior to the public auction must be in sealed envelopes, and accompanied by certified checks, postal money orders, bank drafts, or cashier's checks, payable to the Bureau of Land Management, for the full amount of the bid plus publication costs. The envelopes must be marked in the lower left-hand corner "Public Sale Bid, Parcel No. 1, sale of November 14, 1967".

The authorized officer shall publicly declare the highest qualifying sealed bid received. Oral bids shall then be invited in specified increments. The person declared to have entered the highest qualifying bid shall be required to make full payment for the tract and cost of publication at the close of bidding. The authorized officer shall afford a successful oral bidder until 3:30 p.m., November 14, 1967, to provide a guaranteed remittance.

If no bids are received for the sale tract on Tuesday, November 14, 1967, the tract will be reoffered on the first Tuesday of subsequent months at 1:30 p.m., beginning December 5, 1967.

Any adverse claimants to the above-described land should file their claims, or objections, with the undersigned before the time designated for sale.

The land described in this notice has been segregated from all forms of appropriation, including locations under the general mining laws, except for sale under this Act, from the date of the proposed classification decision. Inquiries concerning this sale should be addressed to the Land Office Manager, Bureau of Land Management, Room 3008, Federal Building, 300 Booth Street, Reno, Nev. 89502.

ROLLA E. CHANDLER,
Manager, Nevada Land Office.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12052; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
 8:48 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Commodity Credit Corporation SALES OF CERTAIN COMMODITIES

October Sales List

Correction

In F.R. Doc. 67-11809 appearing at page 13988 in the issue of Saturday, October 7, 1967, the signature and title

at the end of the document should read as follows:

H. D. GODFREY,
Executive Vice President,
Commodity Credit Corporation.

Federal Crop Insurance Corporation
[Notice No. 28]

ORANGES IN CALIFORNIA

Extension of Closing Date for Filing of Applications for 1967 Crop Year

Pursuant to the authority contained in § 406.3 of Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations, and pursuant to paragraph 1 of the resolution adopted by the Board of Directors of the Federal Crop Insurance Corporation on March 19, 1954, the time for filing applications for orange crop insurance for the 1967 crop year in all counties in California where such insurance is otherwise authorized to be offered is hereby extended until the close of business on October 31, 1967. Such applications received during this period will be accepted only after it is determined that no adverse selectivity will result.

JACK H. MORRISON,
Deputy Manager,
Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12075; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:50 a.m.]

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-299]

GENERAL ELECTRIC TECHNICAL SERVICES CO., INC.

Notice of Issuance of Facility Export License

Please take notice that no request for a hearing having been filed following publication of notice of proposed action in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 15, 1967 (32 F.R. 13148), the Atomic Energy Commission has issued License No. XR-68 to General Electric Technical Services Co., Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the General Electric Co., authorizing the export of a 300-megawatt electrical, boiling water nuclear power reactor to the Bernische Kraftwerke AG., Bern, Switzerland. The export of this reactor to Switzerland is within the scope of and consistent with the terms of the present Agreement for Cooperation between the Governments of the United States and Switzerland.

Dated at Bethesda, Md., this 4th day of October 1967.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EBER R. PRICE,
Director, Division of
State and Licensee Relations.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12024; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:46 a.m.]

DAMON TRACT SITE

Trespassing on Commission Property

The notice concerning unauthorized entry into or upon the Damon Tract site of the Atomic Energy Commission dated March 23, 1967, appearing at pages 5383 and 5384 of the FEDERAL REGISTER of March 30, 1967 (32 F.R. 5383, F.R. Doc. 67-3471), is hereby amended to read as follows:

Notice is hereby given that the Atomic Energy Commission, pursuant to section 229 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, as implemented by 10 CFR Part 160 published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on August 16, 1963 (28 F.R. 8400), prohibits the unauthorized entry, as provided in 10 CFR 160.3, and the unauthorized introduction of weapons or dangerous materials, as provided in 10 CFR 160.4, into or upon the Damon Tract site of the Atomic Energy Commission, said site being a tract of land containing approximately 26.73 acres located at Moanalua, Honolulu, Oahu, Hawaii, the aforesaid tract being more particularly described as follows:

Lot 36-A-3-C, area 0.020 acre, as shown on Map 286; lot 36-A-1-A-2, area 8.442 acres, as shown on Map 299; Lot 36-A-2-A, area 13.358 acres, as shown on Map 308; and that portion of Lot 36-A-2-B, area 4.91 acres, as shown on Map 308 and particularly described as follows: Beginning at the northwest corner of Lot 36-A-2-B at a 1-inch pipe in concrete, thence easterly at 99°03'20" for 262.50 feet; thence southerly at 169°03'20" for 237.24 feet; thence easterly at 99°03'20" for 467.92 feet; thence southerly 189°03'20" for 207.96 feet; thence westerly at 279°03'20" for 730.42 feet to an iron pipe set in concrete; thence northerly at 9°03'20" for 445.20 feet to the point of beginning. Aforesaid maps filed in the office of the Assistant Registrar of the Land Court Application No. 1074 (amended) of the Trustees under the Will and of the Estate of Samuel M. Damon, deceased.

Notices stating the pertinent prohibitions of 10 CFR 160.3 and 160.4 and penalties of 10 CFR 160.5 will be posted at all entrances of said tract and at intervals along its perimeter as provided in 10 CFR 160.6.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 6th day of October 1967.

R. E. HOLLINGSWORTH,
General Manager.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12023; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:46 a.m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 19050]

AIRBORNE FREIGHT CORP. AND 4A AIR FREIGHT CORP.

Notice of Proposed Approval

Application of Airborne Freight Corp. and 4A Air Freight Corp. for approval of merger under section 408 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, Docket 19050.

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the statutory requirements of section 408(b),

that the undersigned intends to issue the order set forth below under delegated authority. Interested parties are hereby afforded a period of 15 days from the date of service within which to file comments or request a hearing with respect to the action proposed in the order.

Dated at Washington, D.C., October 9, 1967.

[SEAL]

A. M. ANDREWS,
Director,
Bureau of Operating Rights.

ORDER APPROVING MERGER

Issued under delegated authority.

By joint application filed September 27, 1967, Airborne Freight Corp. (Airborne) and 4A Air Freight Corp. (4A Corporation), requested approval pursuant to section 408 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, to the extent necessary, of an agreement of merger, dated September 21, 1967, between the two companies.

Airborne is a domestic and international air freight forwarder, controlled by Mr. John D. McPherson, president and director, and owner of 388,400 shares (44.2 percent) of its stock. Airborne has four wholly owned subsidiaries, Daly Travel Services, Inc. (Daly), and American Orient Travel Corp. (American Orient), air travel agencies, Pardo y Cia (Venezuela), an IATA cargo sales agent, and Awawego Delivery, Inc. (Awawego), a motor carrier performing pickup and delivery service.²

4A Corporation is a domestic air freight forwarder controlled by Mr. Raymond M. Kimberlin and his wife who own 48 shares (96 percent) of its stock. The company also holds various motor carrier permits issued by several States, including California, Illinois, Indiana, and Michigan, which authorize 4A Corporation to conduct motor carrier operations within the respective States in the transportation of either specialized (plastic and fabricated parts) or general commodities.³

Pursuant to, and as part of the merger, Airborne will acquire and subsequently cancel all of the outstanding stock of 4A Corporation in exchange for shares of its own common stock, as the survivor corporation, as follows: 58,285 shares to Mr. Kimberlin and his wife, in joint tenancy, and 2,429 shares to Mr. Frank J. McLoraine, in exchange for his two shares (4 percent) of 4A Corporation stock, on the basis of 1,214.18 shares of Airborne common stock for each outstanding share of 4A Corporation stock.⁴ All of the assets, subject to liabilities, of 4A Corporation will become vested in Airborne, and the separate corporate existence of 4A Corporation will cease.

The application states that the merger will enable Airborne and 4A to consolidate the business of both, much of which exists at

¹ An amended application was filed on Oct. 2, 1967.

² The Board has previously granted exemptions or approval of these acquisitions and approved the interlocking relationships respectively involved. See, Order E-13701, Apr. 3, 1959 (and footnote 1); and Order E-24703, Jan. 31, 1967.

³ An application (MC-128264) has also been filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to transport by motor carrier general commodities between Chicago and Urbana, Ill. (Agreement of Merger, Exhibit III "G").

⁴ The number of shares issued by Airborne is subject to adjustment based on an audit as of Sept. 30, 1967.

common cities of the two companies; namely, Detroit, Chicago, Boston, New York, and Philadelphia. The applicants further state that the maintenance of the present customers of 4A Corporation by Airborne will increase the gross revenues from these areas and reduce overhead; the expansion of Airborne's present operations will permit participation in the generation of additional air freight; Airborne's present status would be preserved competitively and as a prominent factor in the development of the air freight industry; and the creditors and shareholders of Airborne and 4A Corporation would be protected. The applicants contend that there is no reasonable possibility that approval of the merger would in any manner be contrary to the public interest, result in the creation of a monopoly, or jeopardize any other air carrier since it would merely serve to maintain the status quo in the freight forwarding industry.

No comments on the application or requests for a hearing have been received.

Notice of intent to dispose of the application without a hearing has been published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and a copy of such notice has been furnished to the Attorney General no later than 1 day following such publication, both in accordance with the requirements of section 408(b) of the Act.

Upon consideration of the foregoing, it is concluded that the merger of 4A Air Freight into Airborne is subject to section 408. However, it has been further concluded that the merger does not affect the control of an air carrier directly engaged in the operation of aircraft in air transportation, does not result in a monopoly, and does not restrain competition. Furthermore, no person disclosing a substantial interest in this proceeding is currently requesting a hearing, and it is found that the public interest does not require a hearing. The merger is basically similar to other mergers involving air freight forwarders which have been approved by the Board. Thus the instant application does not present any new substantive issues not heretofore considered by the Board.⁵ As the applicants indicate the consolidation of the business of the two companies will expand the merged company's geographical operations, permit its participation in additional air freight, and increase its gross revenues and decrease overhead and administrative expenses. Furthermore, the present customers of the applicants will be afforded the advantages of the combined facilities and management personnel, and expanded domestic and international operations.⁶

Pursuant to authority duly delegated by the Board in the Board's regulations, 14 CFR 385.13, it is found that the foregoing merger should be approved under section 408(b) of the Act, without a hearing.

Accordingly, it is ordered:

That the merger of 4A Corporation into Airborne be and it hereby is approved.

Persons entitled to petition the Board for review of this order pursuant to the Board's regulations, 14 CFR 385.50, may file such petitions within 5 days after the date of service of this order.

This order shall be effective and become the action of the Civil Aeronautics Board upon expiration of the above period unless within such period a petition for review

thereof is filed, or the Board gives notice that it will review this order on its own motion.

By: A. M. Andrews,
Director,
Bureau of Operating Rights.

[SEAL]

HAROLD R. SANDERSON,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12089; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:51 a.m.]

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

ATLANTIC TRUST CO.

Notice of Application for Approval of Acquisition of Shares of Bank

Notice is hereby given that application has been made to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System pursuant to section 3(a) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)), by Atlantic Trust Co., which is a bank holding company located in Jacksonville, Fla., for the prior approval of the Board of the acquisition by Applicant of more than 80 percent of the voting shares of The Atlantic National Bank of Jacksonville, Jacksonville, Fla.

Section 3(c) of the Act provides that the Board shall not approve (1) any acquisition or merger or consolidation under this section which would result in a monopoly, or which would be in furtherance of any combination or conspiracy to monopolize or to attempt to monopolize the business of banking in any part of the United States, or (2) any other proposed acquisition or merger or consolidation under this section whose effect in any section of the country may be substantially to lessen competition, or to tend to create a monopoly, or which in any other manner would be in restraint of trade, unless it finds that the anticompetitive effects of the proposed transaction are clearly outweighed in the public interest by the probable effect of the transaction in meeting the convenience and needs of the community to be served.

Section 3(c) further provides that in every case, the Board shall take into consideration, the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the company or companies and the banks concerned, and the convenience and needs of the community to be served.

Not later than thirty (30) days after the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, comments and views regarding the proposed acquisition may be filed with the Board. Communications should be addressed to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551. Public access to the application may be had at the office of the Board of Governors or the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 5th day of October 1967.

By order of the Board of Governors.

[SEAL] KENNETH A. KENYON,
Assistant Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12036; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:47 a.m.]

MID-WIS BANKSHARES, INC.

Notice of Application for Approval of Acquisition of Shares of Banks

Notice is hereby given that application has been made to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System pursuant to section 3(a) (1) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1842(a) (1)), by Mid-Wis Bankshares, Inc., Madison, Wis., for prior approval of the Board of action whereby Applicant would become a bank holding company through the acquisition of 80 percent or more of the voting shares of each of the following banks: Madison Bank & Trust Co., Madison, Wis., and The Westgate Bank, Madison, Wis.

Section 3(c) of the Act, as amended, provides that the Board shall not approve (1) any acquisition or merger or consolidation under this section which would result in a monopoly, or which would be in furtherance of any combination or conspiracy to monopolize or to attempt to monopolize the business of banking in any part of the United States, or (2) any other proposed acquisition or merger or consolidation under this section whose effect in any section of the country may be substantially to lessen competition, or to tend to create a monopoly, or which in any other manner would be in restraint of trade, unless it finds that the anticompetitive effects of the proposed transaction are clearly outweighed in the public interest by the probable effect of the transaction in meeting the convenience and needs of the community to be served.

Section 3(c) further provides that, in every case, the Board shall take into consideration the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the company or companies and the banks concerned, and the convenience and needs of the community to be served.

Not later than thirty (30) days after the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, comments and views regarding the proposed acquisition may be filed with the Board. Communications should be addressed to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551. Public access to the application may be had at the office of the Board of Governors or the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 6th day of October 1967.

By order of the Board of Governors.

[SEAL] KENNETH A. KENYON,
Assistant Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12037; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:47 a.m.]

⁵ Trans-Air System, Inc., Order E-22946, Nov. 29, 1965.

⁶ It is expected that, on approval of the application, 4A Air Freight's operating authorization will be surrendered to the Board for cancellation.

TARIFF COMMISSION

EYEGLASS FRAMES

Report to the President

OCTOBER 9, 1967.

The Tariff Commission today released a report to the President of its unanimous finding that eyeglass frames are not, as a result in major part of trade-agreement concessions, being imported into the United States in such increased quantities as to cause, or threaten to cause, serious injury to the domestic industry. Consequently, under the "escape clause" provisions of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962, the U.S. eyeglass-frame industry does not qualify for the quotas or other import restrictions sought by a labor organization in a petition to the Commission last April.

The International Union of Electrical, Radio and Machine Workers, AFL-CIO, and its affiliate, the Optical Council, representing workers in some of the U.S. plants that produce eyeglass frames, petitioned the Commission to undertake an investigation because of an increase in imports of eyeglass frames that are sold in competition with those sold by the domestic producers. The Commission found that, although imports of eyeglass frames have increased in recent years, there also has been a substantial increase in U.S. production, that employment in the industry has increased moderately, that the trend of prices received by domestic producers has been upward, and that the industry's profits have risen significantly. The Commission concluded, therefore, that the domestic industry is not being seriously injured or threatened with serious injury.

Commissioners Thunberg and Clubb, in a separate statement presented an analysis of the tariff and trade adjustment assistance provisions of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962. They found that imports of eyeglass frames have increased as a result in major part of trade agreement concessions. In this case the petitioner labor union chose to ask for industry-wide relief—a choice which required that injury to the industry as a whole be established—and they agreed that such injury had not occurred. They noted, however, that the Trade Expansion Act provides for relief to individual firms and groups of workers, whether or not there has been injury to the industry. Accordingly, they observed that relief to individual firms and groups of workers within the eyeglass frame industry is not precluded, if the required degree of injury to those units can be shown.

The investigation (No. TEA-I-10) was conducted under section 301(b) of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962. The rates of duty originally provided in the Tariff Act of 1930 on eyeglass frames were 20 cents per dozen plus 15 percent ad valorem, 60 cents per dozen plus 20 percent ad valorem, and 40 percent ad valorem, depending on the value of the imported articles. Under the trade agreements program, the rates were reduced

by varying amounts in 1948 and in 1955-58; the current rate of duty is 17 percent ad valorem irrespective of the value of the imported articles.

Copies of the Commission's report are available upon request as long as the limited supply lasts. Address requests to the Secretary, U.S. Tariff Commission, Eighth and E Streets NW., Washington, D.C. 20436.

By direction of the Commission.

[SEAL]

DOWN N. BENT,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12059; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:49 a.m.]

[332-55]

TEXTILE AND APPAREL INDUSTRIES

Notice of Investigation and Hearing

In response to a request dated October 4, 1967, by the President of the United States, the U.S. Tariff Commission has instituted an investigation of the economic condition of the U.S. textile and apparel industries. The full text of the request is as follows:

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: Pursuant to the authority vested in me by section 332 of the Tariff Act of 1930, I hereby request a comprehensive investigation of the economic condition of the U.S. textile and apparel industries, especially the present and prospective impact of imports upon these industries, and a report to me on the results of this investigation not later than January 15, 1968.

In addition to basic economic data concerning imports, tariffs, production, sales, investment, employment, prices, and profits, the Commission is requested to report on other relevant factors the knowledge of which, in its judgment, may assist me and the Congress. Attention should be paid to the impact of imports upon manmade fiber textiles, wool textiles, and cotton textiles, taken separately, as well as collectively, and to the question of interfiber competition.

Sincerely,

LYNDON B. JOHNSON

A hearing will be held in the Hearing Room, Tariff Commission Building, Eighth and E Streets NW., Washington, D.C., beginning at 10 a.m., on November 13, 1967. Interested parties desiring to appear and to be heard should notify the Secretary of the Commission, in writing, on or before November 3, 1967. It is suggested that parties who have a common interest endeavor wherever possible to arrange for a consolidated presentation of their views.

Requests to appear must contain the following information:

- The products or industry segments on which testimony will be presented.
- The name and organization of the witness or witnesses who will testify, and the name, address, telephone number, and organization of the person filing the request.
- A statement indicating whether the testimony to be presented will be on behalf of importer or domestic producer interests.

d. A careful estimate of the aggregate time desired for presentation of oral testimony by all witnesses for whose appearances the request is filed.

Because of the limited time available, the Commission reserves the right to limit the time assigned to witnesses. In this connection, experience in similar previous hearings has indicated that in most cases the essential information can be effectively summarized in an oral presentation of 15 to 30 minutes. Parties desiring an allowance of time in excess of this amount should set forth any special circumstances in support of such request. Witnesses may supplement their oral testimony with written statements of any desired length. These should be submitted when the oral testimony is presented.

Persons who have properly filed requests to appear will be individually notified of the date on which they will be scheduled to present oral testimony and of the time allotted for presentation of such testimony.

Questioning of witnesses will be limited to members of the Commission.

Written information and views in lieu of appearance at the public hearings may be submitted by interested persons. A signed original and 19 true copies of such statements shall be submitted.

Business data which is deemed confidential shall be submitted on separate sheets, each clearly marked at the top "Business Confidential". All written statements, except for confidential business data, will be made available for inspection by interested persons. To be assured of consideration by the Commission, written statements in lieu of appearance should be submitted at the earliest practicable date, but not later than November 20, 1967.

All communications regarding the Commission's investigation should be addressed to the Secretary, U.S. Tariff Commission, Washington, D.C. 20436.

Issued: October 6, 1967.

By order of the Commission.

[SEAL]

DOWN N. BENT,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12060; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:49 a.m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 17775, 17776; FCC 67M-1681]

BIG BASIN RADIO AND BOONEVILLE BROADCASTING CORP.

Order Scheduling Hearing

In re applications of Wheeler Mayo trading as Big Basin Radio, Sallisaw, Okla., Docket No. 17775, File No. BP-16915; Booneville Broadcasting Corp., Booneville, Ark., Docket No. 17776, File No. BP-16919; for construction permits:

It is ordered, That Thomas H. Donahue shall serve as Presiding Officer in the

above-entitled proceeding; that the hearings therein shall be convened on January 10, 1968, at 10 a.m.; and that a prehearing conference shall be held on November 15, 1967, commencing at 9 a.m.: *And, it is further ordered*, That all proceedings shall take place in the offices of the Commission, Washington, D.C.

Issued: October 3, 1967.

Released: October 9, 1967.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12084; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 17574; FCC 67M-1648]

RADIO SAN JUAN, INC. (WRSJ)

Order After Prehearing Conference

In re application of Radio San Juan, Inc. (WRSJ), Bayamon, P.R., Docket No. 17574, File No. BP-16770; for construction permit.

A prehearing conference in the above-entitled proceeding having been held today:

It is ordered, That the hearing will convene on Monday, February 12, 1968, at 10 a.m., at the Commission's offices, Washington, D.C.; that direct case exhibits will be exchanged among the parties, with two copies to each and one copy provided the Hearing Examiner, by January 2, 1968; that rebuttal exhibits, if any, are to be exchanged similarly by January 29; and that the parties are to notify each other informally of the names of witnesses desired for cross-examination, together with the names of any witnesses who are to testify orally on direct examination, by February 5th; and

It is ordered further, That the applicant is either to file a proposed amendment to its application, accompanied by petition for leave to amend, by no later than November 15, or to notify all other parties and the Examiner by then that it does not intend to seek amendment of its application.¹

Issued: October 3, 1967.

Released: October 5, 1967.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12086; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:51 a.m.]

¹ The agreements and commitments, as well as the rulings or directives of the Examiner, made upon the record of today's prehearing conference are hereby incorporated herein by reference.

[Change List No. 232]

CANADIAN BROADCAST STATIONS

List of Changes, Proposed Changes, and Corrections in Assignment

SEPTEMBER 28, 1967.

Notification under the provision of Part III, section 2 of the North American Regional Broadcasting Agreement.

List of changes, proposed changes, and corrections in assignment of Canadian Broadcast Stations modifying appendix containing Assignments of Canadian Stations (Mimeograph No. 47214-3) attached to the Recommendation of the North American Regional Broadcasting Agreement Engineering Meeting.

Call letters	Location	Power kw	Antenna	Schedule	Class	Expected date of commencement of operation
New.....	Windsor, Ontario.....	540 kilocycles 2.5 KwD/ 5 KwN.	DA-1	U	II	E.I.O. 9-15-68.
CITYR (change in call letters from CJSP).	Leamington, Ontario.....	710 kilocycles 10 Kw.....	DA-D	D	II	
CKWS (now in operation with increased power).	Kingston, Ontario.....	960 kilocycles 10 KwD/5 KwN.	DA-2	U	III	
New (correction of hours of operation from that shown in list No. 230).	Burlington, Ontario.....	1090 kilocycles 0.5 KW.....	ND	D	II	
New.....	Trenton, Ontario.....	1320 kilocycles 1 KwD/0.5 KwN.	DA-2	U	III	E.I.O. 9-15-68.
CKCR (PO: 1340 kc/s 0.25 kw ND).	Revelstoke, British Columbia.	1340 kilocycles 1 KwD/0.25 KwN.	ND	U	IV	E.I.O. 9-15-68.
New.....	Ste. Anne des Monts, Province of Quebec.	1340 kilocycles 1 KwD/0.25 KwN.	ND	U	IV	E.I.O. 9-15-68.
CJSN (now in operation).	Shaunavon, Saskatchewan.	1490 kilocycles 1 KwD/0.25 KwN.	ND	U	IV	
CFWB (PO: 1490 kc/s 0.25 kw ND).	Campbell River, British Columbia.	1490 kilocycles 1 KwD/0.25 KwN.	ND	U	IV	E.I.O. 9-15-68.

[SEAL]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12085; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:51 a.m.]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[70-4541]

CONNECTICUT YANKEE ATOMIC POWER CO. ET AL.

Notice of Proposed Capital Contributions to Affiliate Public-Utility Company

OCTOBER 6, 1967.

Notice is hereby given that Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Co. ("Connecticut Yankee"), Post Office Box 270, Hartford, Conn. 06101, an electric utility company and an indirect subsidiary company of Northeast Utilities ("Northeast") and New England Electric System ("NEES"), both of which are registered holding companies; New England Power Co. ("NEPCO"), 441 Stuart Street, Boston, Mass. 02116, a public-utility subsidiary company of NEES; and The Connecticut Light and Power Co. ("CL&P"), The Hartford Electric Light Co. ("Hartford"), and Western Massachusetts Electric Co. ("WMECO"), Post Office Box 270, Hartford, Conn. 06101, all public-utility

subsidiary companies of Northeast, have filed a declaration with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating sections 12(b) and (12) (f) of the Act and Rule 45 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to said declaration, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

Connecticut Yankee is presently constructing a single-unit nuclear powered electric generating plant at Haddam, Conn., to supply electric energy to 11 public-utility companies which sponsored its organization and own all of its common stock. NEPCO, CL&P, Hartford, and WMECO own, respectively, 15 percent, 25 percent, 9.5 percent, and 9.5 percent of the outstanding 350,000 shares of common stock, par value \$100 per share, of Connecticut Yankee.

The declaration states that Connecticut Yankee now estimates that its capital requirements will aggregate approximately \$103 million, which is an increase of \$4,500,000 over its earlier estimate. Connecticut Yankee has requested that its 11 utility sponsors provide, and such sponsors will provide, through capital

contributions, the additional capital required to meet the requirements of Connecticut Yankee over and above its presently authorized \$1 billion financing program. In order to provide for present estimated requirements and possible further contingencies, capital contributions have been requested by Connecticut Yankee of up to an aggregate of \$5 million.

Such capital contributions will be made from time to time upon the request of Connecticut Yankee. Each capital contribution will be made by the sponsors in proportion to their percentage ownerships of Connecticut Yankee's common stock so that the capital contributions will serve merely to increase, on a pro rata basis, each sponsor's equity investment in Connecticut Yankee. The declaration requests authorization to permit NEPCO, CL&P, Hartford, and WMECO to make such capital contributions.

It is stated that the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities has jurisdiction over the capital contributions to be made by NEPCO and WMECO and that the order of said Commission is to be filed by amendment. It is further stated that no other State commission and no Federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions. A statement of the fees and expenses to be incurred in connection with the proposed transactions is to be filed by amendment.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than October 31, 1967, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (airmail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the declarants at the above-stated addresses, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the declaration, as filed or as amended, may be permitted to become effective as provided in Rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission (pursuant to delegated authority).

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12054; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:48 a.m.]

MISSISSIPPI POWER CO. AND SOUTHERN CO.

Notice of Proposed Issue and Sale

OCTOBER 6, 1967.

Notice is hereby given that The Southern Co. ("Southern"), 3390 Peachtree Road, NE., Atlanta, Ga. 30326, a registered holding company and its electric utility subsidiary company, Mississippi Power Co. ("Mississippi"), 2500 14th Street, Gulfport, Miss. 39501, have filed a joint application-declaration with this Commission, pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating sections 6(a), 7, 9(a), 10, and 12(f) of the Act and Rule 50 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the joint application-declaration, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

Mississippi proposes to issue and sell, subject to the competitive bidding requirements of Rule 50 under the Act, \$10 million principal amount of first mortgage bonds, ----- percent series due November 1, 1997. The interest rate of the bonds (which will be a multiple of one-eighth of 1 percent) and the price, exclusive of accrued interest, to be paid to Mississippi (which will be not less than 99 percent nor more than 102¾ percent of the principal amount thereof) will be determined by the competitive bidding. The bonds will be issued under an indenture dated as of September 1, 1941, between Mississippi and Morgan Guaranty Trust Company of New York, trustee, as heretofore supplemented and as to be further supplemented by a supplemental indenture to be dated as of November 1, 1967. Mississippi also proposes to issue and sell, and Southern proposes to acquire, 10,000 additional shares of its common stock, without par value, at the price of \$100 per share or \$1 million in the aggregate.

The proceeds from the issue and sale of the bonds and common stock will be applied by Mississippi, together with funds available from other sources, to finance its 1967 construction program (presently estimated at \$29,094,000) and to repay short-term bank borrowings.

It is stated that no State commission and no Federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions. The fees and expenses to be incurred in connection with the issue and sale of the common stock are estimated at not more than \$400. The fees and expenses to be incurred in connection with the proposed issue and sale of the bonds are to be filed by amendment.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than November 3, 1967, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said application-declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the

Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (airmail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the applicant-declarant at the above-stated addresses, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the application-declaration, as filed or as it may be amended, may be granted and permitted to become effective, as provided in Rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered, will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission (pursuant to delegated authority).

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12055; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:48 a.m.]

NORTH AMERICAN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT CORP.

Order Suspending Trading

OCTOBER 6, 1967.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of North American Research & Development Corp., 1935 South Main Street, Salt Lake City, Utah, and all other securities of North American Research & Development Corp. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors:

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15 (c) (5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period October 8, 1967, through October 17, 1967, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12056; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:48 a.m.]

[812-2147]

PAN AMERICAN SULPHUR CO.

Notice of Application for Order of Temporary Exemption

OCTOBER 6, 1967.

Notice is hereby given that Pan American Sulphur Co. ("Applicant"), 1700

Southwest Tower, Houston, Tex. 77002, a Delaware corporation, has filed an application pursuant to section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") for an order of the Commission temporarily exempting it from section 7 of the Act.

On July 3, 1967, Applicant filed an application ("the prior application") for an order of the Commission pursuant to section 3(b)(2) of the Act declaring that it is primarily engaged in a business or businesses other than that of investing, reinvesting, owning, holding or trading in securities through controlled companies conducting similar types of businesses, and, in the alternative, for an order pursuant to section 6(c) of the Act exempting Applicant from all provisions of the Act.

Section 3(b)(2) provides, in pertinent part, that the filing of an application thereunder shall exempt the Applicant for a period of 60 days from all provisions of the Act applicable to investment companies as such. The 60-day period of exemption provided in section 3(b)(2) expired, in Applicant's case, on September 1, 1967. Applicant requests by this application that its period of exemption be extended from September 1, 1967, until the Commission has acted upon the prior application.

Applicant, in requesting such temporary exemption, has agreed that, during the temporary exemption period, it and other persons in their relation and transactions with Applicant shall be subject to all provisions of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder as though Applicant were a registered investment company, other than the following sections and the rules and regulations thereunder: Section 8; section 15, subsections (a) and (c); section 17*; section 18 (except subsection (d)); section 20, subsection (a); section 23*, subsections (a) and (b); section 30; section 31*, subsections (a) and (b); and section 32*. (Asterisk indicates certain limitations specified below.) All interested persons are referred to the application on file with the Commission for a statement of the representations contained therein which are summarized below.

With respect to section 17 of the Act, Applicant undertakes that, during the temporary exemption period, Applicant and other persons in their relations and transactions with it shall be subject to the provisions of such section except subsections (f), (g), (h), and (i) thereof: *Provided, however*, That the following transactions shall not be subject to section 17 during the temporary period:

(1) Transactions contemplated by a Management Assistance Agreement dated June 30, 1967, among Applicant, Azufrera Panamericana, S.A. de C.V. ("Azufrera"), a Mexican corporation, and the following subsidiaries of Azufrera (the "Azufrera Subsidiaries"): Pasco Terminals, Inc., a Delaware corporation, Pan American Sulphur Co., Ltd., a United Kingdom corporation, Caribbean Sulphur Shipping Co., Ltd., a

Bahama Islands corporation, Pasco International, Ltd., a Bahama Islands corporation, and Caribbean Sulphur Shipping Company of Liberia, a Liberian corporation, in which Applicant, Azufrera, any of the Azufrera Subsidiaries, any affiliate of any such corporation or any affiliate of such affiliate is a party.

(2) The purchase by Applicant, any affiliate thereof or any affiliate of such affiliate of securities of Fertilizantes Fosfatados Mexicanos, S.A. de C.V. ("FFM"), or Compania San Noe, S.A. de C.V. ("San Noe"), Mexican corporations, or any transactions incident to the operation of FFM or San Noe or the performance by Applicant or any affiliate thereof or any affiliate of such affiliate of management or advisory services for FFM or San Noe, including the payment of compensation in connection therewith.

(3) Transactions among Azufrera, any of the Azufrera Subsidiaries, FFM, San Noe, any affiliate (other than Applicant) of any such corporation and any affiliate (other than Applicant) of such affiliate.

(4) The acceptance by Loeb, Rhoades & Co. from any source of compensation for services in connection with (i) the loan to Pasco International Ltd., described in Applicant's proxy statement dated May 15, 1967, and (ii) the release of Applicant from its obligations on ship charters described in such proxy statement.

Applicant also undertakes that, during the temporary exemption period, Applicant and other persons in their relations and transactions with it shall be subject to the provisions of section 23(b) of the Act: *Provided, however*, That the sale of shares of Applicant's capital stock, \$0.70 par value, upon the exercise of any option outstanding on September 1, 1967, shall not be subject to the provisions of such subsection.

In addition, Applicant undertakes that, during the temporary exemption period, it shall be subject to the provisions of section 31(b) to the extent that the Commission may inspect records maintained by Applicant, and that it shall be exempt from the provisions of section 32 only until the next annual meeting of its stockholders.

Section 6(c) provides that the Commission, by order upon application, may conditionally or unconditionally exempt any person from any provision of, or rule or regulation under, the Act, if and to the extent that such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than October 27, 1967, at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his in-

terest, the reason for such request and the issues of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail (airmail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon Applicant at the address stated above. Proof of such service (by affidavit or in case of an attorney at law by certificate) shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. At any time after said date, as provided by Rule 0-5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the application herein may be issued by the Commission upon the basis of the information stated in said application, unless an order for hearing upon said application shall be issued upon request or upon the Commission's own motion. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission (pursuant to delegated authority).

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBois,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12057; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:48 a.m.]

[File No. 1-5215]

ROTO AMERICAN CORP.

Order Suspending Trading

OCTOBER 6, 1967.

The common stock, \$1 par value, of Roto American Corp., being listed and registered on the National Stock Exchange pursuant to the provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the 7 percent cumulative preferred, \$10 par value, being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange; and

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in such securities on such Exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors:

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15(c)(5) and 19(a)(4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities on the National Stock Exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period October 9, 1967, through October 18, 1967, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBois,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12058; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:49 a.m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. RI68-160]

COASTAL STATES GAS PRODUCING CO.

Order Providing for Hearing on and Suspension of Proposed Changes in Rates

OCTOBER 4, 1967.

Coastal States Gas Producing Co. (Coastal) ¹ tendered for filing proposed changes in its presently effective rate schedules for sales of natural gas subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. The proposed changes, which constitute increased rates and charges, are designated as follows:

¹ Address is: Post Office Box 521, Corpus Christi, Tex. 78403, Attention: Mr. Clinton B. Fawcett, vice president.

Docket No.	Respondent	Rate schedule No.	Supplement No.	Purchaser and producing area	Amount of annual increase	Date filing tendered	Effective date unless suspended	Date suspended until	Cents per Mcf		Rate in effect subject to refund in docket Nos.
									Rate in effect	Proposed increased rate	
RI68-160	Coastal States Gas Producing Co., Post Office Box 521, Corpus Christi, Tex. 78403, Attn: Clinton B. Fawcett, Vice President.	68	² 14	Consolidated Gas Supply Corp. (acreage in Raleigh, Boone, and Wyoming Counties, W. Va.).	\$853	9-8-67	³ 10-2-67	3-2-68	27.63	⁴ \$27.25	RI65-615.
	do.	69	² 11	Consolidated Gas Supply Corp. (Newberry Lands, Wyoming and Logan Counties, W. Va.).	\$84	9-8-67	³ 10-2-67	3-2-68	27.63	⁴ \$27.25	RI65-615.

² Includes letter from buyer agreeing to increase.

³ The stated effective date is the effective date requested by Respondent.

⁴ Redetermined rate increase.

⁵ Pressure base is 15.325 p.s.i.a.

Coastal's proposed increased rates and charges exceed the area price level for increased rates for the West Virginia Area as announced in the Commission's statement of general policy No. 61-1, as amended (18 CFR 2.56).

The proposed increased rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon a hearing concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the above-designated rate supplements be suspended and the use thereof deferred as hereinafter ordered.

The Commission orders:

(A) Pursuant to the authority of the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15 thereof, the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR, Ch. I), a public hearing shall be held upon a date to be fixed by notice from the Secretary concerning the lawfulness of the proposed increased rates and charges contained in the above-designated supplements.

(B) Pending such hearing and decision thereon, Coastal's aforementioned rate supplements are hereby suspended and the use thereof deferred until March 9, 1968, and thereafter until such further time as they are made effective in the manner prescribed by the Natural Gas Act.

(C) Neither the supplements hereby suspended nor the rate schedules sought to be altered thereby, shall be changed until this proceeding has been disposed of or until the periods of suspension have expired, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

(D) Notices of intervention or petitions to intervene may be filed with the

Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.37(f)) on or before November 22, 1967.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GORDON M. GRANT,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-11934; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. RI68-161]

TEXACO, INC.

Order Providing for Hearing on and Suspension of Proposed Change in Rate, and Allowing Rate Change To Become Effective Subject to Refund

OCTOBER 4, 1967.

Respondent named herein has filed a proposed change in rate and charge of a currently effective rate schedule for the sale of natural gas under Commission jurisdiction, as set forth in Appendix A below.

The proposed changed rate and charge may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon a hearing regarding the lawfulness of the proposed change, and that the supplement herein be suspended and its use be deferred as ordered below.

The Commission orders:

(A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15, the regulations pertaining thereto (18 CFR Ch. I), and the Commission's rules of practice

and procedure, a public hearing shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed change.

(B) Pending hearing and decision thereon, the rate supplement herein is suspended and its use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspended Until" column, and thereafter until made effective as prescribed by the Natural Gas Act: *Provided, however*, That the supplement to the rate schedule filed by Respondent shall become effective subject to refund on the date and in the manner herein prescribed if within 20 days from the date of the issuance of this order Respondent shall execute and file under its above-designated docket number with the Secretary of the Commission its agreement and undertaking to comply with the refunding and reporting procedure required by the Natural Gas Act and § 154.102 of the regulations thereunder, accompanied by a certificate showing service of a copy thereof upon the purchaser under the rate schedule involved. Unless Respondent is advised to the contrary within 15 days after the filing of its agreement and undertaking, such agreement and undertaking shall be deemed to have been accepted.

(C) Until otherwise ordered by the Commission, neither the suspended supplement, nor the rate schedule sought to be altered, shall be changed until disposition of this proceeding or expiration of the suspension period.

(D) Notices of intervention or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.37(f)) on or before November 22, 1967.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GORDON M. GRANT,
Secretary.

APPENDIX A

Docket No.	Respondent	Rate schedule No.	Supplement No.	Purchaser and producing area	Amount of annual increase	Date filing tendered	Effective date unless suspended	Date suspended until	Cents per Mcf		Rate in effect subject to refund in docket Nos.
									Rate in effect	Proposed increased rate	
RI68-161...	Texaco, Inc., Post Office Box 2100, Denver, Colo. 80201, Attn: Mr. Roy Whisenhunt.	290	28	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Basin Dakota Field, San Juan County, N. Mex.) (San Juan Basin Area).	\$1,252	9-8-67	10-9-67	10-10-67	13.2480 13.0	14.2501	RI64-143.

² Not applicable to acreage added by Supplement No. 7.

³ The stated effective date is the first day after expiration of the statutory notice.

⁴ The suspension period is limited to 1 day.

⁵ Periodic rate increase.

⁶ Pressure base is 15.025 p.s.i.a.

⁷ Includes 1.0 cent per Mcf added to reflect minimum guarantee for liquids.

⁸ Includes partial reimbursement for full 2.55 percent New Mexico Emergency School Tax.

⁹ Applicable to all acreage except that added by Supplement Nos. 6 and 7.

¹⁰ Settlement rate pursuant to order issued May 16, 1964. Applicable to acreage added by Supplement No. 6.

Texaco, Inc. (Texaco), requests a retroactive effective date of March 1, 1966, for its proposed rate increase. Good cause has not been shown for waiving the 30-day notice requirement provided in section 4(d) of the Natural Gas Act to permit an earlier effective date for Texaco's rate filing and such request is denied.

Texaco's proposed rate supplement reflects partial reimbursement for the full 2.55 percent New Mexico Emergency School Tax which was increased from 2 percent to 2.55 percent on April 1, 1963. The buyer, El Paso Natural Gas Co. (El Paso), in accordance with its policy of protesting all tax filings proposing reimbursement for the New Mexico Emergency School Tax in excess of 0.55 percent, has filed a protest with respect to this rate increase. El Paso questions the right of Texaco under the tax reimbursement clause of its contract to file a rate increase reflecting tax reimbursement computed on the basis of an increase in tax rate by the New Mexico Legislature in excess of 0.55 percent. While El Paso concedes that the New Mexico tax legislation effected a higher rate of at least 0.55 percent, it claims there is controversy as to whether or not the new legislation effected an increased rate in excess of 0.55 percent. In view of the contractual problem presented, we shall provide that the hearing herein shall concern itself with the contractual basis for the rate filing as well as the statutory lawfulness of the proposed increased rate.

The proposed rate includes the contractually provided for 1 cent per Mcf minimum guarantee for liquids and exceeds the 13 cents per Mcf area ceiling for increased rates for the San Juan Basin Area of New Mexico as set forth in the Commission's statement of general policy No. 61-1, as amended, by the 1 cent per Mcf minimum guarantee for liquids and the amount of tax reimbursement and is suspended for 1 day from October 9, 1967, the date of expiration of the statutory notice.

[F.R. Doc. 67-11935; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP68-104]

COLUMBIA GULF TRANSMISSION CO.

Notice of Application

OCTOBER 6, 1967.

Take notice that on September 28, 1967, Columbia Gulf Transmission Co. (Applicant), Post Office Box 683, Houston, Tex. 77001, filed in Docket No. CP68-104 an application pursuant to subsection (c) of section 7 of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of certain natural gas facilities for the transportation

of natural gas in interstate commerce, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Applicant seeks authorization to construct and operate the following natural gas facilities:

- (1) Approximately 353 miles of 30-inch main pipeline loop, said pipeline to include a proposed crossing of the Red River in Louisiana;
- (2) Installation of engine-compressor units totaling 42,500 horsepower; and
- (3) Relocation of engine-compressor units totaling 21,000 horsepower.

Applicant states that the facilities proposed above will increase the average daily design capacity of its system to 1,347,500 Mcf of natural gas. Applicant further states that the increased capacity is required to enable it to meet the estimated increased requirements of United Fuel Gas Co. for the 12-month period beginning November 1, 1968.

Applicant estimates the total cost of the facilities proposed at approximately \$66,821,800, said cost to be financed through the issuance of promissory notes and common stock, to be purchased by The Columbia Gas System, Inc., its parent company, and the use of current working funds.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (§ 157.10) on or before November 3, 1967.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no protest or petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a protest or petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be

unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

GORDON M. GRANT,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12025; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. RI68-2]

HUMBLE OIL & REFINING CO.

Order Amending Order Providing for Hearings on and Suspension of Proposed Changes in Rates To Permit Substitute Rate Filings

OCTOBER 6, 1967.

On June 16, 1967, Humble Oil & Refining Co. (Humble) filed with the Commission proposed changes in rates from 12 cents to 13 cents¹ and 15 cents to 16 cents² under its FPC Gas Rate Schedule Nos. 286 and 328, respectively, which pertain to its jurisdictional sales of natural gas from Stephens County, Okla. (Oklahoma "Other" Area), to Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co. and Lone Star Gas Co. The Commission by order issued July 13, 1967, in Docket No. RI68-2, suspended for 5 months Humble's rate filings, among others, until December 17, 1967, and thereafter until made effective in the manner prescribed by the Natural Gas Act. Humble's suspended rate increases have not been made effective pursuant to section 4(e) of the Natural Gas Act.

On September 8, 1967, Humble submitted amended notices of change in rates, designated as Supplement No. 1 to Supplement Nos. 5 and 3 to Humble's FPC Gas Rate Schedule Nos. 286 and 328, respectively, amending the supplements to the aforementioned rate schedules to provide for rate increases to 13.01556 cents (Supplement No. 1 to Supplement No. 5) and 16.01025 cents (Supplement No. 1 to Supplement No. 3) instead of the 13 cents and 16 cents per Mcf rates filed on June 16, 1967. Humble now proposes to further increase the suspended rates to include partial tax reimbursement for an increase in the Oklahoma Excise Tax which became effective on July 1, 1967. Humble's amended filings include an additional incremental

¹ Designated as Supplement No. 5 to Humble's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 286.

² Designated as Supplement No. 3 to Humble's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 328.

increase in reimbursement to cover additional tax due to the application of the 5 percent Oklahoma Production Tax to the reimbursement for the increase in excise tax.

Humble's proposed 13.01556 cents and 16.01025 cent per Mcf exceed the area ceiling of 11 cents per Mcf for increased rates in the Oklahoma "Other" Area as announced in the Commission's statement of general policy No. 61-1, as amended, as did the previously suspended rates in said docket. Since Humble's amended filings include partial reimbursement of the tax increase imposed by the State of Oklahoma, we believe that it would be in the public interest to accept the amended filings subject to the suspension proceeding in Docket No. RI68-2, with the suspension period of such amended rate filings to terminate concurrently with the suspension period (Dec. 17, 1967) of the original rate filings in said docket.

Lone Star Gas Co. (Lone Star) on September 25, 1967, filed a protest to Humble's amended rate filings. Lone Star states in its protest that Humble is not entitled to be reimbursed under the terms of its contract for increases in tax liabilities by the application of the existing 5 percent gross production tax to the increase in the excise tax and requests that Humble's filings be rejected. Lone Star disagrees with Humble's interpretation of the contract and states that the only tax reimbursement Humble is entitled to collect is that based on the increased Oklahoma excise tax. Lone Star thus disagrees with only a portion of the tax reimbursement increases tendered by Humble.

In view of Lone Star's protest, the hearing herein with respect to the aforementioned rate schedule, shall concern itself with the contractual basis for Humble's rate filing, as well as the statutory lawfulness of the proposed increased rates, which relates to the sale to Lone Star.

The Commission orders:

(A) The suspension order issued July 13, 1967, in Docket No. RI68-2, is amended only so far as to permit 13.01556 and 16.01025 cents rates contained in Supplement No. 1 to Supplement Nos. 5 and 3 to Humble's FPC Gas Rate Schedule Nos. 286 and 328, respectively, to be filed to supersede the 13 cents and 16 cents rates provided by Supplement Nos. 5 and 3 to the aforementioned rate schedules, subject to the suspension proceeding in Docket No. RI68-2. The suspension period for such substitute filings shall terminate concurrently with the suspension periods (Dec. 17, 1967) presently in effect in said docket.

(B) In all other respects, the order issued by the Commission on July 13, 1967, in Docket No. RI68-2, shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GORDON M. GRANT,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12035; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:47 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP68-107]

MANUFACTURERS LIGHT AND HEAT CO.

Notice of Application

OCTOBER 6, 1967.

Take notice that on September 28, 1967, the Manufacturers Light and Heat Co. (Applicant), 800 Union Trust Building, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15219, filed in Docket No. CP68-107 an application pursuant to subsections (b) and (c) of section 7 of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval of the Commission to abandon certain natural gas facilities and for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of certain other natural gas facilities and the increased sales of natural gas to certain jurisdictional customers, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Applicant seeks permission and approval of the Commission to abandon the following natural gas facilities:

- (1) Approximately 29.5 miles of 20-inch and 16-inch pipeline in Marshall County, W. Va., and Washington and Allegheny Counties, Pa.;
- (2) Approximately 117 miles of 6-inch and 45.3 miles of 8-inch pipeline in Fayette, Somerset, and Bedford Counties, Pa.; and
- (3) Approximately 23.4 miles of 6-inch, 19.6 miles of 8-inch, 0.4 of a mile of 10-inch and 0.6 of a mile of 12-inch pipeline in Lancaster and Chester Counties, Pa.

Applicant also seeks authorization to construct and operate the following natural gas facilities:

- (1) Approximately 11.1 miles of 20-inch pipeline loop in Bucks, Lehigh, and Northampton Counties, Pa.;
- (2) An additional 1,080 horsepower compressor unit in Greene County, Pa.; and
- (3) A new 2,160 horsepower compressor station in Somerset County, Pa.

Applicant states that the facilities proposed above will provide additional capacity to serve the increased requirements of certain existing markets. Applicant further states that the facilities proposed above, together with the proposed abandonments, will provide more efficient utilization and more economical operation of its pipeline system.

Applicant estimates the total cost of the facilities proposed above at approximately \$2,474,000, said cost to be financed through the issuance and sale of promissory notes and/or common stock to The Columbia Gas System, Inc., its parent company.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (§ 157.10) on or before November 3, 1967.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Fed-

eral Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no protest or petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and permission and approval for the proposed abandonment is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a protest or petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

GORDON M. GRANT,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12026; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. RP67-21]

NATURAL GAS PIPELINE COMPANY OF AMERICA

Order on Motion for Interim Order Establishing Procedure and Hearing

OCTOBER 5, 1967.

On September 5, 1967, the city of Chicago (City) filed a motion requesting the Commission to reduce certain increased rates proposed by Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America (Natural) in the filing tendered in the present docket on May 31, 1967. Under the motion Natural's proposed rates would be decreased by the amounts necessary to reflect the "flow-through" of liberalized depreciation in the calculation of the federal income tax allowance of Natural's cost of service and the disallowance of a return on the reserves accumulated for deferred income taxes. Staff Counsel, on September 15, 1967, filed an answer generally supporting the City's motion, although differing somewhat on procedure. Responses to the motion, and to staff's answer, have been filed by Natural.

Natural's rate increases under its May 31, 1967, filing total approximately \$19,158,000 annually.¹ The filing was based in part on a normalization of the depreciation expense taken for tax purposes and a 1.5 percent rate of return on the balances accumulated in Account 282 as a reserve for deferred income taxes. Natural has stated that approximately \$12 million of the proposed rate increases are related to these items.

¹ By order issued June 30, 1967, we ordered that a public hearing be held to determine the lawfulness of the rates, charges, classifications, and services contained in Natural's filings and further ordered that the tariff sheets, which provided a July 1, 1967 effective date, be suspended and the use thereof deferred until Dec. 1, 1967, and until such further time as they may be made effective in the manner prescribed by the Natural Gas Act.

In Alabama-Tennessee Natural Gas Co., Opinion No. 417, 31 FPC 208, the Commission held that liberalized depreciation, when utilized by a pipeline with a growing or stable gross plant, results in a tax savings and not a tax deferral and that rate flow-through is the proper treatment of the benefits realized from the taking of liberalized depreciation. The Commission also held that Alabama-Tennessee, and all other companies similarly situated, were not entitled to a return on the "deferred tax" accumulations and that the amount thereof should be excluded from the rate base. The Opinion was sustained on review and the Supreme Court denied certiorari. Alabama-Tennessee Natural Gas Co. v. F.P.C., 359 F.2d 318 (CA 5, 1966), cert. denied, 385 U.S. 847, 1966.

In Opinion No. 456, 33 FPC 574, issued March 18, 1965,² the Commission determined after hearing that the liberalized depreciation principles of Alabama-Tennessee, and the deduction of accumulated reserves from the rate base, were applicable to Natural and ordered Natural to file rates based on flow-through of the benefits of liberalized depreciation and the lower rate base. Natural sought review of the Commission's opinion and obtained a judicial stay of the decision and order. On September 8, 1967, the Court rendered its decision upholding the Commission's finding that flow-through was the appropriate method of computing Natural's taxes for ratemaking purposes and disallowing a return on accumulated tax reserves.³ As to the latter element, however, the Court ruled that the language of a prior Natural settlement agreement, which reserved the liberalized depreciation issue for decision in Opinion No. 456, had not reserved the rate base issue for determination in the increased rate proceeding there in issue. This ruling, which was predicated entirely on the settlement agreement, does not, of course, prevent application of the proper principle in this case involving a subsequent increase by Natural. In this respect we note that the Court went out of its way to hold that, while the matter was not properly before the Commission in the case, it fully agreed with the Commission's substantive determination.

Natural, in its answer, has presented a number of objections to the requested interim order procedure. It argues that Opinion Nos. 456 and 456-A, though upheld in relevant part on review, are still subject to petitions for rehearing and certiorari and that, under such circumstances, the interim order requested is premature. It also argues that while the City purports to request an interim order, the City has really requested a partial rejection of its filing prior to any hearings in this proceeding. Such action, Natural's claims, would be violative of due process of law.

We are aware that Natural has filed a motion for rehearing with the Court of

Appeals and that the City has asked for a stay of mandate preliminary to the filing of a petition for certiorari with the Supreme Court. We believe that the decision of these already litigated issues in the present case can and should be expedited prior to expiration of the suspension period and that the pendency of continued judicial review of the holdings of Opinion 456 affords no reason for not establishing an appropriate procedure to this end at this time. Although the Natural Gas Act provides for a hearing in determining a just and reasonable rate, it does not require that every case include an opportunity for rebuttal evidence, cross-examination, oral arguments before the Commission, or other incidents of a full-blown rate investigation. The Commission has held, with judicial approval, that accelerated procedures are appropriate to expedite consideration of matters already fully canvassed in previous proceedings where no showing of a material change in circumstances has been made. Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co., 13 FPC 1570, affirmed 236 F.2d 606 (CA 3, 1956). Significantly, the Commission's interim order in Panhandle was adopted on December 13, 1954, at a time when Court review of the Commission's governing earlier decision (Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co., 13 FPC 301, affirmed 232 F.2d 467 (CA 3, 1956), cert. denied 352 U.S. 891) was still pending without decision in the Court of Appeals.

We have approved the use of the interim order procedure in other prior cases and in each instance the procedure has received the approval of the Courts.⁴ However, in each case where the procedure has been employed the company has been permitted to make its direct case on the record and a decision has been rendered thereon. We believe the same procedure should be followed here.

Under § 154.63 of the Commission's regulations under the Natural Gas Act, Natural was required to submit with its application, as Statement P, copies of its proposed testimony indicating the line of proof which the company proposed to offer for its case-in-chief. On June 15, 1967, Natural filed Statement P with the Commission and served its presentation on the other parties to the proceeding. Under the procedure heretofore established in our order issued June 30, 1967, this material, and any other evidence Natural wishes to introduce, would not be received into the record until November 27, 1967, at the earliest, too late to prevent Natural from placing into effect the full amount of its increased rate proposal

should it so desire. Accordingly, and in light of the Commission's previous position on the issues herein involved, both in general and as applicable to Natural in particular, we are herewith revising our procedural order to accelerate the receipt into the record of Natural's complete direct case. The Presiding Examiner will open the record on the date hereafter designated for the purpose of incorporating into the record Natural's direct case. In the absence of a demand within three days' time by staff or the interveners for an opportunity to cross-examine or submit answering evidence with respect to the liberalized depreciation and rate base issues, the Examiner will then close the record and forthwith certify the questions here under discussion, and the record to the Commission for decision of the City's motion. If further hearing procedures on these issues are requested by staff or the interveners, the Examiner (with the objective of resolving the matter prior to the expiration of the suspension period) shall set early dates therefor and on completion of such proceedings close the record on the issues and certify the matter to the Commission for decision. In either event, the parties will be permitted a ten day period following the Examiner's certification to submit briefs with the Commission addressed to the issues.

The Commission further finds: The interim order procedure proposed in this proceeding for the purpose of separately determining the validity of Natural's proposed normalization for ratemaking purposes of the liberalized depreciation taken on its Federal Income Tax returns and its request for a return on accumulated deferred tax balances is necessary and appropriate in the public interest and due and timely execution of the Commission's functions imperatively and unavoidably requires the application thereof.

The Commission orders:

(A) Decision on the motion filed by the city of Chicago on September 5, 1967, is deferred until the further order of the Commission.

(B) Pursuant to § 1.20 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, Presiding Examiner Ewing G. Simpson, or any other officer of the Commission designated by it for that purpose, shall convene a hearing at 10 a.m., e.d.s.t., on October 18, 1967, in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., and shall at that time receive into evidence and place on the record the entire direct case offered by Natural in support of its proposed tariff changes tendered for filing on May 31, 1967. Unless staff or the interveners, within three days thereafter, request an opportunity to cross-examine or submit answering evidence with respect to the liberalized depreciation and rate base issues, the Examiner shall close the record and forthwith certify the questions discussed in this order and the record to the Commission for decision of the motion filed by the city of Chicago. If further hearing procedures on these issues are requested by staff or the interveners, the Examiner shall set early

² Opinion and order on rehearing, Opinion No. 456-A, 33 FPC 1277, issued June 23, 1965.

³ City of Chicago v. F.P.C., CADC, ----- F.2d -----, Nos. 19604 and 19836.

⁴ See Illinois Commerce Commission v. Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America, 2 FPC 218, 120 F.2d 625 (CA 7, 1941), affirmed 315 U.S. 575; State Corp. Comm. of Kansas v. F.P.C., 206 F.2d 690 (CA 8, 1953), cert. denied 346 U.S. 922; Mississippi River Fuel Co. v. F.P.C., 21 FPC 48, affirmed 281 F.2d 919 (CADC, 1960), cert. denied 365 U.S. 827; F.P.C. v. Tennessee Gas Transmission Co., 371 U.S. 145 (1962). See also Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co., 23 FPC 646 (1960); Southern Natural Gas Co., 24 FPC 26 (1960); Cities Service Gas Co., 26 FPC 665 (1961); United Gas Pipe Line Co., 32 FPC 1164 (1964).

dates therefor and on completion of such proceedings close the record on the issues and certify the matter to the Commission for decision. The parties may file briefs within 10 days following the Examiner's certification addressed to the issues herein discussed.

(C) In all other respects the order heretofore issued in this proceeding on June 30, 1967, and the hearing procedure specified therein, shall remain unchanged.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GORDON M. GRANT,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12027; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP68-106]

OHIO FUEL GAS CO.

Notice of Application

OCTOBER 6, 1967.

Take notice that on September 28, 1967, the Ohio Fuel Gas Co. (Applicant), 99 North Front Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215, filed in Docket No. CP68-106 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of certain natural gas facilities, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Applicant seeks authorization to construct and operate the following natural gas facilities in the State of Ohio:

(1) Approximately 4.8 miles of 20-inch pipeline in Hardin County, Ohio, extending Line D-500, looping an additional section of Lines D-322 and D-357 serving the Lima market area;

(2) Approximately 1.7 miles of 12¾-inch pipeline in Muskingum and Coshocot Counties, Ohio, looping an additional section of Line O-731 serving the Coshocot market area;

(3) Approximately 1.9 miles of 12¾-inch pipeline in Miami County, Ohio, looping a section of Line Z-167 serving the Sidney-Piqua market area;

(4) Approximately 3 miles of 6½-inch pipeline in Shelby County, Ohio, replacing a section of 4½-inch O.D. Line Z-38 serving the Versailles market area;

(5) Approximately 4.6 miles of 8½-inch pipeline in Clinton County, Ohio, replacing a section of 6½-inch O.D. Line A-130 serving the Wilmington market area;

(6) Approximately 1.1 miles of 8½-inch pipeline in Wayne County, Ohio, replacing two sections of 6½-inch O.D. Line L-545 serving the Creston, Sterling, and Rittman market areas;

(7) Approximately 1.4 miles of 16-inch pipeline in Cuyahoga and Lorain Counties, Ohio, replacing two sections of 12¾-inch O.D. Line L-920 serving the Lorain market area;

(8) Approximately 3.6 miles of 8½-inch pipeline in Marion and Delaware Counties, Ohio, replacing three sections

of 6½-inch O.D. Line T-48 serving the Union County market area;

(9) Approximately 23.2 miles of 24-inch pipeline in Fairfield, Hockings, and Vinton Counties, Ohio, looping an additional section of Lines R-501 and R-601 transporting natural gas to the Crawford Compressor Station;

(10) Approximately 8.4 miles of 20-inch pipeline in Ashland and Lorain Counties, Ohio, extending Line L-3121 and looping an additional section of Line L-2121 transporting natural gas northward from its Pavonia Compressor Station; and

All valves, fittings, and incidental facilities necessary for practical operation.

Applicant states that the facilities proposed above are a part of a program to maintain adequate facilities and service and to provide the increased capacity needed to serve the increasing requirements of existing markets and to assure adequate market service.

Applicant also requests that the present limitation on the maximum daily deliveries under firm rate schedules to its customers be increased.

Applicant estimates the total cost of the proposed facilities at approximately \$4,447,400, said cost to be financed by The Columbia Gas System, Inc., its parent company.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (§157.10) on or before November 3, 1967.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no protest or petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review or the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a protest or petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

GORDON M. GRANT,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12028; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP67-170]

PANHANDLE EASTERN PIPE LINE CO.

Notice of Petition To Amend

OCTOBER 6, 1967.

Take notice that on September 29, 1967, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co. (Petitioner), 1 Chase Manhattan Plaza,

New York, N.Y. 10005, filed in Docket No. CP67-170 a petition to amend the order issued by the Commission March 28, 1967, by authorizing certain minor revisions in the contract demands applicable to nine existing utility customers originally authorized in this docket and the revision of the contract demands of two other existing utility customers, all as more fully set forth in the petition to amend which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

By the above-mentioned order, Petitioner was authorized, *inter alia*, to increase the contract demands of approximately 50 utility customers. By the instant filing, Petitioner seeks authorization to make minor revisions in the contract demands of nine of the utility customers, both upward and downward, originally authorized by the above-mentioned order. In addition, Petitioner seeks authorization to make a minor increase in the contract demands from Bowling Green Gas Co. and Northern Indiana Fuel and Light Co., Inc., for three of the summer months. The above-mentioned changes are set forth in detail in the appendix to the petition to amend. Petitioner states that the proposed changes are a result of more current estimates showing the current market requirements.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (§157.10) on or before November 6, 1967.

GORDON M. GRANT,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12029; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP68-105]

UNITED FUEL GAS CO.

Notice of Application

OCTOBER 6, 1967.

Take notice that on September 28, 1967, United Fuel Gas Co. (Applicant), Post Office Box 1273, Charleston, W. Va. 25325, filed in Docket No. CP68-105 an application pursuant to subsections (b) and (c) of section 7 of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval of the Commission to abandon certain natural gas facilities and for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of certain other natural gas facilities, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Applicant seeks permission and approval of the Commission to abandon the following natural gas facilities:

(1) One 1,000 horsepower compressor unit at its Glenville Compressor Station, Gilmer County, W. Va.; and

(2) Three 165 horsepower compressor units and one 500 horsepower compressor unit at its Walbridge Compressor Station, Lawrence County, Ky.

Applicant also seeks authorization to construct and operate the following natural gas facilities:

(1) Approximately 18.5 miles of 30-inch pipeline loop in Cabell County, W. Va., extending eastward from a point approximately 12.8 miles east of its Ceredo Compressor Station;

(2) Approximately 0.2 of a mile of 24-inch pipeline in Kanawha County, W. Va., connecting the existing transmission facilities between its Lanham and Cobb Compressor Stations with its Clendenin Compressor Station;

(3) One 2,700 horsepower compressor unit at its Lanham Compressor Station, Kanawha County, W. Va.;

(4) One 2,000 horsepower compressor unit at its Glenville Compressor Station, Gilmer County, W. Va.; and

(5) One 500 horsepower compressor unit at its Walbridge Compressor Station, Lawrence County, Ky.

Applicant states that the facilities described above, both the proposed abandonments and the proposed construction, will modernize Applicant's existing operations and will also increase the capacity of Applicant's pipeline system enabling it to meet the increased market and storage injection requirements of its operations. Applicant further states that the proposed abandonments, described above, will permit the installation of newer and more efficient facilities which will substantially decrease the annual operating expenses associated with such facilities.

Applicant estimates the total cost of the facilities proposed at approximately \$5,099,300, said cost to be financed through the issuance and sale of promissory notes and common stock to The Columbia Gas System, Inc., its parent company.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (§ 157.10) on or before November 3, 1967.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no protest or petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and permission and approval for the proposed abandonment is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a protest or petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be

unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

GORDON M. GRANT,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12030; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:47 a.m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice 1113]

MOTOR CARRIER, BROKER, WATER CARRIER, AND FREIGHT FOR- WARDER APPLICATIONS¹

OCTOBER 6, 1967.

The following applications are governed by Special Rule 1.247¹ of the Commission's General rules of practice (49 CFR, as amended), published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of April 20, 1966, effective May 20, 1966. These rules provide, among other things, that a protest to the granting of an application must be filed with the Commission within 30 days after date of notice of filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Failure seasonably to file a protest will be construed as a waiver of opposition and participation in the proceeding. A protest under these rules should comply with § 1.247(d)(3) of the rules of practice which requires that it set forth specifically the grounds upon which it is made, contain a detailed statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding (including a copy of the specific portions of its authority which protestant believes to be in conflict with that sought in the application, and describing in detail the method—whether by joinder, interline, or other means—by which protestant would use such authority to provide all or part of the service proposed), and shall specify with particularity the facts, matters, and things relied upon, but shall not include issues or allegations phrased generally. Protests not in reasonable compliance with the requirements of the rules may be rejected. The original and one copy of the protest shall be filed with the Commission, and a copy shall be served concurrently upon applicant's representative, or applicant if no representative is named. If the protest includes a request for oral hearing, such requests shall meet the requirements of § 1.247(d)(4) of the special rule, and shall include the certification required therein.

Section 1.247(f) of the Commission's rules of practice further provides that each applicant shall, if protests to its application have been filed, and within 60 days of the date of this publication, notify the Commission in writing (1) that it is ready to proceed and prosecute the application, or (2) that it wishes to withdraw the application, failure in

¹ Copies of Special Rule 1.247 (as amended) can be obtained by writing to the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423.

which the application will be dismissed by the Commission.

Further processing steps (whether modified procedure, oral hearing, or other procedures) will be determined generally in accordance with the Commission's General Policy Statement Concerning Motor Carrier Licensing Procedures, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of May 3, 1966. This assignment will be by Commission order which will be served on each party of record.

The publications hereinafter set forth reflect the scope of the applications as filed by applicants, and may include descriptions, restrictions, or limitations which are not in a form acceptable to the Commission. Authority which ultimately may be granted as a result of the applications here noticed will not necessarily reflect the phraseology set forth in the application as filed, but also will eliminate any restrictions which are not acceptable to the Commission.

No. MC 531 (Sub-No. 236), filed September 26, 1967. Applicant: YOUNGER BROTHERS, INC., 4904 Griggs Road, Houston, Tex. 77021. Applicant's representative: Wray E. Hughes (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Petroleum and petroleum products, and chemicals* (except liquid nitrogen, hydrogen, oxygen, argon, and helium), in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in California, Oregon, and Washington, to points in North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Arkansas, Louisiana, Wisconsin, Illinois, Michigan, Indiana, Kentucky, Tennessee, Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, Ohio, Florida, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Maryland, Delaware, New York, New Jersey, Vermont, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New Hampshire, Maine, and the District of Columbia, and (2) *petroleum and petroleum products, and chemicals* (except liquid nitrogen, hydrogen, oxygen, argon, helium, and natural gas odorants), from New Orleans, La., and points within 35 miles thereof (except points in Mississippi), to points in California, Louisiana, Oregon, and Washington. Note: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at San Francisco, Calif.

No. MC 2392 (Sub-No. 60), filed September 28, 1967. Applicant: WHEELER TRANSPORT SERVICE, INC., Post Office Box 14248, West Omaha Station, Omaha, Nebr. 68114. Applicant's representative: Leonard A. Jaskiewicz, Madison Building, 1155 15th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Animal food and animal food supplements*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Laplatte and Omaha, Nebr., to points in Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, North Dakota, and South Dakota. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 2484 (Sub-No. 45), filed September 25, 1967. Applicant: E. & L. TRANSPORT COMPANY, a corporation, 14201 Prospect Avenue, Dearborn, Mich. 48126. Applicant's representative: Eugene C. Ewald, Suite 1700, 1 Woodward Avenue, Detroit, Mich. 48226. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Automobiles*, in initial movements from the plantsite of A. O. Smith Corp., located at or near Ionia, Mich., to points in Michigan, Indiana, Illinois, Iowa, Ohio, Wisconsin, Maryland, New York, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, Missouri, and Kentucky. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Detroit, Mich., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 3009 (Sub-No. 79), filed September 25, 1967. Applicant: WEST BROTHERS, INC. 706 East Pine Street, Hattiesburg, Miss. 39401. Applicant's representative: W. N. Innis (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), (1) between Memphis, Tenn. (except that part of the commercial zone of Memphis, Tenn., lying west of the Mississippi River), and Vaiden, Miss., (a) over U.S. Highway 51, serving no intermediate points, (b) over Interstate Highway 55, serving no intermediate points, (2) between Vaiden and Jackson, Miss., (a) over U.S. Highway 51 serving no intermediate points, (b) over Interstate Highway 55 serving no intermediate points, and (3) between Vaiden and Raleigh, Miss., over Mississippi Highway 35, serving Forest, Miss., as a point of joinder and for the purpose of interchanging traffic with other carriers. No service is sought at Vaiden, Miss., except for the purpose of joinder only. **Restriction:** The authority sought herein is to be restricted against the transportation of any traffic moving between Memphis, Tenn., or its commercial zone as defined by the Commission on the one hand, and, on the other, Birmingham, Ala., and points on U.S. Highway 31 within 65 miles thereof, and Mobile, Ala., and their respective commercial zones as defined by the Commission. **NOTE:** Applicant states it does not seek duplicating operating authority but proposes with this application to remove the restrictions presently applicable in Docket MC 3009 (Sub-No. 61), except as outlined in the next above paragraph. Applicant further states it proposes to tack the authority sought to its present authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Jackson, Miss., Memphis, Tenn., or Meridian, Miss.

No. MC 17002 (Sub-No. 43), filed September 26, 1967. Applicant: CASE DRIVEWAY, INC., 6001 U.S. Route 60 East, Huntington, W. Va. 25714. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular

routes, transporting: *Iron and steel and iron and steel articles* (except those which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment), from Huntington, W. Va., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Georgia (except Atlanta, Brunswick, and Woodbine), Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Michigan (on and south of Michigan Highway 21), North Carolina, North Dakota, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Utah, Washington, and Nebraska. **NOTE:** Applicant states it intends to tack the proposed authority at Huntington, W. Va., with other presently held authorized authority serving points in Kentucky, Virginia, West Virginia, Pennsylvania, and Ohio. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Charleston, W. Va., Cincinnati, Ohio, or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 25798 (Sub-No. 159), filed September 28, 1967. Applicant: CLAY HYDER TRUCKING LINES, INC., 502 East Bridgers Avenue, Post Office Box 1186, Auburndale, Fla. 33823. Applicant's representative: Tony G. Russell (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cheese and commodities*, dealt in by retail gift shops or retail curio shops when moving in mixed loads with cheese, from Monroe, Wis., and Chicago, Ill., to points in Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, Florida, Tennessee, Kentucky, North Carolina, and South Carolina. **NOTE:** Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Madison, Wis.

No. MC 31389 (Sub-No. 89), filed September 27, 1967. Applicant: McLEAN TRUCKING COMPANY, a corporation, 617 Waughtown Street, Post Office Box 213, Winston-Salem, N.C. 27102. Applicant's representative: Francis W. McInerney, Suite 502, 1000 16th Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment), serving Columbia City, Md., as an off-route point in connection with applicant's regular route authority to serve Baltimore, Md. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Baltimore, Md.

No. MC 38435 (Sub-No. 4), filed September 5, 1967. Applicant: INTERURBAN AUTO FREIGHT CO., a corporation, 523 Puyallup Avenue, Tacoma, Wash. 98421. Applicant's representative: John H. Potter (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities, including classes A and B explosives and household goods as defined by the Commission*, (1) between Tacoma and Longmire, Wash., from Tacoma over Washington Highway 7 to Elbe, thence over Washington Highway 706 to Longmire, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate

points, (2) between Tacoma and Ohanapecosh, Wash., from Tacoma to Elbe as specified in No. (1) above, thence over Washington Highway 7 via Morton to Kosmos, thence over Washington Highway 14 to Ohanapecosh, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points, and (3) between Tacoma and Mossyrock, Wash., from Tacoma to Morton as specified in No. (2) above, thence over Washington Highway 141 to junction Washington Highway 14, thence over Washington Highway 14 to Mossyrock, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Seattle or Tacoma, Wash.

No. MC 50069 (Sub-No. 386), filed September 27, 1967. Applicant: REFINERS TRANSPORT & TERMINAL CORPORATION, 930 North York Road, Hinsdale, Ill. Applicant's representative: Robert H. Levy, 29 South La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lubricating oils*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from River Rouge, Mich., to Ashland, Ky. **NOTE:** Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 50069 (Sub-No. 387), filed September 27, 1967. Applicant: REFINERS TRANSPORT & TERMINAL CORPORATION, 930 North York Road, Hinsdale, Ill. Applicant's representative: Robert H. Levy, 29 South La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Anhydrous ammonia*, in bulk, from Seneca, Ill., and points within 5 miles thereof, to points in Illinois, Missouri, Iowa, Wisconsin, Indiana, Michigan, and Kentucky. **NOTE:** Common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 50493 (Sub-No. 32), filed September 28, 1967. Applicant: P. C. M. TRUCKING, INC., 1063 Main Street, Orefield, Pa. Applicant's representative: Frank A. Doocey, 527 Hamilton Street, Allentown, Pa. 18101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry dicalcium phosphate*, in bulk and in bags, from Baltimore, Md., to points in Delaware, Virginia, West Virginia, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, New York, Connecticut, Ohio, and the District of Columbia. **NOTE:** Applicant holds contract carrier authority under MC 115859 (Sub-No. 1) and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., Philadelphia, Pa., or Camden, N.J.

No. MC 52579 (Sub-No. 86), filed September 26, 1967. Applicant: GILBERT CARRIER CORP., 1 Gilbert Drive, Secaucus, N.J. 07094. Applicant's representative: Aaron Hoffman (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wearing apparel, and materials and supplies used in the manufacture of wearing*

apparel, between Fairview, N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other Gordo and Moundville, Ala. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y., or Newark, N.J.

No. MC 52579 (Sub-No. 87), filed September 26, 1967. Applicant: GILBERT CARRIER CORP., 1 Gilbert Drive, Secaucus, N.J. 07094. Applicant's representative: Aaron Hoffman (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wearing apparel and materials and supplies* used in the manufacture thereof, between Herkimer, N.Y., and Secaucus, N.J. NOTE: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y., or Newark, N.J.

No. MC 52579 (Sub-No. 88), filed September 27, 1967. Applicant: GILBERT CARRIER CORP., 1 Gilbert Drive, Secaucus, N.J. 07094. Applicant's representative: Aaron Hoffman (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wearing apparel*, between Hoboken, N.J., and Albany, N.Y., commercial zone, as defined by the Commission. NOTE: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y., or Newark, N.J.

No. MC 59117 (Sub-No. 30), filed September 25, 1967. Applicant: ELLIOTT TRUCK LINE, INC., Post Office Box 1, Vinita, Okla. Applicant's representative: Carl V. Kretsinger, 450 Professional Building, Kansas City, Mo. 64106. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Fertilizer solutions*, between Pryor, Okla., and points within 10 miles thereof, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Louisiana, Texas, Arkansas, Missouri, Kansas, and Mississippi, (2) *liquid feed ingredients and fertilizer solutions*, between Pryor, Okla., and points within 10 miles thereof, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Nebraska, Iowa, Illinois, and Indiana, (3) *dry fertilizer, dry fertilizer compounds, dry fertilizer ingredients, dry urea, and urea compounds*, in bulk, bags, and containers, and in mixed shipments of bulk, bags, and containers, between Pryor, Okla., and points within 10 miles thereof, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Missouri, Arkansas, Texas, Louisiana, Tennessee, and Mississippi, and (4) *feed and feed ingredients*, between Pryor, Okla., and points within 10 miles thereof, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Missouri, Arkansas, Texas, and Louisiana. NOTE: Applicant presently holds the above authority, restricted, however, to the origin point of the plantsite of John Deere Chemical Co., near Pryor, Okla. The instant application seeks to remove the plantsite restriction, together with certain commodity restrictions, as specified. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Tulsa, Okla., or Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 59583 (Sub-No. 113), filed September 25, 1967. Applicant: THE MASON & DIXON LINES, INCORPORATED, Eastman Road, Kingsport, Tenn. 37660. Applicant's representative: Clifford E. Sanders, 321 East Center Street, Kingsport, Tenn. 37660. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities*, except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading, serving the plantsite of the Grinnell Corp., located at Hampton, Pa., as an off-route point in connection with applicant's present authority over U.S. Highway 30 between Chambersburg and Philadelphia, Pa.; and over U.S. Highway 15 between Harrisburg and Gettysburg, Pa. NOTE: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 59583 (Sub-No. 114), filed September 24, 1967. Applicant: THE MASON & DIXON LINES, INCORPORATED, Eastman Road, Kingsport, Tenn. 37660. Applicant's representative: Clifford E. Sanders, 321 East Center Street, Kingsport, Tenn. 37660. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), between Lancaster and Allentown, Pa.; from Lancaster over U.S. Highway 30 to Exton, Pa., thence over Pennsylvania Highway 100 to junction Interstate Highway 78, thence over Interstate Highway 78 to Allentown, and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points, as an alternate route for operating convenience only in connection with applicant's presently held authorized regular route authority. NOTE: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 61396 (Sub-No. 190), filed October 2, 1967. Applicant: HERMAN BROS. INC., 2501 North 11th Street, Omaha, Nebr. 68110. Applicant's representatives: Dale B. Herman, Post Office Box 189, Omaha, Nebr. 68101. and Donald L. Stern, 630 City National Bank Building, Omaha, Nebr. 68102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Animal food and animal food supplements*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from LaPlatte and Omaha, Nebr., to points in Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, North Dakota, and South Dakota. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant request it be held at Omaha, Nebr., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 63041 (Sub-No. 5), filed September 4, 1967. Applicant: BUILDERS TRANSPORT, INC., Post Office Box 1991, York, Pa. 17405. Applicant's representa-

tive: John M. Musselman, 400 North Third Street, Harrisburg, Pa. 17108. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Insulating materials, in mixed shipments with asphalt shingles, siding, roll roofing, waterproof building paper, and wall board* as presently authorized, from Baltimore, Md., to points in Delaware, points in Adams, Bedford, Blair, Cambria, Centre, Chester, Clearfield, Clinton, Cumberland, Dauphin, Franklin, Fulton, Huntingdon, Juniata, Lancaster, Lycoming, Perry, Snyder, Somerset, Union, and York Counties, Pa., Alexandria, Va., and points in Albermarle, Amelia, Amherst, Appomattox, Arlington, Augusta, Bath, Bedford, Buckingham, Campbell, Caroline, Charles City, Charlotte, Chesterfield, Culpeper, Cumberland, Dinwiddie, Essex, Fairfax, Fauquier, Fluvanna, Frederick, Gloucester, Goochland, Greene, Halifax, Hanover, Henrico, Highland, James City, King and Queen, King George, King William, Lancaster, Loudoun, Louisa, Lunenburg, Madison, Mathews, Middlesex, Nelson, New Kent, Northumberland, Nottoway, Orange, Page, Pittsylvania, Powhatan, Prince Edward, Prince George, Prince William, Rappahannock, Richmond, Rockbridge, Rockingham, Shenandoah, Spotsylvania, Stafford, Westmoreland, and York Counties, Va., and points in Barbour, Boone, Braxton, Clay, Fayette, Grant, Greenbrier, Hampshire, Hardy, Logan, Mineral, Nichols, Pendleton, Pocahontas, Preston, Raleigh, Randolph, Tucker, Upshur, and Webster Counties, W. Va., excluding points in Virginia within 10 miles of Washington, D.C., under contract with The Ruberoid Co., South Boundbrook, N.J. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Harrisburg, Pa., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 89293 (Sub-No. 3), filed September 27, 1967. Applicant: MARKET TRUCKING CORP., 130 Reade Street, New York, N.Y. 10013. Applicant's representative: William D. Traub, 10 East 40th Street, New York, N.Y. 10016. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cheese and packaged meats* requiring refrigeration, between steamship piers in the New York, N.Y., Harbor, and Moonachie, N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Bergen, Essex, Hudson, Union, and Passaic Counties, N.J., and New York, N.Y., and points in Nassau, Suffolk, and Westchester Counties, N.Y., under contract with J. S. Hoffman Corp., Moonachie, N.J. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y., or Newark, N.J.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. 710), September 21, 1967. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., 1120 West Griffin Road, Lakeland, Fla. 33802. Applicant's representative: Hoyt Starr (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Textiles and textile products*, from points in North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Alabama, and points in Marion,

Bledsoe, Cumberland, Morgan, Scott, Campbell, Anderson, Roane, Rhea, Meigs, Hamilton, Claiborne, Union, Knox, Loudon, McMinn, Bradley, Hancock, Grainger, Blount, Monroe, Polk, Hawkins, Hamblen, Jefferson, Sevier, Sullivan, Washington, Greene, Cocke, Johnson, Carter, and Unicoi Counties, Tenn., to points in Colorado, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga., Dallas, Tex., and Charlotte, N.C.

No. MC 100666 (Sub-No. 107), filed September 29, 1967. Applicant: MELTON TRUCK LINES, INC., Post Office Box 7295, Shreveport, La. 71107. Applicant's representative: Wilburn L. Williamson, 450 American National Building, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Paper and paper products; products produced or distributed by manufacturers and converters of paper and paper products; materials, equipment, and supplies used in the manufacture and distribution of the foregoing commodities*, between points in McMinn County, Tenn., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Arkansas, Louisiana, Texas, and Oklahoma. NOTE: Applicant states that tacking could take place in conjunction with its pending application, designated as Sub 105, which, if granted could be tacked with authority sought at Little River County, Ark. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Little Rock, Ark.

No. MC 103051 (Sub-No. 213), filed September 25, 1967. Applicant: FLEET TRANSPORT COMPANY, INC., 1000 44th Avenue North, Post Office Box 7645, Nashville, Tenn. 37209. Applicant's representative: R. J. Reynolds, Jr., 403-11 Healey Building, Atlanta, Ga. 30303. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals and cleaning compounds*, in bulk, from points in Richmond County, Ga., to points in North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Virginia. NOTE: Applicant states a portion of its presently held authority in MC 103051 authorizes the transportation of chemicals with certain exceptions, from Atlanta, Ga., to points in Georgia, Alabama, Florida, Mississippi, and that part of Tennessee west of U.S. Highway 27, which could be tacked with the authority sought in the instant application at any point in Richmond County, Ga., to provide through service to points in Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, and that part of Tennessee east of U.S. Highway 27. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 103993 (Sub-No. 303), filed September 29, 1967. Applicant: MORGAN DRIVE-AWAY, INC., 2800 West Lexington Avenue, Elkhart, Ind. 46514. Applicant's representative: Robert G. Tassar (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Travel trailers, pickup campers, and camp coaches*, in

initial movements, from points in Claiborne County, Tenn., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), and (2) *trailers* designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles, in initial movements, from points in Grainger County, Tenn., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Knoxville, Tenn.

No. MC 105813 (Sub-No. 155) (Amendment), filed September 15, 1967, published FEDERAL REGISTER issue September 28, 1967, and republished, as amended this issue. Applicant: BELFORD TRUCKING CO., INC., 3500 Northwest 79th Avenue, Post Office Box 154, M.I.A. Station, Miami, Fla. Applicant's representative: James T. Moore, Post Office Box 154, M.I.A. Station, Miami, Fla. 33148. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs*, cooked, cured, prepared, and preserved, from points in Chester County, and Evansville (Berks County), Pa., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, and South Carolina. NOTE: The purpose of this republication is to broaden the origin point by adding Evansville, Pa. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 106920 (Sub-No. 24), filed September 8, 1967. Applicant: RIGGS FOOD EXPRESS, INC., Post Office Box 26, West Monroe Street, New Bremen, Ohio 45869. Applicant's representative: Carroll V. Lewis, 122 East North Street, Sidney, Ohio 45365. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dairy products*, as defined in section B to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from points in Darke, Auglaize, and Mercer Counties, Ohio, to Philadelphia, Pa.; Baltimore, Md.; New York, N.Y.; Trenton and Newark, N.J.; points in Pennsylvania, on and west of U.S. Highway 219, and points in New York and New Jersey within 25 miles of New York, N.Y.; Maine; New Hampshire; and Vermont. NOTE: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. 731), filed September 27, 1967. Applicant: MATT-LACK, INC., 10 West Baltimore Avenue, Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry chemicals* (except calcium chloride), in bulk, in tank- or hopper-type vehicles, from Solvay, N.Y., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Vermont. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 107460 (Sub-No. 22), filed September 25, 1967. Applicant: WILLIAM Z. GETZ, INC., 2454 Harrisburg Pike, Lancaster, Pa. 17601. Applicant's representa-

tive: Christian V. Graf, 407 North Front Street, Harrisburg, Pa. 17101. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Agricultural machinery and implements, and parts and accessories*: (a) between the plantsite of Sperry Rand Corp., New Holland Division, at Belleville, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Rhode Island, Pennsylvania, Virginia, Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, and West Virginia and the plantsite of Sperry Rand Corp., New Holland Division, at Grand Island, Nebr., (b) between the plantsites of Sperry Rand Corp., New Holland Division, at Intercourse and Mountville, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Rhode Island, Pennsylvania, and Virginia and the plantsite of Sperry Rand Corp., New Holland Division, at Grand Island, Nebr., and (c) between the plantsite of Sperry Rand Corp., New Holland Division, at New Holland, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Rhode Island and Pennsylvania and the plantsite of Sperry Rand Corp., New Holland Division, at Grand Island, Nebr., and (2) *accessories, parts, and supplies and materials used in the manufacture, repair, and assembly of agricultural machinery, implements, and component parts*: (a) Between the plantsite of Sperry Rand Corp., New Holland Division, at Belleville, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Virginia, Wisconsin, Connecticut, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, and West Virginia, (b) between the plantsite of Sperry Rand Corp., New Holland Division, at Grand Island, Nebr., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Vermont, Virginia, and West Virginia, and (c) between the plantsites of Sperry Rand Corp., New Holland Division, at New Holland, Intercourse, and Mountville, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Delaware, Illinois, Pennsylvania, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Ohio, Rhode Island, Virginia, and Wisconsin, under a continuing contract with Sperry Rand Corp., New Holland Division. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Harrisburg, Pa.

No. MC 107496 (Sub-No. 588), filed September 20, 1967. Applicant: RUAN TRANSPORT CORPORATION, Keosauqua Way at Third, Post Office Box 855, Des Moines, Iowa 50304. Applicant's representative: H. L. Fabritz (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry feed ingredients*, (1) from Dubuque, Iowa, to points in Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wisconsin, (2) from Memphis, Tenn., to points in Arkansas, Missouri, Illinois, Kentucky, Alabama, Mississippi, and Louisiana, and (3)

from Omaha, Nebr., to points in Arkansas, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, Oklahoma, Illinois, and Wisconsin. NOTE: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Des Moines, Iowa, or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 107496 (Sub-No. 589), filed September 27, 1967. Applicant: RUAN TRANSPORT CORPORATION, Keosauqua Way at Third, Post Office Box 855, Des Moines, Iowa 50304. Applicant's representative: H. L. Fabritz (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Adhesives, liquid dextrine, liquid starch, and liquid plastics*, in bulk, from Kansas City, Mo., to points in Nebraska, Missouri, and Illinois. NOTE: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Des Moines, Iowa, or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 107496 (Sub-No. 590), filed September 27, 1967. Applicant: RUAN TRANSPORT CORPORATION, Keosauqua Way at Third, Post Office Box 855, Des Moines, Iowa 50304. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Asphalt and asphalt products, tar, and tar products*, in bulk, from Des Moines, Iowa, to points in Minnesota. NOTE: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo., or Des Moines, Iowa.

No. MC 107496 (Sub-No. 592), filed September 29, 1967. Applicant: RUAN TRANSPORT CORPORATION, Keosauqua Way at Third, Post Office Box 855, Des Moines, Iowa 50304. Applicant's representative: H. L. Fabritz (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dichlorovinyl dimethyl phosphate*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Ladora, Colo., to Lawrence Township, N.J. NOTE: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Des Moines, Iowa, or Denver, Colo.

No. MC 108228 (Sub-No. 38), filed September 25, 1967. Applicant: MILES TRUCKING CO., INC., Post Office Box 578, Plant City, Fla. 33566. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs* (except frozen), from points in York County, Pa., to points in Alabama, Georgia, North Carolina, Florida, South Carolina, Kentucky, and Tennessee. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Tampa, Florida.

No. MC 108341 (Sub-No. 20), filed September 25, 1967. Applicant: MOSS TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., 3027 North Tryon Street, Post Office Box 8409, Charlotte, N.C. 28208. Applicant's representative: Morton E. Kiel, 140 Cedar Street, New York, N.Y. 10006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Boards, building, wall,*

and/or insulating, and parts, materials and accessories incidental thereto, *composition boards, and parts, and materials and accessories* incidental thereto, from Deposit, N.Y., to points in Virginia, West Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Alabama, Florida, Mississippi, Tennessee, and Kentucky. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Tampa, Fla., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 108859 (Sub-No. 47), filed September 25, 1967. Applicant: CLAIRMONT TRANSFER CO., a corporation, 1803 Seventh Avenue North, Escanaba, Mich. Applicant's representative: Irving G. Olsen, Escanaba, Mich. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Self propelled material handling equipment and self propelled log slashing and skidding equipment* (except self propelled vehicles designed for transporting property or passengers on highways) and *parts and attachments* therefor, between points in Baraga County, Mich., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin, the District of Columbia and to ports of entry located on the United States-Canada boundary line, and (2) *materials, equipment and supplies* used in the manufacture and the distribution of the commodities described above from points within the above-described radial territory to points in Baraga County, Mich. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Madison, Wis.

No. MC 109449 (Sub-No. 10), filed September 27, 1967. Applicant: EMIL J. KUJAK, HUBERT I. KUJAK, MARTIN KUJAK, AND FRANK KUJAK, a partnership, doing business as, KUJAK BROS. TRANSFER, Junction Avenue, Winona, Minn. 55987. Applicant's representative: Charles E. Nieman, 1160 Northwestern Bank Building, Minneapolis, Minn. 55402. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Salt*, from Winona, Minn., to points in Illinois, Iowa, and Wisconsin. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis or St. Paul, Minn.

No. MC 109595 (Sub-No. 11), filed September 29, 1967. Applicant: REX TRANSPORTATION CO., a corporation, 34350 Goddard Road, Romulus, Mich. 48174. Applicant's representative: Robert A. Sullivan, 1800 Buhl Building, Detroit, Mich. 48226. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cement*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, (1) from the plantsite of Aetna Portland Cement Co. located at Essexville, Mich.,

to points in Indiana, Illinois, and Ohio, and (2) from Detroit, Mich., to points in New York and Illinois. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Detroit, Mich., Chicago, Ill., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 110420 (Sub-No. 553), filed September 29, 1967. Applicant: QUALITY CARRIERS, INC., 100 South Calumet Street, Burlington, Wis. 53105. Applicant's representative: Allan B. Thorhorst, Post Office Box 339, Burlington, Wis., and Fred H. Figge (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chocolate, liquid chocolate, compound, confectioners coatings, cocoa bean and chocolate products, and liquid cocoa butter*, in bulk, from Milwaukee, Wis., to points in Louisiana, Oklahoma, Texas, and California. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Milwaukee, Wis., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 110525 (Sub-No. 843), filed September 28, 1967. Applicant: CHEMICAL LEAMAN TANK LINES, INC., 520 East Lancaster Avenue, Downingtown, Pa. 19335. Applicant's representatives: Edwin H. van Deusen (same address as above) and Leonard A. Jaskiewicz, Madison Building, 1155 15th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry chemicals* (except calcium chloride), in bulk, in tank and hopper type vehicles, from Solvay, N.Y., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Vermont. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 110988 (Sub-No. 242), filed September 26, 1967. Applicant: KAMPO TRANSIT, INC., 200 West Cecil Street, Neenah, Wis. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Helsley, 529 Transportation Building, Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquor*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Oconto Falls, Wis., to points in Colorado, Missouri, Nebraska, New York, North Dakota, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, and Wisconsin. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Madison, Wis.

No. MC 111138 (Sub-No. 49), filed September 25, 1967. Applicant: W. J. DIGBY, INC. OF IOWA, Post Office Box 15386, Salt Lake City, Utah 84119. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products and meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses* (except hides and commodities in bulk in tank trucks) as described in sections A and C of appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificate*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from the plantsite and/or warehouse facilities of the I. D. Packing Co., located at Des Moines,

Iowa, and to Austin, Minn., or Fremont, Nebr. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Des Moines, Iowa.

No. MC 111383 (Sub-No. 23), filed September 26, 1967. Applicant: BRASWELL MOTOR FREIGHT LINES, INC., 3925 Singleton Boulevard, Post Office Box 3989, Dallas, Tex. 75208. Applicant's representative: Fred Spence (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), between Phoenix, Ariz., and Indio, Calif., from Phoenix, Ariz., over U.S. Highway 70 (also named U.S. Highway 60 and 89) to Wickenburg, Ariz., thence over U.S. Highway 60 and 70 (same highway) by way of Aquila and Hope, Ariz., to Quartzside, Ariz., thence over U.S. Highway 60 by way of Blythe, Calif., to Indio, Calif., and also over Interstate Highway 10 between Phoenix, Ariz., and Indio, Calif., serving no intermediate points and serving Indio, Calif., as a point of joinder only in connection with applicant's authorized regular route authority. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Dallas, Tex., or Phoenix, Ariz.

No. MC 111401 (Sub-No. 236), filed September 27, 1967. Applicant: GROENDYKE TRANSPORT, INC., 2510 Rock Island Boulevard, Post Office Box 632, Enid, Okla. 73701. Applicant's representative: Marion F. Jones, Suite 420, Denver Club Building, Denver, Colo. 80202. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals*, in bulk, from points in Jackson County, Miss., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Houston, Tex., or New Orleans, La.

No. MC 112801 (Sub-No. 72), filed September 25, 1967. Applicant: TRANSPORT SERVICE CO., a corporation, 5100 West 41st Street, Post Office Box 272, Chicago, Ill. Applicant's representative: Robert H. Levy, 29 South La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Anhydrous ammonia*, from Seneca, Ill., and points within 5 miles thereof, to points in Illinois, Missouri, Iowa, Wisconsin, Indiana, Michigan, and Kentucky. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 112801 (Sub-No. 73), filed September 28, 1967. Applicant: TRANSPORT SERVICE CO., a corporation, Post Office Box 272, Chicago, Ill. Applicant's representative: Robert H. Levy, 29 South La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Corn products*, dry, in bulk, from Danville, Ill., to points in

Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and Wisconsin. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 11267 (Sub-No. 181), filed September 21, 1967. Applicant: CENTRAL & SOUTHERN TRUCK LINES, INC., 312 West Morris Street, Caseyville, Ill. 62232. Applicant's representative: Lawrence A. Fischer (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen citrus juices, concentrates, ades, and drinks*, from Bonner Springs, Kans., and points with 5 miles thereof, to points in Arkansas, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, Ohio, Oklahoma, Tennessee, Texas, Wisconsin, and North Dakota. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles or San Francisco, Calif.

No. MC 113678 (Sub-No. 288) (Correction), filed September 8, 1967, published FEDERAL REGISTER issue of September 21, 1967, and republished as corrected, this issue. Applicant: CURTIS, INC., 770 East 51st Avenue, Denver, Colo. 80216. Applicant's representative: Duane W. Ackle, Post Office Box 2028, Lincoln, Nebr. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in sections A and C of appendix 1 to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from points in Dawson and Kearney Counties, Nebr., to Indianapolis, Ind., Atlanta, Ga., Covington and Louisville, Ky. **NOTE:** The purpose of this republication is to show the correct docket number assigned, No. MC 113678 (Sub-No. 288), in lieu of MC 112678 (Sub-No. 288), as previously published in error. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 113843 (Sub-No. 135), filed September 24, 1967. Applicant: REFRIGERATED FOOD EXPRESS, INC., 316 Summer Street, Boston, Mass. 02210. Applicant's representatives: Lawrence T. Shells (same address as applicant), and William J. Boyd, 29 South La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen meats, frozen meat products, and frozen meat byproducts*, from East Peoria, Ill., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia. **NOTE:** Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 113865 (Sub-No. 10), filed September 25, 1967. Applicant: LEESER & STAUFFER TRUCK SERVICE, INC., Taylor, Mo. 63471. Applicant's representative: Mack Stephenson, 42 Fox Mill Lane, Springfield, Ill. 62707. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes,

transporting: *Such commodities* as are manufactured, bought, sold, handled, processed, or used by retail and wholesale (beekeepers) honey producers and suppliers, between Hamilton, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), under contract with Dadant & Sons, Inc., Hamilton, Ill. **NOTE:** Applicant holds common carrier authority under Docket No. MC 123245 and Sub 1, therefore, dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Springfield, Ill., St. Louis, Mo., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 114045 (Sub-No. 283) (Amendment), filed June 5, 1967 published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of June 29, 1967, amended September 29, 1967, and republished as amended, this issue. Applicant: TRANS-COLD EXPRESS, INC., Post Office Box 5842, Dallas, Tex. Applicant's representative: R. L. Moore (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Fresh carcass meat*, from the plantsite of Swift & Co. at Clovis, N. Mex., to Pensacola, Fla., (2) *dairy products*, from South Fulton, Tenn., and Fulton, Ky., to points in Texas, Oklahoma, Arkansas, New Mexico, and Arizona, (3) *meat, meat products and meat byproducts*, from Smithfield, Va., to points in California, Kansas, Massachusetts, Mississippi, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, and Washington, D.C., and (4) *meat, meat products and meat byproducts*, from Lebanon, Pa., to points in California, Kansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, Oregon, Tennessee, Texas, and Utah. **NOTE:** The purpose of this republication is to broaden the destination territory in (2) above to include the additional States of Oklahoma, Arkansas, New Mexico, and Arizona. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 114045 (Sub-No. 291) (Amendment), filed September 6, 1967, published FEDERAL REGISTER issue of September 23, 1967, and republished as amended, this issue. Applicant: TRANS-COLD EXPRESS, INC., Post Office Box 5842, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: R. L. Moore (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Bakery goods*, frozen or other than frozen, (1) from Carrollton, Mo., to points in Washington, Oregon, Utah, Idaho, Nevada, California, and Arizona, and (2) from Spokane, Wash., to Carrollton, Mo., and Seelyville, Ind. **NOTE:** Applicant indicates tacking possibilities at Carrollton, Mo., with presently held authority under MC 114045 (Sub-No. 1) wherein it holds authority to transport frozen foods, from Pittsburgh, Pa., to points in Missouri. The purpose of this republication is to broaden the application by adding No. (2) above. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo., or Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 114239 (Sub-No. 20), filed October 2, 1967. Applicant: FARRIS

TRUCK LINE, a corporation, Faucett, Mo. Applicant's representative: Carl V. Kretsinger, 450 Professional Building, Kansas City, Mo. 64106. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry fertilizer, dry fertilizer materials, urea, and pesticides* (except liquid in tank vehicles), from the plantsite of W. R. Grace & Co., Henry, Ill., Perry, Iowa, Lansing, Mich., New Albany, Ind., and Columbus, Ohio, to points in Ohio, Michigan, Kentucky, Tennessee, Illinois, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Nebraska, Kansas, Indiana, Arkansas, Oklahoma, North Dakota, and South Dakota, under contract with W. R. Grace & Co. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo., or Memphis, Tenn.

No. MC 115917 (Sub-No. 17), filed September 27, 1967. Applicant: UNDERWOOD & WELD COMPANY, INC., Box 348, Crossnore, N.C. Applicant's representative: Wilmer A. Hill, 529 Transportation Building, Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Olivine*, in bags, from points in Avery, Buncombe, and Mitchell Counties, N.C., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin, (2) *olivine*, in bulk, from points in Avery, Buncombe, and Mitchell Counties, N.C., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Texas, West Virginia, and Wisconsin, (3) *olivine*, in bulk (except in tank or hopper-type vehicles), from points in Avery, Buncombe, and Mitchell Counties, N.C., to points in Georgia, South Carolina, and Virginia, (4) *feldspar*, in bulk, from points in Mitchell and Yancey Counties, N.C., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Texas, West Virginia, and Wisconsin, and (5) *feldspar*, in bulk (except in tank or hopper-type vehicles), from points in Mitchell and Yancey Counties, N.C., to points in Georgia, South Carolina, and Virginia. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Charlotte, N.C.

No. MC 116045 (Sub-No. 32), filed October 2, 1967. Applicant: NEUMAN TRANSIT CO., INC., Post Office Box 38, Rawlins, Wyo. 82301. Applicant's representative: Leslie R. Kehl, 420 Denver Club Building, Denver, Colo. 80202. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular

routes, transporting: *Sulphuric acid* (virgin and spent), in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Sinclair, Jeffrey City, and Casper, Wyo., to points in Utah. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Denver, Colo.

No. MC 116254 (Sub-No. 74), filed September 27, 1967. Applicant: CHEMHAULERS, INC., Post Office Drawer M, Sheffield, Ala. 35661. Applicant's representative: Walter Harwood, 515 Nashville Bank and Trust Building, Nashville, Tenn. 37201. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Synthetic resins*, dry, in bulk, from Aberdeen, Miss., to points in Kentucky, Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, Alabama, and Tennessee. NOTE: Applicant states that tacking is possible in conjunction with its present authority in Subs 5 and 52 wherein it is authorized to operate in the States of Alabama, Arkansas, Illinois, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Wisconsin, and Virginia. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Birmingham, Ala., Nashville or Memphis, Tenn.

No. MC 117119 (Sub-No. 404), filed September 14, 1967. Applicant: WILLIS SHAW FROZEN EXPRESS, INC., Elm Springs, Ark. 72728. Applicant's representative: John H. Joyce, 26 North College, Fayetteville, Ark. 72702. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen bakery goods*, from St. Louis, Mo., to Rogers and Fort Smith, Ark. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant does not specify location.

No. MC 118535 (Sub-No. 34), filed September 25, 1967. Applicant: JIM TIONA, JR., 803 West Ohio Street, Butler, Mo. Applicant's representative: Carl V. Kretsinger, 450 Professional Building, Kansas City, Mo. 64106. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry animal and poultry feed and feed ingredients*, from Memphis, Tenn., and West Memphis, Ark., to points in Arkansas, Iowa, Kansas, Colorado, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Wisconsin, and Illinois. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Memphis, Tenn.

No. MC 119317 (Sub-No. 27), filed September 27, 1967. Applicant: GROSS AND SONS TRANSPORT COMPANY, a corporation, 10929 Winner Road, Post Office Box 665, Independence, Mo. 64152. Applicant's representative: Frank W. Taylor, Jr., 1221 Baltimore Avenue, Suite 812, Kansas City, Mo. 64105. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dairy products and non-dairy related commodities*, between points in Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Michigan, Missouri, Nebraska, New Mexico, Ohio, Oklahoma, Tennessee, and Texas under contract with Sealtest

Foods, Division of National Dairy Products Corp. NOTE: Applicant states that no duplicating authority is being sought. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 119531 (Sub-No. 69), filed October 2, 1967. Applicant: DIECKBRADDER EXPRESS, INC., 5391 Wooster Road, Cincinnati, Ohio 45226. Applicant's representative: Charles W. Singer, 33 North Dearborn Street, Suite 1625, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Metal containers, and equipment, materials and supplies used in the manufacture, sale, and distribution thereof*, from points in Illinois, Ohio, and West Virginia, to Mishawaka, Ind. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Cleveland, Ohio.

No. MC 119582 (Sub-No. 3), filed October 2, 1967. Applicant: PERCY MUTSCHLER, 804 Cedar Street, Marysville, Wash. 98270. Applicant's representative: Thomas G. McCrea, 2929 Wetmore, Everett, Wash. 98201. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Building materials, consisting of lumber, shingles, ties, lath, brick tile, concrete products, cement in sack or bulk, and plywood, forest products, consisting of wood chips only*, (1) between points in Snohomish County, including Everett, Wash., and (2) between points in Snohomish County, Wash., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Pierce, Skagit, King, Whatcom, Island, Chelan, Kittitas, and Yakima Counties, Wash. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Everett or Seattle, Wash.

No. MC 119767 (Sub-No. 201), filed September 29, 1967. Applicant: BEAVER TRANSPORT CO., a corporation, 100 South Calumet Street, Burlington, Wis. 53105. Applicant's representatives: Allan B. Torhorst, Post Office Box 339, Burlington, Wis., and Fred H. Figge (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs and advertising displays and materials* when moving in the same vehicle, from Plymouth, Ind., to points in Minnesota (except Minneapolis and St. Paul). NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 119880 (Sub-No. 23), filed September 28, 1967. Applicant: DRUM TRANSPORT, INC., Post Office Box 2056, East Peoria, Ill. Applicant's representative: Donald L. Stern, 630 City National Bank Building, Omaha, Nebr. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Alcoholic liquors*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from ports of entry on the international boundary line between the United States and Canada located at Detroit and Port Huron, Mich., to San Francisco, Calif. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 123383 (Sub-No. 25), filed September 29, 1967. Applicant: BOYLE BROTHERS, INC., 276 River Road, Edgewater, N.J. 07020. Applicant's representative: Morton E. Kiel, 140 Cedar Street, New York, N.Y. 10006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry cement*, in bulk, from the plantsites of Allentown Portland Cement Co. located at or near West Conshohocken and Evansville, Pa., to its storage site located at or near Bowie, Md. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y., or Philadelphia, Pa.

No. MC 123922 (Sub-No. 9), filed October 2, 1967. Applicant: CHARTER BULK SERVICE, INC., 80 Doremus Avenue, Newark, N.J. 07105. Applicant's representative: Charles J. Williams, 47 Lincoln Park, Newark, N.J. 07102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry chemicals*, in bulk, in tank or hopper-type vehicles, from Syracuse (Solvay), N.Y., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Illinois, and West Virginia. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y.

No. MC 124835 (Sub-No. 7) (Amendment), filed August 23, 1967, published in FEDERAL REGISTER issue of September 8, 1967, amended September 12, 1967, and republished as amended, this issue. Applicant: PRODUCERS TRANSPORT CO., a corporation, Post Office Box 4022, Chattanooga, Tenn. 37405. Applicant's representative: Clifford E. Sanders, 321 East Center Street, Post Office Box G, Kingsport, Tenn. 37662. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cement*, from the plantsite of Missouri Portland Cement Co., and the plantsite of Dundee Cement Co. at Nashville, Tenn., to points in Alabama and Kentucky. NOTE: Common control may be involved. The purpose of this republication is to add "the plantsite of Dundee Cement Co." broadening the application. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Nashville, Tenn., or Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 126537 (Sub-No. 14), filed September 25, 1967. Applicant: KENT I. TURNER, KENNETH E. TURNER, AND ERVIN L. TURNER, a partnership, doing business as TURNER EXPEDITING SERVICE, Post Office Box 21132, Standiford Field, Louisville, Ky. 40221. Applicant's representative: George M. Catlett, 703-706 McClure Building, Frankfort, Ky. 40601. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities*, except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment, between points in Shelby, Montgomery, Rowan, Fleming, Rockcastle, Trimble, Estill, Nicholas, Pulaski, Whitley, Knox, Boone, Laurel, Mercer, Bath, Owen, Henry,

Grant, Carroll, Oldham, Gallatin, Bell, Garrard, and Lincoln Counties, Ky., on the one hand, and, on the other, Blue Grass Field, Lexington, Ky., Greater Cincinnati Airport, near Erlanger, Ky., and Standiford Field, Louisville, Ky., restricted to traffic having a prior or subsequent movement by air. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Louisville, Ky.

No. MC 127478 (Sub-No. 2), filed September 25, 1967. Applicant: WILLIAM M. HAYES, doing business as HAYES TRUCKING CO., Post Office Box 31, Winterville, Ga. 30683. Applicant's representative: William Addams, Suite 527, 1776 Peachtree Street, Atlanta, Ga. 30309. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Beer*, from Evansville and South Bend, Ind., and Cincinnati, Ohio, to Athens, Ga. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 127531 (Sub-No. 1) (Amendment), filed September 14, 1965, published in FEDERAL REGISTER issue of October 7, 1965, amended October 2, 1967, and republished as amended, this issue. Applicant: STAN'S VANS, INC., 40 Hegenberger Court, Oakland, Calif. Applicant's representative: Daniel W. Baker, 405 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, Calif. 94104. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission in 17 M.C.C. 467, between points in San Francisco, Alameda, Contra Costa, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano, and San Joaquin Counties, Calif. NOTE: The purpose of this republication is to broaden the scope of the application. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at San Francisco, Calif.

No. MC 128711 (Sub-No. 1), filed September 18, 1967. Applicant: RICHARD N. ELLWANGER, doing business as JOHN ELLWANGER & SON, Louisville Produce Terminal, Jennings Lane, Louisville, Ky. 40218. Applicant's representative: Robert W. Brunow, Suite 204, Colony Way Building, 195 Colony Way, Louisville, Ky. 40207. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Hominy feed*, in bags, in bulk, from Owensboro, Ky., to points in Florida. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Owensboro, Louisville, or Frankfort, Ky.

No. MC 129013 (Sub-No. 1), filed September 19, 1967. Applicant: DOUGLAS SHIPLEY AND CARL SHIPLEY, a partnership, doing business as SHIPLEY BROTHERS, Tazewell, Tenn. 37879. Applicant's representative: C. Howard Bozeman, 714 Hamilton Bank Building, Knoxville, Tenn. 37902. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Hay, hay byproducts of alfalfa pellets*, in bags, in straight or mixed shipments, or in mixed shipments with hay in machine pressed bales, chopped hay, and hay seed, from Bliss-

field and Erie, Mich., and Hoytville, Ohio, to points in Florida and Georgia, under contract with Consolidated Mills, Inc., Blissfield, Mich. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Knoxville or Nashville, Tenn.

No. MC 129280 (Sub-No. 2), filed September 27, 1967. Applicant: EARL F. BELL, INC., 7008 Poplar Avenue, Tacoma Park, Md., Mailing address: Box 1399, Rockville, Md. 20850. Applicant's representative: Charles E. Creager, Post Office Box 81, Winchester, Va. 22601. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lumber, plywood and pre-cut component packages*, from Silver Spring, Md., to Chantilly (Fairfax County), Va., under contract with Levitt & Sons, Inc. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 129318 (Sub-No. 1), filed October 2, 1967. Applicant: DAVID C. LOFTIN, doing business as ACME MOVING & STORAGE COMPANY, 105 Sioux Street, Post Office Box 1333, Dothan, Ala. Applicant's representative: Alan F. Wohlstetter, 1 Farragut Square South, Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods* as defined by the Commission, between points in Houston, Dale, Henry, Barbour, Coffee, Geneva, Pike, and Covington Counties, Ala., restricted to shipments having a prior or subsequent movement beyond said points in containers, and further restricted to pickup and delivery services incidental to and in connection with packing, crating, and containerization, or unpacking, uncrating, and decontainerization of such shipments. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Dothan or Montgomery, Ala.

No. MC 129404, filed September 15, 1967. Applicant: STREET'S TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., 114 Shelby Street, Kingsport, Tenn. 37660. Applicant's representative: Clifford E. Sanders, 321 East Center Street, Kingsport, Tenn. 37660. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Brick, concrete blocks, cinder blocks, clay products, shale and shale products, concrete and concrete products, and mortar mixes*, (1) from Elizabethton, Tenn., to (a) points in Alleghany, Bedford, Botetourt, Buchanan, Bland, Carroll, Craig, Dickenson, Floyd, Franklin, Giles, Grayson, Henry, Lee, Montgomery, Patrick, Pittsylvania, Pulaski, Roanoke, Russell, Scott, Smyth, Tazewell, Washington, Wise, and Wythe Counties, Va., (b) points in Bell, Breathitt, Clay, Floyd, Harlan, Jackson, Johnson, Knott, Knox, Laurel, Leslie, Letcher, McCreary, Magoffin, Martin, Owsley, Perry, Pike, Rockcastle, and Whitley Counties, Ky., and (c) points in Fayette, Logan, McDowell, Mercer, Mingo, Raleigh, Summers, and Wyoming Counties, W. Va., (2) between Elizabethton, Tenn., on the one hand, and, on the other, Richmond, Va., (3)

from Elizabethton, Tenn., to Louisville, Ky., and (4) between Elizabethton, Tenn., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alexander, Alleghany, Ashe, Avery, Buncombe, Burke, Caldwell, Catawba, Cherokee, Clay, Davidson, Davie, Forsyth, Guilford, Haywood, Henderson, Iredell, Jackson, McDowell, Macon, Madison, Mitchell, Polk, Randolph, Rockingham, Rowan, Rutherford, Stokes, Surry, Transylvania, Watauga, Wilkes, Yadkin, and Yancey Counties, N.C., under contract with General Shale Products Corp., Johnson City, Tenn. **NOTE:** Kyle Street and Kale Street, a partnership, doing business as Street Transportation Co., have filed as application in Docket No. MC 128881 and Sub 1, therefore, common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Nashville, Tenn.

No. MC 129424, filed September 25, 1967. Applicant: JACK NICHOLS, INC., 4 Fowler Avenue, Ossining, N.Y. 10562. Applicant's representative: William D. Traub, 10 East 40th Street, New York, N.Y. 10016. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Filing and storage cabinets, desks, and component parts thereof*, between Ossining, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Virginia, Alabama, Tennessee, Kentucky, Indiana, Michigan, and the District of Columbia, under contract with Fillex Steel Products Co. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y., or Newark, N.J.

No. MC 129427, filed September 26, 1967. Applicant: JOSEPH GEORGIANA, 26 Lafayette Street, Somerset, N.J. Applicant's representative: William J. Augello, Jr., 2 West 45th Street, New York, N.Y. 10036. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Empty cigar boxes*, from New Brunswick and Bloomfield, N.J., to Kingston, Mahanoy City, Mountaintop, Nanticoke, and Scranton, Pa., and (2) *empty record album boxes* from New Brunswick and Bloomfield, N.J., to Scranton, Pa., under contract with Harry F. Ungar Corp. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PASSENGERS

No. MC 3647 (Sub-No. 399) (Correction), filed September 11, 1967, published in FEDERAL REGISTER issue of September 28, 1967, and republished as corrected, this issue. Applicant: PUBLIC SERVICE COORDINATED TRANSPORT, 180 Boyden Avenue, Maplewood, N.J. 07040. Applicant's representative: Richard Fryling (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage, and express, and newspapers*, in the same vehicle with

passengers, between the junction of Ridgewood Avenue and Pascack Road, Paramus, N.J., and the Paramus Fashion Center, Paramus, N.J., from the junction of Ridgewood Avenue and Pascack Road, Paramus, N.J., over Pascack Road to junction Oradell Avenue, thence, over Oradell Avenue to junction Ridgewood Avenue, thence over Ridgewood Avenue to junction Winters Avenue, thence over Winters Avenue to Paramus Fashion Center, Paramus, N.J., and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points. **NOTE:** Applicant also holds passenger contract carrier authority in permit MC 129346, and passenger broker authority in license MC 12668. The purpose of this republication is to show "Oradell Ave., thence over Oradell Avenue to junction Ridgewood Avenue," erroneously omitted. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Newark, N.J.

No. MC 30787 (Sub-No. 6), filed September 29, 1967. Applicant: NIAGARA SCENIC BUS LINES, INC., 328 Main Street, Niagara Falls, N.Y. Applicant's representative: S. Harrison Kahn, Suite 733, Investment Building, Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage*, in the same vehicle with passengers, in special operations, in round-trip sightseeing and pleasure tours, beginning and ending at points in Erie and Niagara Counties, N.Y., and extending to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Buffalo, N.Y.

APPLICATIONS FOR BROKERAGE LICENSES PASSENGERS

No. MC 12820 (Sub-No. 1), filed September 25, 1967. Applicant: SWISS SKI TOURS, INC., 65-05 Myrtle Avenue, Glendale, N.Y. Applicant's representative: Thomas E. Brett, The Pickman Building, 118-21 Queens Boulevard, Forest Hills, N.Y. For a license (BMC 5) to engage in operations as a *broker* at Glendale, N.Y., in arranging for the transportation in interstate or foreign commerce, of *passengers and their baggage*, in the same vehicle with passengers, both as individuals and in groups, in all expense round-trip tours, in special and charter operations, beginning and ending at New York, N.Y., and points in Nassau County, N.Y., and extending to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Virginia, West Virginia, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Vermont, and the District of Columbia. **NOTE:** Applicant is authorized to engage in operations as a *broker* beginning and ending at Glendale, Queens, N.Y., and extending to points in Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Vermont. By the instant application applicant seeks an amended license.

No. MC 130041, filed September 21, 1967. Applicant: EARL M. THOMPSON, doing business as TRI-COUNTY TRAVELERS CLUB, Mickleton, N.J. 08056. Applicant's representative: Santo J. Salvo, 1 Elizabeth Avenue, Millville, N.J. 08332. For a license (BMC 5) to engage in operations as a *broker* at Mickleton, N.J., in arranging for the transportation in interstate or foreign commerce, of *passengers and their baggage*, both as individuals and in groups, in round-trip sightseeing and pleasure tours, beginning and ending at points in Gloucester, Salem, and Cumberland Counties, N.J., and extending to points in the United States.

APPLICATIONS IN WHICH HANDLING WITHOUT ORAL HEARING HAVE BEEN REQUESTED

No. MC 116279 (Sub-No. 3), filed September 27, 1967. Applicant: JOHN H. BLACK, doing business as BLACK'S TRANSFER, 412 Main Street, Appalachia, Wise County, Va. Applicant's representative: Carl E. McAfee, 1022 Park Avenue, Norton, Va. 24273. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Bakery products*, from Winston-Salem, N.C., to Knoxville, Greenville, Morristown, and Jefferson City, Tenn.; Marion, Tazewell, Richlands, Honaker, Lebanon, Roanoke, Petersburg, Richmond, Norton, and Bluefield, Va.; and Bluefield, W. Va., under contract with Royal Cake Co., Winston-Salem, N.C.

No. MC 116886 (Sub-No. 33), filed September 28, 1967. Applicant: HOWELL'S MOTOR FREIGHT, INCORPORATED, 2210 Winston Avenue SW., Roanoke, Va. Applicant's representative: R. R. Rush, 300 Shenandoah Building, Post Office Box 614, Roanoke, Va. 24004. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from Norfolk, Va., to points in North Carolina.

No. MC 125844 (Sub-No. 10), filed September 28, 1967. Applicant: BIO-MED-HU, INC., 8603 Preston Highway, Louisville, Ky 40219. Applicant's representative: Ollie L. Merchant, Suite 202, 140 South Fifth Street, Louisville, Ky. 40202. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Blood plasma*, from points in the United States (including Alaska and Hawaii), to Zionsville, Ind., and (2) *derivatives of blood plasma such as but not confined to antihemophilic factor, albumin fractions, globulin fractions, fibrinogen fractions, plasmin ceruloplasmin, plasma cholinesterase, siderophilin, and immunoglobulins*, from Zionsville, Ind., to points in the United States (including Alaska and Hawaii).

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

H. NEIL GARSON,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-11981; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967; 8:45 a.m.]

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATIONS FOR RELIEF

OCTOBER 9, 1967.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 1.40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 41145—*Barley from points in Montana*. Filed by Trans-Continental Freight Bureau, agent (No. 448), for interested rail carriers. Rates on barley, in carloads, from points in Montana on the Great Northern Railway Co., to Newcastle and Spencer, Wyo.

Grounds for relief—Unregulated motortruck competition.

Tariff—Supplement 85 to Trans-Continental Freight Bureau, agent, tariff ICC 1725.

FSA No. 41146—*Liquid caustic soda to Franklin, Va.* Filed by Traffic Executive Association—Eastern Railroads, agent (E.R. No. 2897), for interested rail carriers. Rates on liquid caustic soda, in tank carloads, as described in the application, from Reybold, Del., to Franklin, Va.

Grounds for relief—Market competition.

Tariff—Supplement 186 to Traffic Executive Association—Eastern Railroads, agent, tariff ICC C-334.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] H. NEIL GARSON,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12078; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:50 a.m.]

[Notice 469]

MOTOR CARRIER TEMPORARY AUTHORITY APPLICATIONS

OCTOBER 9, 1967.

The following are notices of filing of applications for temporary authority under section 210a(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act provided for under the new rules of Ex Parte No. MC 67 (49 CFR Part 340) published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of April 27, 1965, effective July 1, 1965. These rules provide that protests to the granting of an application must be filed with the field official named in the FEDERAL REGISTER publication, within 15 calendar days after the date of notice of the filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. One copy of such protest must be served on the applicant, or its authorized representative, if any, and the protests must certify that such service has been made. The protest must be specific as to the service which such protestant can and will offer, and must consist of a signed original and six copies.

A copy of the application is on file, and can be examined at the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., and also in the field office to which protests are to be transmitted.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 98952 (Sub-No. 18 TA), filed October 3, 1967. Applicant: GENERAL TRANSFER COMPANY, a corporation, 2880 North Woodford Street, Decatur, Ill. 62526. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Merchandise, and general stores supplies*, as is dealt in by wholesale and retail food and drug business houses, and in connection therewith, *equipment, materials, and supplies* used in the conduct of such business, between Champaign and Springfield, Ill., and points of Clinton, Johnson, Marshall, Newton, Tipton, and Warren Counties, Ind., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Jewel Cos., Inc., 1955 West North Avenue, Melrose Park, Ill. 60160. Send protests to: Harold Jolliff, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Room 476, 325 West Adams Street, Springfield, Ill. 62704.

No. MC 110525 (Sub-No. 844 TA), filed October 3, 1967. Applicant: CHEMICAL LEAMAN TANK LINES, INC., 520 East Lancaster Avenue, Downingtown, Pa. 19335. Applicant's representative: Edwin H. van Deusen (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid sugar and corn syrup blends*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Knoxville, Tenn.; to London, Ky., and Bristol and Lynchburg, Va., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Standard Brands Inc., Standard Brands Building, 625 Madison Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10022. Send protests to: Peter R. Guman, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 900 U.S. Customhouse, Second and Chestnut Streets, Philadelphia, Pa. 19106.

No. MC 113886 (Sub-No. 2 TA), filed October 2, 1967. Applicant: KENT TRANSFER, INCORPORATED, 378 West Main Street, Elkton, Md. 21921. Applicant's representative: Nancy W. Jackson, Post Office Box 221, Baltimore, Md. 21202. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Malt beverages and empty malt beverage containers*, from Newark, N.J.; to Annapolis, Baltimore, Berlin, Cambridge, Centerville, Elkton, Glyndon, Havre De Grace, Millersville, Salisbury, and Severtown Park, Md., for 180 days. Supporting shippers: Anheuser-Busch, Inc., Newark, N.J.; The Bees Distributing Co., Glyndon, Md.; Dennis Beer Wholesalers, Inc., Berlin, Md.; G & G Distributors, Inc., Cambridge, Md.; Havre De Grace Distributing Co., Havre De Grace, Md.; Pabst Brewing Co., Milwaukee, Wis.; Sentman Distributors, Elkton, Md.; The Winner Distributing Co., Baltimore, Md. Send protests to: Paul J. Lowry, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 206 Old Post Office Building, Salisbury, Md. 21801.

No. MC 114194 (Sub-No. 143 TA), filed October 3, 1967. Applicant: KREIDER TRUCK SERVICE, INC., 8003 Collinsville Road, East St. Louis, Ill. 62201.

Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Gluten liquor residuum*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Decatur, Ill., to Mexico, Mo., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: A. E. Staley Manufacturing Co., Post Office Box 151, Decatur, Ill. 62525. Send protests to: Harold Jolliff, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Room 476, 325 West Adams Street, Springfield, Ill. 62704.

No. MC 126489 (Sub-No. 1 TA), filed October 3, 1967. Applicant: GASTON FEED TRANSPORTS, INC., 1203 West Fourth Street, Hutchinson, Kans. 67501. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Mixed animal and poultry feeds and feed ingredients*, from McPherson, Kans., to points in Oklahoma, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Nutrena Mills, 44 Ewing Street, Kansas City, Kans. 66118. Send protests to: M. E. Taylor, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission Bureau of Operations, 906 Schweitzer Building, Wichita, Kans. 67202.

No. MC 127531 (Sub-No. 2 TA), filed October 3, 1967. Applicant: STAN'S VANS, INC., 40 Hegenberger Court, Oakland, Calif. 94621. Applicant's representative: Marvin Handler, 405 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, Calif. 94104. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Used household goods*, as defined by the Interstate Commerce Commission in 17 M.C.C. 467, between points in Solano and San Joaquin Counties, Calif., and between points in Solano and San Joaquin Counties, on the one hand, and, on the other points in Contra Costa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Alameda, and Santa Clara Counties, Calif., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Home-Pack Transport, Inc., 57-48 49th Street, Maspeth, N.Y. 11378. Send protests to: District Supervisor, William E. Murphy, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36004, San Francisco, Calif. 94102.

No. MC 129362 (Sub-No. 1 TA), filed October 3, 1967. Applicant: GEORGE NASHOLD, INC., Post Office Box 286, Frederica, Del. 19946. Applicant's representative: Samuel W. Earnshaw, 833 Washington Building, Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dump or hopper-truck commodities*, in bulk, in dump or hopper equipment; from points in Kent, New Castle, and Sussex Counties, Del., to points in Carolina, Cecil, Dorchester, Kent, Queen Annes, Somerset, Talbot, Wicomico, and Worcester Counties, Md., for 150 days. Supporting shipper: Delaware Roads Co., Mount Pleasant, Middletown, Del. 19709. W. F. McFaul, Jr., Division Manager. Send protests to: Paul J. Lowry, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 206 Old Post Office Building, Salisbury, Md. 21801.

No. MC 129420 TA, filed September 29, 1967. Applicant: LILE, INC., 3602 South Pine, Tacoma, Wash. 98409. Applicant's

representative: Jack R. Davis, 1100 IBM Building, Seattle, Wash. 98101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Washington. Restricted to shipments having a prior or subsequent movement beyond the State of Washington. NOTE: Carrier intends to interline at Canadian, Idaho, and Oregon borders and ports of entry, for 180 days. Supporting shippers: Nalley's, Inc., 3303 South 35th, Tacoma, Wash. 98409; Weyerhaeuser Co., Tacoma, Wash. 98401. Send protests to: E. J. Casey, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 6130 Arcade Building, Seattle, Wash. 98101.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] H. NEIL GARSON,
Secretary.

[F.P. Doc. 67-12079; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:50 a.m.]

[S.O. 994; ICC Order 9]

SOUTHERN PACIFIC CO. AND MISSOURI PACIFIC RAILROAD CO.

Diversion or Rerouting of Traffic

In the opinion of N. Thomas Harris, agent, the Southern Pacific Co. and the Missouri Pacific Railroad Co. are unable to transport traffic destined to the National Railways of Mexico via the Brownsville, Texas-Matamoros, Tamps

gateway account floods and track conditions on the National Railways of Mexico.

It is ordered, That:

(a) The Southern Pacific Co. and the Missouri Pacific Railroad Co. being unable to deliver traffic to the National Railways of Mexico, routed via the Brownsville, Texas-Matamoros, Tamps gateway because of floods and track conditions on the National Railways of Mexico are hereby authorized to reroute or divert such traffic for delivery to the National Railways of Mexico via the Laredo, Texas-Nuevo Laredo, Tamps gateway.

(b) Concurrence of receiving roads to be obtained: The railroad desiring to divert or reroute traffic under this order shall receive the concurrence of other railroads to which such traffic is to be diverted or rerouted, before the rerouting or diversion is ordered.

(c) Notification to shippers: Each carrier rerouting cars in accordance with this order shall notify each shipper at the time each car is rerouted or diverted and shall furnish to such shipper the new routing provided under this order.

(d) Inasmuch as the diversion or rerouting of traffic by said Agent is deemed to be due to carrier's disability, the rates applicable to traffic diverted or rerouted by said Agent shall be the rates which were applicable at the time of shipment on the shipments as originally routed.

(e) In executing the directions of the Commission and of such Agent provided

for in this order, the common carriers involved shall proceed even though no contracts, agreements, or arrangements now exist between them with reference to the divisions of the rates of transportation applicable to said traffic; divisions shall be, during the time this order remains in force, those voluntarily agreed upon by and between said carriers; or upon failure of the carriers to so agree, said divisions shall be those hereafter fixed by the Commission in accordance with pertinent authority conferred upon it by the Interstate Commerce Act.

(f) Effective date: This order shall become effective at 11 a.m., October 6, 1967.

(g) Expiration date: This order shall expire at 11:59 p.m., October 31, 1967, unless otherwise modified, changed, or suspended.

It is further ordered, That this order shall be served upon the Association of American Railroads, Car Service Division, as Agent of all railroads subscribing to the car service and per diem agreement under the terms of that agreement; and that it be filed with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

Issued at Washington, D.C., October 6, 1967.

[SEAL] INTERSTATE COMMERCE
COMMISSION,
N. THOMAS HARRIS,
Agent.

[F.R. Doc. 67-12080; Filed, Oct. 11, 1967;
8:50 a.m.]

CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED—OCTOBER

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during October.

3 CFR	Page	7 CFR—Continued	Page	8 CFR—Continued	Page
PROCLAMATIONS:		908.....	13961	299.....	13756
3810.....	13799	910.....	13961	316a.....	13756
3811.....	13853	926.....	14150	332a.....	13756
3812.....	14015	927.....	14151	336.....	13756
3813.....	14089	947.....	13755	337.....	13756
		948.....	13804	499.....	13756
5 CFR		1001.....	13804		
212.....	13752	1002.....	13804	9 CFR	
213.....	13752, 13754, 13855, 13909, 14017	1003.....	13804	76.....	13856
302.....	13752	1004.....	13804	78.....	13757
305.....	13752	1015.....	13804	PROPOSED RULES:	
335.....	13754	1016.....	13804	201.....	14106
752.....	13754	1094.....	13855		
771.....	13754	1132.....	13855	10 CFR	
		1421.....	13085, 13962, 14098, 14151	30.....	13920
7 CFR		1424.....	14099		
401.....	14091-14096, 14147-14149	PROPOSED RULES:		12 CFR	
402.....	14150	319.....	13820	1.....	13805
403.....	14150	948.....	13820	11.....	13962
404.....	14150	967.....	14063	336.....	13963
406.....	14150	982.....	13870, 13933	400.....	13758
408.....	14150			PROPOSED RULES:	
409.....	14150	8 CFR		12.....	13972
410.....	14150	103.....	13755	206.....	13984
847.....	14017	212.....	13755	335.....	13982
863.....	14096	238.....	13755	561.....	13983
864.....	13801	252.....	13866		

14 CFR

	Page
1	13909
25	13913
39	13759, 13856, 13857, 14061, 14151
61	13914, 13915
71	13760, 13806, 13857, 13915-13917, 13965, 14061, 14099, 14152-14154.
73	14154
75	13760, 14154
91	13909
95	13858
97	13761, 13909, 13917
121	13909, 13913
135	13909
207	13860
208	13860
212	13861
214	13861
249	13861
295	13862

PROPOSED RULES:

21	14106
27	14106
29	14106
39	13776, 13807, 14110
43	14106
45	14106
71	13776, 13820, 13821, 13933-13936, 14063, 14111, 14158.
73	13937
91	13871, 14106
127	14106
302	14111

15 CFR

4	14017
210	13765
230	13765

16 CFR

13	13766, 14099
----	--------------

17 CFR

240	14018
249	14018

19 CFR

1	14100
11	13863

PROPOSED RULES:

10	13870
----	-------

20 CFR

325	13862
-----	-------

21 CFR

2	13807
3	14100
17	13807
120	13807, 13863, 14022, 14023
121	14023, 14024, 14155
130	13807
146a	14101
191	14025

24 CFR

	Page
0	13921
1500	13808
1520	13808
1530	13809

26 CFR

1	14025
170	13864
240	13864
245	13864
270	13865
296	13865

PROPOSED RULES:

1	13773
---	-------

29 CFR

526	13767, 14157
1501	14041
1502	14047
1503	15051

30 CFR

400	13809
-----	-------

31 CFR

0	13767
128	14055

32 CFR

845	13810
874	13811
882	13811
1606	13811

32A CFR

Ch. X (OIA):	
Reg. 1	13856

35 CFR

5	13770
---	-------

36 CFR

7	13812
---	-------

37 CFR

1	13812
---	-------

38 CFR

6	13927
8	13927
13	13771
17	13812

39 CFR

Ch. I	13808
822	13869

41 CFR

5A-8	13817
9-1	14055

42 CFR

71	14057
----	-------

PROPOSED RULES:

73	13773, 13775
----	--------------

43 CFR**PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:**

4214 (corrected by PLO 4294)	14156
4287	14060
4288	14060
4289	14101
4290	14155
4291	14155
4292	14155
4293	14156
4294	14156

PROPOSED RULES:

23	13972
----	-------

45 CFR

35	14101
1015	13965

46 CFR

401	14104
-----	-------

47 CFR**PROPOSED RULES:**

1	13821
2	13972
13	13821
43	14158
73	13766, 13822, 14160
87	14161
89	13972, 14161
91	13972, 14161
93	13972, 14161
95	13972

49 CFR

1	14105
120	13927
195	14156
270	13930

PROPOSED RULES:

270	13823
282	13823
293	13821
305	13823

50 CFR

32	13771, 13817-13819, 13867-13869, 13930-13932, 13970, 13971, 14060, 14061, 14103, 14157.
33	13771, 13932

PROPOSED RULES:

32	13933, 14063
33	14063

